# PLSC 503 – Spring 2020 Multiplicative Interactions, II

March 19, 2020

#### 1996 NES Data

- > ClintonTherm<-read.csv("ClintonTherm.csv")</pre>
- > summary(ClintonTherm)

caseid	ClintonTherm	RConserv	ClintonConserv
Min. :1001	Min. : 0	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.:1440	1st Qu.: 30	1st Qu.:3.000	1st Qu.:2.000
Median:1854	Median: 60	Median :4.000	Median :3.000
Mean :2001	Mean : 57	Mean :4.323	Mean :2.985
3rd Qu.:2262	3rd Qu.: 85	3rd Qu.:5.000	3rd Qu.:4.000
Max. :3403	Max. :100	Max. :7.000	Max. :7.000
PID	GOP		
Min. :1.000	Min. :0.00	00	
1st Qu.:1.000	st Qu.:1.000 1st Qu.:0.0000		
Median :2.000	Median :0.0000		
Mean :2.059	Mean :0.31	61	
3rd Qu.:3.000 3rd Qu.:1.0000			
Max. :5.000	Max. :1.00	00	

### A Basic Regression

Residual standard error: 23.65 on 1294 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.3795, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3786 F-statistic: 395.7 on 2 and 1294 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Signif. codes: 0 \*\*\* 0.001 \*\* 0.01 \* 0.05 . 0.1 1

#### An Interactive Model

```
> fit1<-with(ClintonTherm, lm(ClintonTherm~RConserv+GOP+
            RConserv*GOP))
> summary(fit1)
Call:
lm(formula = ClintonTherm ~ RConserv + GOP + RConserv * GOP)
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 89.9271
                        2.4866 36.165 < 2e-16 ***
RConserv -5.5705
                        0.6085 -9.154 < 2e-16 ***
GOP -6.4840
                        6.5690 -0.987 0.32379
RConserv:GOP -4.0581 1.2808 -3.168 0.00157 **
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 23.57 on 1293 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3843, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3829
```


F-statistic: 269 on 3 and 1293 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

### Two Regressions, Sort Of

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{Thermometer} \mid \mathsf{Non\text{-}GOP})_i &= 89.9 - 6.5(0) - 5.6(\mathsf{R's}\;\mathsf{Conservatism}_i) \\ &- 4.0(0 \times \mathsf{R's}\;\mathsf{Conservatism}_i) \\ &= 89.9 - 5.6(\mathsf{R's}\;\mathsf{Conservatism}_i) \end{split}$$

E(Thermometer | GOP)<sub>i</sub> = 
$$[89.9 - 6.5(1)] + [-5.6 - 4.0(1 \times \text{R's Conservatism}_i)]$$
  
=  $83.4 - 9.6(\text{R's Conservatism}_i)$ 

### Thermometer Scores by Conservatism, GOP and Non-GOP



# Interactive Results are (Almost) Identical to Separate Regressions

```
> NonReps<-subset(ClintonTherm,GOP==0)</pre>
> summary(with(NonReps, lm(ClintonTherm~RConserv)))
Call:
lm(formula = ClintonTherm ~ RConserv, data = NonReps)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 89.9271 2.4695 36.416 <2e-16 ***
RConserv
            -5.5705 0.6043 -9.217 <2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 23.41 on 885 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.08759, Adjusted R-squared: 0.08656
F-statistic: 84.96 on 1 and 885 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

# Interactive Results are (Almost) Identical to Separate Regressions

