

Theorem 1. *The iterative algorithm for solving the composite regularization problem*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2}_{f(\mathbf{x})} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i g_i(\mathbf{x})}_{g(\mathbf{x})} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$, $0 < \alpha_i \forall i$,

can be unrolled into a deep-unfolded network with the feedforward operation

$$\mathbf{x}^{(l+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^{(l)} \mathcal{P}_i \left(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}^{(l)} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}; \Theta_i^{(l)} \right),$$

where \mathbf{S} , \mathbf{W} , $\{\alpha_i^{(l)}\}$, and $\{\Theta_i^{(l)}\}$ are learnable parameters of the network with l representing the layer of the network.

Proof. The optimization strategy for solving the composite regularization problem in (1) relies on Majorization-Minimization (MM) [1] and proximal averaging [2–6]. The data-fidelity term $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is proper, closed, and L -smooth, i.e., $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|_2 \leq L\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$.

Thus, there exists $\eta < 1/L$ such that $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x})$ is upper-bounded locally by a strongly convex function about $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{(l)}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}) \leq Q^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

where $Q^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(l)})^\top (\frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(l)}) + f(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}) + g(\mathbf{x})$ at iteration l . Minimizing the majorizer $Q^{(l)}(\mathbf{x})$ gives the following update for \mathbf{x} :

$$\mathbf{x}^{(l+1)} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^{(l)}\|_2^2 + g(\mathbf{x}) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{u}^{(l)} = \mathbf{x}^{(l)} - \eta \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(l)} - \mathbf{y})$. Since there is no closed-form solution to (3), we employ proximal averaging [4, 5] to obtain an approximate update:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{(l+1)} &= \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\alpha_i}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^{(l)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) \right\}, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \mathcal{P}_i(\mathbf{u}^{(l)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The iterative update in (4) involves linear transformations followed by a nonlinear activation, which can be interpreted as a layer in a feedforward neural network, and is thus unfolded to obtain a network with the following input-output relation for the l^{th} layer:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(l+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^{(l)} \mathcal{P}_i \left(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}^{(l)} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}; \Theta_i^{(l)} \right), \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{S} is initialized with $\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ and \mathbf{W} with $\eta \mathbf{A}^\top$. \square

1. REFERENCES

[1] M. A. T. Figueiredo, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and R. D. Nowak, “Majorization–minimization algorithms for wavelet-based im-

age restoration,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 2980–2991, 2007.

- [2] W. Zhong and J. Kwok, “Gradient descent with proximal average for nonconvex and composite regularization,” in *Proceedings of AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 28, no. 1, 2014.
- [3] U. S. Kamilov, “A parallel proximal algorithm for anisotropic total variation minimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 539–548, 2016.
- [4] H. H. Bauschke, R. Goebel, Y. Lucet, and X. Wang, “The proximal average: basic theory,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 766–785, 2008.
- [5] Y. Yu, “Better approximation and faster algorithm using the proximal average,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 26, pp. 458–466, 2013.
- [6] S. Mache, P. K. Pokala, K. Rajendran, and C. S. Seelamantula, “NuSPAN: A proximal average network for nonuniform sparse model — application to seismic reflectivity inversion,” 2021, arXiv:2105.00003 [Online].