Lowering the antinna Height: -) It does not always reduce the Cochannel interference but in some Circumstances like dairly that ground of in a valley struction it will be very Effective for reducing the Cochannel & adjulent channel into -) There are Three Cases where lowering the antenna height may of may not Effectively help to reduce the interference a) on a high hill & high Spet: - The Effective antenne height, ruther than the actual antenne height Varies according to the literation of mobile unit. -) when the antenne site is on a hill then the Effective antenna height. It we reduce the actual antenna height to cishi he.

when the antenne site is on a hill then the Effective antenna height It we reduce the actual antenna height to 0.5 h, then the Effective antenna hight becomes 0.5 h, +H then the gain reduction is G = 20 log 05h,+H - 0 = 00 log (1-0.5hi)-a If h, << H, then G = so logo(1) = 0 dB This proves that the lowering antenna height on the hill does not reduce the received power at 89ther coll site & the mobile unit.

 $G_1 = Ac \log \frac{\frac{1}{6}h_1}{\frac{2}{3}h_1} = -12 dB$

This Samply proves that the lowerd antenna height in a valley is Very Effective in reducing the radiated power. -) However in the area adjacent to the Cell site antona, the Effective antenna is the Same as the actual antenna height. The power reduction caused by decreasing antenna height by half is only $20 \log \frac{1/2h_1}{h_1} = -6 dB$ In a forested area: - In a forested area, the antenna hight must be higher than all of trees to the tourising because Excessive attenuation of desired signal in occur in the vienity of the antenna & in its Cell boundary of to antenna were below the trotop level