```
> Reps<-subset(ClintonTherm,GOP==1)</pre>
> summary(with(Reps, lm(ClintonTherm~RConserv)))
Call:
lm(formula = ClintonTherm ~ RConserv, data = Reps)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 83.443 6.170 13.524 < 2e-16 ***
RConsery -9.629 1.144 -8.419 6.52e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 23.92 on 408 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.148, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1459
F-statistic: 70.88 on 1 and 408 DF, p-value: 6.518e-16
```

For RConserv:

Clinton Thermometer; 
$$= \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_3 \mathsf{GOP}_i)\mathsf{R}$$
's Conservatism;  $+ \beta_2 \mathsf{GOP}_i + u_i$   
 $= \beta_0 + \psi_{1i}\mathsf{R}$ 's Conservatism;  $+ \beta_2 \mathsf{GOP}_i + u_i$ .

So:

$$\hat{\psi}_{1i} = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_3 \times \mathsf{GOP}_i$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\psi_1} = \sqrt{\widehat{\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)} + (\mathsf{GOP})^2 \widehat{\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_3)} + 2(\mathsf{GOP}) \widehat{\mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_3)}}.$$

For GOP:

Clinton Thermometer<sub>i</sub> = 
$$\beta_0 + (\beta_2 + \beta_3 \times R's Conservatism_i)GOP_i + \beta_1(R's Conservatism_i) + u_i$$
  
=  $\beta_0 + \psi_{2i}GOP_i + \beta_1(R's Conservatism_i) + u_i$ .

So:

$$\hat{\psi}_{2i} = \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \times (\mathsf{R's\ Conservatism}_i).$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\psi_2} = \sqrt{\widehat{\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2)} + (\mathsf{R's\ Conservatism}_i)^2 \widehat{\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_3)} + 2k\widehat{\mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_2,\hat{\beta}_3)}}.$$

```
> Psi1<-fit1$coeff[2]+fit1$coeff[4]
> Psi1
    RConserv
-9.628577
> SPsi1<-sqrt(vcov(fit1)[2,2] + (1)^2*vcov(fit1)[4,4] + 2*1*vcov(fit1)[2,4])
> SPsi1
[1] 1.127016
> Psi1 / SPsi1 # <-- t-statistic
    RConserv
-8.543422</pre>
```

```
> # psi_2 | RConserv = 1
> fit1$coeff[3]+(1 * fit1$coeff[4])
    GOP
-10.54208
> sqrt(vcov(fit1)[3,3] + (1)^2*vcov(fit1)[4,4] + 2*1*vcov(fit1)[3,4])
[1] 5.335847
# Implies t is approximately 2
> # psi_2 | RConserv = 7
> fit1$coeff[3]+(7 * fit1$coeff[4])
    GNP
-34.89045
[1] 3.048302
# t is approximately 11
```

### An Easier Way: linearHypothesis()

```
> library(car)
> linearHypothesis(fit1, "RConserv+RConserv:GOP")
Linear hypothesis test
Hypothesis:
RConserv + RConserv:GOP = 0
Model 1: restricted model
Model 2: ClintonTherm ~ RConserv + GOP + RConserv * GOP
 Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
   1294 758714
2 1293 718173 1 40541 72.99 < 2.2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
> # Note: Same as t-test:
> sqrt(72.99)
[1] 8.543419
```


### An Easier Way: linearHypothesis()

```
> # psi_2 | RConserv = 7:
> linearHypothesis(fit1, "GOP+7*RConserv:GOP")
Linear hypothesis test
Hypothesis:
GOP + 7 RConserv: GOP = 0
Model 1: restricted model
Model 2: ClintonTherm ~ RConserv + GOP + RConserv * GOP
 Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
   1294 790938
2 1293 718173 1 72766 131.01 < 2.2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
```

### Marginal Effects Plots, I

```
> ConsSim<-seq(1,7,1)
> psis<-fit1$coeff[3]+(ConsSim * fit1$coeff[4])
> psis.ses<-sqrt(vcov(fit1)[3,3] +
    (ConsSim)^2*vcov(fit1)[4,4] + 2*ConsSim*vcov(fit1)[3,4])

> plot(ConsSim,psis,t="l",lwd=2,xlab="Respondent Conservatism",
    ylab="Estimated Marginal Effect",ylim=c(-40,0))
> lines(ConsSim,psis+(1.96*psis.ses),lty=2,lwd=2)
> lines(ConsSim,psis-(1.96*psis.ses),lty=2,lwd=2)
> abline(h=0,lwd=1,lty=2)
```



### Interacting Two Continuous Covariates

