C2 ASSIGNMENT: PG.P.10.4. Quantum Mechanics-1

Karthik J, X Semester M.Sc.Ed.Physics (DP150006)

September 1, 2020

Part 1: Short answer questions

2. Show that linear momentum operator is Hermitian.

Solution: An operator \hat{A} is said to be Hermitian if

$$\left\langle \phi \middle| \hat{A}\psi \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{A}\phi \middle| \psi \right\rangle \tag{1}$$

The momentum operator \hat{p} , in the position basis, is defined as:

$$\hat{\vec{p}} = -i\hbar \, \vec{\nabla} \tag{2}$$

In one dimension, this reduces to:

$$\vec{p} = p_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{3}$$

Consider two vectors $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$.

$$\langle \phi | \hat{p}\psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x) \, \hat{p} \, \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x) \, \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) \, \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x) \, \left(-i\hbar \frac{d\psi(x)}{dx} \right) \, dx$$

$$= -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x) \, \left(\frac{d\psi(x)}{dx} \right) \, dx$$

The integral is now of the form uv'. Hence this can be integrated by parts:

$$\langle \phi | \hat{p}\psi \rangle = -i\hbar \left(\phi^*(x)\psi(x)|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\phi^*(x)}{dx}\psi(x) dx \right)$$

Now, the wave functions vanish as $x \to \pm \infty$. Hence the first term becomes zero. Hence,

$$\langle \phi | \hat{p}\psi \rangle = i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\phi^*(x)}{dx} \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(i\hbar \frac{d\phi^*(x)}{dx} \right) \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-i\hbar \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \right)^* \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\hat{p} \phi(x) \right]^* \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \langle \hat{p} \phi | \psi \rangle$$

$$\therefore \left[\langle \phi | \hat{p}\psi \rangle = \langle \hat{p} \phi | \psi \rangle \right]$$

Hence, by equation (1), the linear momentum operator \hat{p} is a Hermitian operator.

4. Show that Hermitian operators have real eigenvalues.

Solution: Consider a Hermitian operator \hat{A} which has the eigen-value equation:

$$\hat{A} |\psi\rangle = a |\psi\rangle \tag{4}$$

Since these vectors are members of a Hilbert's space, they have finite inner products. Hence, we can take the inner product with $|\psi\rangle$ in the above equation:

$$\left\langle \psi \middle| \hat{A} \psi \right\rangle = a \left\langle \psi \middle| \psi \right\rangle \tag{5}$$

Since \hat{A} is Hermitian, by equation (1),

$$\langle \psi | \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \psi | \psi \rangle = a^* \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$$
 (6)

Therefore, from equations (5) and (6),

$$a = a^*$$

This will be true only if a is a real number, i.e., $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

:. Hermitian operators have real eigenvalues.

5. Find $[x, p^2]$ or $[x^2, p]$ or $[x, p^n]$ or $[x^n, p]$.

Solution:

$$[x,p] = i\hbar \tag{7}$$

By the properties of commutators:

$$[A, BC] = B[A, C] + [A, B]C$$
 (8)

$$[x, p^{2}] = p [x, p] + [x, p] p$$
$$= i\hbar p + i\hbar p$$
$$= 2i\hbar p$$

$$[x^{2}, p] = x [x, p] + [x, p] x$$
$$= i\hbar x + i\hbar x$$
$$= 2i\hbar x$$

$$[x^{3}, p] = [x x^{2}, p]$$

$$= x [x^{2}, p] + [x, p] x^{2}$$

$$= x(2i\hbar x) + i\hbar x^{2}$$

$$= 3i\hbar x^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} [x, p^3] &= [x, p \, p^2] \\ &= p \, [x, p^2] + [x, p] \, p^2 \\ &= p \, (2i\hbar \, p) + i\hbar \, p^2 \\ &= 3i\hbar \, p^2 \end{split}$$

So, in general,

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 [x^n, p] = ni\hbar x^{n-1} \\
 [x, p^n] = ni\hbar p^{n-1}
 \end{bmatrix}
 \tag{9}$$

$$[x, p^n] = ni\hbar \, p^{n-1} \, \Big| \tag{10}$$

Long Answer Questions

8. Derive the expression for general uncertainty relation for non-commuting operators \hat{A} , \hat{B} satisfying

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = i\hat{C}$$

Solution:

