Scaling/Approximation (Denn Chapter 11 and 14)

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Scale conservation equations. Analyze scaled equation.
- 2. Solve and analyze inviscid flow problems using stream functions and potential flows.

MOTIVATION

Throughout this course we've encountered situations where an approximation has simplified our problem solving and analysis. Examples?

As a corollary, we should be able to rewrite our conservation equations (e.g. Navier-Stokes) in a form approximate to the situation. Hopefully, a solution to the approximate equations is an approximate solution.

REWRITING NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS (CHAPTER 11)

pure $\rho \underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \underline{\mathbf{v}} = -\nabla \mathcal{D} + \eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{v}}$ in the difficult is given by Consider Steady Navier-Stokes Equation: And a general flow past an object problem:

Re-write the Navier Stokes problems in terms of the "Scaled Variables"

· dimensionless

· E Coles are chosen such that he a

Variable are the sails of may withree I

O(1)

SCALING OUR VARIABLES

We can rescale our variables appropriately:

Scaled velocity $\tilde{\underline{v}} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$

Typical Inertial Term

 $\rho v_x \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} \qquad v_x = \widetilde{v_x} V \qquad v_y = \widetilde{v_y} V \qquad x = \widetilde{x} L$

Plug in...

As a result we can rewrite the right side of N-S as

left

pv·∇v ⇒ pV² V - PV inschil herm

(= 1 % = + 1 /05 + 4 /02)

Now for the viscous term:

 $\eta \frac{\partial^2 v_x}{\partial v^2} \qquad v_x = \widetilde{v_x} V \qquad y = \widetilde{y} L$

Plug in...

And pressure:

 $\mathscr{P} = \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}\Pi$ $x = \tilde{x}L$

Plug in...

DP > = PP

Rewrite our Navier-Stokes Equation:

If variables are properly scaled, then all dimensionless terms are O(1) quantities.

fvariables are property.

> relative importance of terms is

ly what's out in front?

In particular, inches terms = pv//2

viscous terms

hasis for approximations.

- ⇒ Approximate by neglecting V55005
- ⇒ Inviscid flow ←

When Re << 1, expect Viscous >> ince teal force

- ⇒ Approximate by neglecting
- ⇒ Creeping flow

must lear it it equations to What about pressure? mantain en/asknown Walances

When inertial terms dominate (high Re), press we Velezar meter han

PV 2

L ~ M= Cher. = PUZ

pressure = PUZ

pressure = PUZ

pressure = PUZ

When viscous terms dominate (low Re), pressure Walnut when we have TE - TY = TT = hV/

Low Re

Thus, for High Reynold's Number (inertial dominated) flow, we can write:

$$\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot \underline{\tilde{\nabla}} \mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = -\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \widetilde{\nabla}^2 \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \Rightarrow \rho \qquad \qquad = -P \rho \qquad \qquad \qquad = -P \rho \qquad \qquad =$$

Thus, for Low Reynold's Number (viscous dominated) flow, we can write:

$$Re(\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) = -\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}\widehat{\mathcal{P}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^2\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \Rightarrow -\overline{\mathbf{v}} \quad \mathcal{P} \quad + \mathcal{V} \quad \overline{\mathbf{v}}^2\underline{\mathbf{v}}$$

We hope that solutions of the approximation equations is an approximate solution to the problem. (Denn 11.6)

| High Re |
|--|
| "large" V, L |
| "Smell" V |
| - every day fluid mech Mrscers - pipes, pergs, |
| - Mrscers -> pipes, pergs, |
| |
| plans and, trains |
| sports (golf, knows, beschull) |

- small V, L

lage V

- highly viscon mutoris

(plotymes, heet)

- Macroscop. C phenomena

(e.g. settling of

Nortiler)

HIGH REYNOLD'S NUMBER (INVISCID FLOW)

However, we face some challenges with this equation. Need to define some other concepts before solving inviscid flow solutions. In particular, we seek Potential Flows (Denn Chp. 14).

STREAM FUNCTION (CHAPTER 14)

Useful in 2-D flow (e.g. $v_x(x, y), v_y(x, y)$, and $v_z = 0$)

Case without a Stream function:



 $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ v_y(x,y) \\ \mathscr{P}(x,y) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ \mathscr{P}(x,y) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ v_y(x,y) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ v_y(x,y) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} v_x(x,y) \\ \end{array}$

$$v_x(x,y) = -\frac{3}{2} v_y(x,y) = \frac{3}{2} v_y(x,y) =$$

Plug into continuity:

$$\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} = \sqrt[3]{2} \left(-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\psi_y}{y} \right) + \sqrt[3]{2} \left(-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\psi_y}{y} \right) = 0$$

Now we have

$$\Psi(x,y)$$
 $\mathscr{D}(x,y)$

2 unknown; 2 equation function doesn't change along the streamline. We can prove this

In steady flow, the stream function doesn't change along the streamline. We can prove this by taking the substantial derivative:

$$\frac{D\Psi}{Dt} = \nu_x \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \nu_y \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial$$

For cylindrical coordinates:

$$v_r = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } v_\theta = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } v_\theta$$