```
> fit2<-with(ClintonTherm,
       lm(ClintonTherm~RConserv+ClintonConserv+RConserv*ClintonConserv))
> summarv(fit2)
Call:
lm(formula = ClintonTherm ~ RConserv + ClintonConserv + RConserv *
   ClintonConserv)
Coefficients:
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                       119.3515
                                   5.1634 23.115 < 2e-16 ***
RConserv
                       -19.5673 1.0362 -18.884 < 2e-16 ***
ClintonConserv
                       -7.9311 1.6477 -4.813 1.66e-06 ***
RConserv:ClintonConserv 3.6293
                                   0.3394 \ 10.695 \ < 2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 22.03 on 1293 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4619.Adjusted R-squared: 0.4606
F-statistic: 370 on 3 and 1293 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

### Hypothesis Tests

```
> fit2$coef[2]+(1*fit2$coef[4])
RConserv
-15.93803
[1] 0.7439696
> linearHypothesis(fit2, "RConserv+1*RConserv:ClintonConserv")
Linear hypothesis test
Hypothesis:
RConserv + RConserv:ClintonConserv = 0
Model 1: restricted model
Model 2: ClintonTherm ~ RConserv + ClintonConserv + RConserv * ClintonConserv
 Res.Df
         RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
1 1294 850442
2 1293 627658 1 222784 458.94 < 2.2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
```


### More Hypothesis Tests

```
> # psi_1 | ClintonConserv = mean
> fit2$coef[2]+((mean(ClintonTherm$ClintonConserv))*fit2$coef[4])
 RConserv
-8.735424
> sgrt(vcov(fit2)[2.2] + (mean(ClintonTherm$ClintonConserv)^2*vcov(fit2)[4.4] +
                              2*(mean(ClintonTherm$ClintonConserv))*vcov(fit2)[2.4]))
[1] 0.4507971
> pt(((fit2$coef[2]+(2.985*fit2$coef[4])) / sqrt(vcov(fit2)[2,2] +
      (2.985)^2 \times \text{vcov}(\text{fit2})[4.4] + 2 \times 2.985 \times \text{vcov}(\text{fit2})[2.4]), df = 1293)
    RConserv
6.483788e-74
> # psi 2 | RConserv = 1
> fit2$coef[3]+(1*fit2$coef[4])
ClintonConserv
     -4.301803
> # psi 2 | RConserv = 6
> fit2$coef[3]+(6*fit2$coef[4])
ClintonConserv
      13.84463
```

### Marginal Effect Plot, II

```
> psis2<-fit2$coef[3]+(ConsSim*fit2$coef[4])
> psis2.ses<-sqrt(vcov(fit2)[3,3] + (ConsSim)^2*vcov(fit2)[4,4]
+ 2*ConsSim*vcov(fit2)[3,4])


> plot(ConsSim,psis2,t="l",lwd=2,xlab="Respondent's
    Conservatism",ylab="Marginal Effect of Clinton's
    Conservatism",ylim=c(-10,20))
> lines(ConsSim,psis2+(1.96*psis2.ses),lty=2,lwd=2)
> lines(ConsSim,psis2-(1.96*psis2.ses),lty=2,lwd=2)
> abline(h=0,lty=2,lwd=1,col="red")
```



#### Predicted Values: A Contour Plot

```
> library(lattice)
> grid<-expand.grid(RConserv=seq(1,7,1),
   ClintonConserv=seq(1,7,1))
> hats<-predict(fit2,newdata=grid)

> levelplot(hats~grid$RConserv*grid$ClintonConserv,
   contour=TRUE,
   cuts=12,pretty=TRUE,xlab="Respondent's Conservatism",
   ylab="Clinton's Conservatism",
   col.regions=heat.colors)
```



#### Predicted Values: A Wireframe Plot

```
> trellis.par.set("axis.line",list(col="transparent"))
> wireframe(hats~grid$RConserv*grid$ClintonConserv,
    drape=TRUE,
    xlab=list("Respondent's Conservatism",rot=30),
    ylab=list("Clinton's Conservatism",
    rot=-40),zlab=list("Predictions",rot=90),
    scales=list(arrows=FALSE,col="black"),
    zoom=0.85,pretty=TRUE),
    col.regions=colorRampPalette(c("blue","red"))(100))
```

