Database Assignment 5 Report 1

TEAM 6 107062318 李俊逸 107062202 陳敬和 107062237 張濬洋

- How to implement
 - 讓執行sql指令前能使用到ConservativeConcurrencyMgr 把TxnMgr Serializable Isolation Level case中的 SerializableConcurrencyMgr, 換成ConservativeConcurrencyMgr, 把 benchmark中使用的ConcurrencyMgr換成我們的實作。

```
switch (isolationLevel) {
    case Connection.TRANSACTION_SERIALIZABLE:
        try {
            Class<?> partypes[] = new Class[1];
            partypes[0] = Long.TYPE;
            Constructor<?> ct = ccConcurMgrCls.getConstructor(partypes);
            concurMgr = (ConcurrencyMgr) ct.newInstance(new Long(txNum));
        } catch (Exception e) {
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
        break;
```

2. ConservativeConcurrencyMgr實作 繼承ConcurrencyMgr,除了rollback和commit要release lock之外,其他 function都不做事(ConservativeConcurrency的特性就是開始執行之前拿 好所有lock,直到tx結束)。此外,我們新增一個getReadWriteLock的 function,用以SP在執行SQL前先拿到所需的Lock。

- 3. MicroTxnProc實作
 - (a.) 建立item_id對應record_id的map(ItemIdToRecordId) executesql()之前需要拿到所需資源相對應的Lock, 而每個tx在執行前已 先給定所需record的i_id。我們讓第一個tx執行executesql()前, 拿到item table的RecordFile並建立一個map, 讓每個i_id對應到其recordId。之後每個tx就可以依照給定的i_id建立對應所需的Read(Write)RecordIdList(如第三張圖所示), 在實際執行前再根據這兩個List拿Lock。

```
lic class MicroTxnProc extends StoredProcedure<MicroTxnProcParamHelper> {
   private static HashMap<Integer, RecordId> ItemIdToRecordId = new HashMap<Integrate static Boolean isBulitMapping = false;
   private ArrayList<RecordId> readRecordIdList = new ArrayList<RecordId>();
   private ArrayList<RecordId> writeRecordIdList = new ArrayList<RecordId>();
```

```
TableInfo tblInfo = VanillaDb.catalogMgr().getTableInfo(tblName: "item", tx);
RecordFile recordFile = tblInfo.open(tx, doLog: false);
recordFile.beforeFirst();
while(recordFile.next()) {
    int itemId = (int) recordFile.getVal(fldName: "i_id").asJavaVal();
    ItemIdToRecordId.put(itemId, recordFile.currentRecordId());
}

public void getReadWriteItemRecordIds() { // ToDO: tx # 4 cannot get its corn // while (!isBulitMapping) ; // busy waiting until the mapping is built try {
        MicroTxnProcParamHelper paramHelper = getParamHelper();
        int[] readItemIdList = paramHelper.getReadItemIdList();
        int[] writeItemIdList = paramHelper.getWriteItemIdList();
        for(int i=0; i<readItemIdList.length; i++) {
            readRecordIdList.add(ItemIdToRecordId.get(writeItemIdList[i]));
        }
        for(int i=0; i<writeItemIdList.length; i++) {
            writeRecordIdList.add(ItemIdToRecordId.get(writeItemIdList[i]));
        }
}</pre>
```

(b.) tx執行SQL前, 用RecordIdList向ConcurrencyMgr拿 lock(lockReadWriteRecordIds)

- The challenge of implementing conservative locking for TPC-C benchmark
 - 1. 在執行TPC-C時,需要存取各個table的id, 如wid, did等, 來取得對應的 recordId。而針對每一種id都要額外建立lock機制, 以及id與disk recordId 之間的map
 - 2. TPC-C的資料量遠比micro benchmark大, 建maps所需空間可能無法同時放入記憶體中, 需要實作每個map在記憶體與硬碟之間的swap功能
 - 3. TPC-C執行的SQL指令較micro benchmark複雜, 如predicate同時有三個table的id、有INSERT指令等等。

Experiments

Environment: MacBook Air (M1, 2020), Apple M1 chip, 16 GB RAM, 256 GB SSD, macOS Big Sur Version 11.2

1. 比較conservativeLock實作前後的效能差異:

a. Settings

#RTE	RW_txn_rate	Read count
20	0.5	1000

b. Results

	Throughtput
Origin code	1707
Our code	3613

c. Origin Code Experiment Screenshot

```
[karta1502545@lijunyideMacBook-Air benchmark_results % cat 20220517-185443-microb]
ench.txt
# of txns (including aborted) during benchmark period: 5701
MICRO_TXN - committed: 1707, aborted: 3994, avg latency: 259 ms
```

d. Our Code Experiment Screenshot

```
[karta1502545@lijunyideMacBook-Air benchmark_results % cat 20220517-190110-microb]
ench.txt
# of txns (including aborted) during benchmark period: 3613
MICRO_TXN - committed: 3613, aborted: 0, avg latency: 328 ms
```

e. Explanation

由於conservative lock的機制在一個tx需要拿大量的lock的情況下表現更佳,所以我們將readCount調成1000,以凸顯conservative lock會避免serializable會發生deadlock的狀況。

2. Observe and discuss the impact of buffer pool size to your new system

a. Settings

#RTE	RW_txn_rate	Read count
20	0.5	1000

b. Results

BufferPoolSize	throught
128	3465
256	3559
1024	3613

c. Experiment Screenshot (128)

[karta1502545@lijunyideMacBook-Air benchmark_results % cat 20220517-195703-microb] ench.txt

```
# of txns (including aborted) during benchmark period: 3465
MICRO_TXN - committed: 3465, aborted: 0, avg latency: 342 ms
[TOTAL - committed: 3465, aborted: 0, avg latency: 342 ms
```

d. Experiment Screenshot (256)

karta1502545@lijunyideMacBook-Air benchmark_results % cat ourCode_20RTE_1000read
Count_halfRWrate_256bufferPoolSize.txt
of txns (including aborted) during benchmark period: 3559

MICRO_TXN - committed: 3559, aborted: 0, avg latency: 331 ms
TOTAL - committed: 3559, aborted: 0, avg latency: 332 ms

e. Experiment Screenshot (1024)

 $karta1502545@lijunyideMacBook-Air\ benchmark_results\ \%\ cat\ ourCode_20RTE_1000read\ Count_halfRWrate.txt$

```
# of txns (including aborted) during benchmark period: 3613
MICRO_TXN - committed: 3613, aborted: 0, avg latency: 328 ms
TOTAL - committed: 3613, aborted: 0, avg latency: 328 ms
```

f. Explanation

BufferPoolSize越大,代表同一時刻,系統可以接受更多的Block在記憶體中,減少I/O次數,所以系統運行效能會更好。