If $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{A} , then

$$\hat{A} |\psi\rangle = \lambda |\psi\rangle \tag{11}$$

where λ is real. The uncertainty in the measurement of \hat{A} is

$$\Delta A = \sqrt{\left\langle \left(\hat{A} - \left\langle \hat{A} \right\rangle \right)^2 \right\rangle} = \sqrt{\left\langle \hat{A}^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{A} \right\rangle^2}$$

Consider the uncertainty in the measurement of \hat{A} in the eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$:

$$(\Delta A)^{2} = \langle \hat{A}^{2} \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^{2}$$

$$= \langle \psi | \hat{A}^{2} | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle^{2}$$

$$= \lambda^{2} - \lambda^{2}$$

$$= 0$$
(12)

Suppose $\hat{A},\,\hat{B}$ are non-commuting Hermitian operators satisfying

$$[\hat{A},\hat{B}]=i\hat{C}$$

 \hat{C} is Hermitian:

$$\begin{split} \hat{C} &= -i[\hat{A},\hat{B}] \\ &= -i\left(\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \left[-i \left(\hat{A} \hat{B} - \hat{B} \hat{A} \right) \right]^{\dagger}$$

$$= \left(\hat{A} \hat{B} - \hat{B} \hat{A} \right)^{\dagger} (-i)^{\dagger}$$

$$= i \left(\hat{A} \hat{B} - \hat{B} \hat{A} \right)^{\dagger}$$

$$= i \left[\left(\hat{A} \hat{B} \right)^{\dagger} - \left(\hat{B} \hat{A} \right)^{\dagger} \right]$$

$$= i \left[\hat{B} \hat{A} - \hat{A} \hat{B} \right]$$

$$= -i [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$$

$$= \hat{C}$$

Suppose the system is in some state $|\phi\rangle$. Define:

$$|\chi\rangle \equiv (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{I}) |\phi\rangle \tag{13}$$

$$|\zeta\rangle \equiv (\hat{B} - \langle \hat{B} \rangle \hat{I}) |\phi\rangle$$
 (14)

By Schwarz inequality,

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle \langle \zeta | \zeta \rangle \ge \|\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle \|^2$$
 (15)

Now consider,

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = \langle \chi | (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{I}) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{I}) (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{I}) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | (\hat{A}^2 - 2 \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{A} + \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | \hat{A}^2 | \phi \rangle - 2 \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2$$

$$= \langle \phi | \hat{A}^2 | \phi \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2$$

$$= \langle \phi | \hat{A}^2 | \phi \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2$$

$$= (\Delta A)^2$$
(16)

Similarly,

$$\langle \zeta | \zeta \rangle = (\Delta B)^2 \tag{17}$$

Now consider the third term required in equation (15)

$$\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle = \langle \phi | (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{I}) (\hat{B} - \langle \hat{B} \rangle \hat{I}) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \langle \hat{B} \rangle \hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \hat{B} + \langle \hat{A} \rangle \langle \hat{B} \rangle) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | \hat{A}\hat{B} | \phi \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle \langle \hat{B} \rangle$$
(18)

$$\langle \phi | \hat{A}\hat{B} | \phi \rangle = \left\langle \phi \middle| \left\{ \left(\frac{\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}}{2} \right) \right\} \middle| \phi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \phi \middle| \frac{i\hat{C}}{2} \middle| \phi \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \phi \middle| \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A} \middle| \phi \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \left\langle \hat{C} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \phi \middle| \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A} \middle| \phi \right\rangle$$
(19)

Substituting equation (19) in equation (18),

$$\begin{split} \langle \chi | \zeta \rangle &= \frac{i}{2} \left< \hat{C} \right> + \frac{1}{2} \left< \phi \middle| \hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A} \middle| \phi \right> - \left< A \right> \left< B \right> \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left< \hat{C} \right> + \frac{1}{2} \left< \phi \middle| \hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A} \middle| \phi \right> - \left< A \right> \left< B \right> \left< \phi \middle| \phi \right> \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left< \hat{C} \right> + \frac{1}{2} \left< \phi \middle| \hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A} \middle| \phi \right> - \left< \phi \middle| \left< A \right> \left< B \right> \middle| \phi \right> \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left< \hat{C} \right> + \frac{1}{2} \left< \phi \middle| \left\{ (\hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A}) - 2 \left< A \right> \left< B \right> \right\} \middle| \phi \right> \end{split}$$

Let $\hat{D} \equiv \left[(\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}) - 2 \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle \right]$. Note that this is also an operator.

Therefore

$$\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \langle \hat{C} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi | \hat{D} | \phi \rangle \tag{20}$$

Now,

$$\|\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle \|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \hat{C} \right\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \phi \middle| \hat{D} \middle| \phi \right\rangle^2 \tag{21}$$

$$(\because |(a+ib)|^2 = (a+ib)(a-ib) = a^2 + b^2)$$

Since all the terms in the above equation are real,

$$\|\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle \|^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \hat{C} \right\rangle^2 \tag{22}$$

Combining equation (15) with the above equation,

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle \langle \zeta | \zeta \rangle \ge \|\langle \chi | \zeta \rangle\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} \langle \hat{C} \rangle^2$$

Now, using the results from (16) and (17),

$$(\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \hat{C} \right\rangle^2 \tag{23}$$

$$(24) (\Delta A) (\Delta B) \ge \frac{1}{2} |\langle \hat{C} \rangle|$$

The above gives the general uncertainty principle for any two non-commuting Hermitian operators:

If \hat{A} and \hat{B} are two non-commuting Hermitian operators, then the product of the uncertainties in \hat{A} and \hat{B} is always greater than $\frac{|\langle \hat{C} \rangle|}{2}$.

12. Solve the Schrödinger equation for the oscillator using the operator method and obtain the energy eigenvalues.

Solution: The time-independent Schrödinger equation is given by:

$$\hat{H}\psi = E\psi \tag{25}$$

By using the fact that any potential V(x) is approximately parabolic in the neighbourhood of a local minimum,

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{x}^2$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{k/m}$.

Substituting the equation for the Hamiltonian operator as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(x)$$

Equation 25 becomes

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left[\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 \right] \psi(x) = E\psi(x) \tag{26}$$

(Note that p and x are operators.)

We define two operators:

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} \left(i\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x} \right) \tag{27}$$

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} \left(-i\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x} \right) \tag{28}$$

Now, consider

$$\hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2\hbar m\omega} \left(i\hat{p} + m\omega\hat{x} \right) \left(-i\hat{p} + m\omega\hat{x} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar m\omega} \left\{ \hat{p}^2 + (m\omega\hat{x})^2 - im\omega \left(\hat{x}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{x} \right) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar m\omega} \left\{ \hat{p}^2 + (m\omega\hat{x})^2 - im\omega \left[\hat{x}, \hat{p} \right] \right\}$$

Now,

$$[x,p] = i\hbar$$

$$\therefore \hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2\hbar m\omega} \{\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega\hat{x})^2 + m\omega\hbar\}$$

Using the equation for Hamiltonian as in equation (26),

$$\hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \left(\hat{H} + \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \right)$$

$$\therefore \hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \left(\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{29}$$

Now, consider the following commutator relations:

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = \frac{1}{2m\hbar\omega} (i\hat{p} + m\omega\hat{x}, -i\hat{p} + m\omega\hat{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m\hbar\omega} (im\omega[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] - im\omega[\hat{x}, \hat{p}])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m\hbar\omega} (2m\hbar\omega)$$

$$= 1$$
(30)

Now, using the result in (30) and commutator property,

$$[\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}] = -1 \tag{31}$$

Now, consider

$$[\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}, \hat{a}] = \hat{a}^{\dagger}[\hat{a}, \hat{a}] + [\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}]\hat{a}$$

= $-\hat{a}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}\,,\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}] &= \hat{a}^{\dagger}[\hat{a},\hat{a}^{\dagger}] + [\hat{a}^{\dagger},\hat{a}^{\dagger}]\hat{a} \\ &= \hat{a}^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

Now, define

$$\hat{N} \equiv \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \tag{32}$$

Note that \hat{N} is Hermitian.

Let us rewrite the commutator relations we have obtained so far, using the

- $[\hat{a} , \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$ $[N, \hat{a}] = -\hat{a}$

Now, from equation (29), using equations (31) and (32), we can write

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{33}$$

 \hat{N} possesses eigen states with real eigenvalues. Let $|n\rangle$ be an eigenstate of \hat{N} , with eigenvalue n.

$$\hat{N}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle \tag{34}$$

Let $|\chi\rangle \equiv \hat{a} |n\rangle$. Operating \hat{N} on $|\chi\rangle$, we see that:

$$\hat{N} |\chi\rangle = \hat{N}\hat{a} |n\rangle
= (\hat{a}\hat{N} - \hat{a}) |n\rangle \quad \text{(from commutator relation } [\hat{N}, \hat{a}])
= \hat{a}\hat{N} |n\rangle - \hat{a} |n\rangle
= n \hat{a} |n\rangle - \hat{a} |n\rangle \quad \text{(from the eigenvalue equation (34))}
= (n-1)\hat{a} |n\rangle
= (n-1) |\chi\rangle$$
(35)

which means, $|\chi\rangle$ is also an eigen state of \hat{N} with eigenvalue (n-1). Similarly, $(\hat{a})^2 |n\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of \hat{N} with eigenvalue (n-2).

Now, let $|\xi\rangle \equiv \hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle$. Similar to equation (35),

$$\hat{N} |\xi\rangle = \hat{N}\hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle
= (\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{N}) |n\rangle \quad \text{(from commutator relation } [\hat{N}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}])
= \hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle + \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{N} |n\rangle
= \hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle + n\hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle \quad \text{(from the eigenvalue equation (34))}
= (n+1)\hat{a}^{\dagger} |n\rangle
= (n+1) |\xi\rangle$$
(36)

which means, $|\xi\rangle$ is also an eigen state of \hat{N} with eigenvalue (n+1).

Similarly, $(\hat{a}^{\dagger})^2 |n\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of \hat{N} with eigenvalue (n+2).

Due to these properties, the operators \hat{a} and \hat{a}^{\dagger} are known as *annihilation* and *creation* operators respectively. This is because, given a particular state, one can apply \hat{a}^{\dagger} and \hat{a} on that state to get new states with higher and lower eigenvalues respectively.

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = \left\langle n \middle| \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \middle| n \right\rangle = \left\langle n \middle| \hat{N} \middle| n \right\rangle = n \left\langle n | n \right\rangle \geq 0$$

So n is non-negative and real.

 \hat{a} cannot be applied indefinitely on a given state. At some point, on repeated application of \hat{a} , a lowest energy state is obtained. To satisfy this condition, n must be an integer. Therefore, n is a non-negative integer.

The lowest energy state, $|0\rangle$ has eigenvalue zero:

$$N|0\rangle = 0$$

$$\implies \hat{a}|0\rangle = 0$$
(37)

Call $|0\rangle$ as $\psi_0(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} (i\hat{p} + m\omega\hat{x}) \psi_0(x) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} \left(i \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) + m\omega\hat{x} \right) \psi_0(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\psi_0(x)}{dx} + \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} x \psi_0(x) = 0$$
Solving, $\psi_0(x) = A e^{-\left(\frac{m\omega x^2}{2\hbar}\right)}$

To get the constant of integration A, apply the normalization condition:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_0^*(x) \, \psi_0(x) \, dx = 1$$

$$\implies A^2 = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}}$$

$$\implies A = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

Therefore, the time-independent wave function of the lowest energy state is:

$$\psi_0(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\left(\frac{m\omega x^2}{2\hbar}\right)}$$
(38)

The time dependent wave function is therefore

$$\Psi_0(x,t) = \psi_0(x) e^{\frac{-iE_0t}{\hbar}}$$
 (39)

Now, from equation (33) it is clear that,

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{N}] = 0$$

In other words, the operators \hat{H} and \hat{N} , commute. Since, they commute, the eigenstates of \hat{H} are also eigenstates of \hat{N} (since they are Hermitian).

This means that, since $|n\rangle$, $|\chi\rangle$ and $|\xi\rangle$ are eigenstates of \hat{N} , they must be eigenstates of \hat{H} also.

$$\hat{H} |n\rangle = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2}\right) |n\rangle$$

$$= \hbar\omega \left(\hat{N} |n\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |n\rangle\right)$$

$$= \hbar\omega \left(n |n\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |n\rangle\right)$$

$$= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega |n\rangle$$
(40)

Using $\hat{H}|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$, we see that

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega \tag{41}$$

Hence, even when n = 0, the energy is non-zero. In other words, there is no zero energy state. From (38) and (41),

$$\Psi_{0}(x,t) = \psi_{0}(x) e^{\frac{-iE_{0}t}{\hbar}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\left(\frac{m\omega x^{2}}{2\hbar}\right)} e^{\frac{-i\left(\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\right)t}{\hbar}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{m\omega x^{2}}{2\hbar}} e^{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}}$$
(42)

Any higher state can be obtained by applying the creation operator on the ground state $\psi_0(x)$ and continuing the same procedure discussed above.

The general wave function of the harmonic oscillator can be written in terms of the Hermite polynomials as:

$$\psi_n(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\xi) e^{-\xi^2/2}$$
(43)

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite polynomials and $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}x$