

# Student Proctoring System

Dr.S.P.Bangarashetti  
HOD, Information Science and  
Engineering Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
spbis@becbgk.edu

Mr.Anup Naik  
Information Science and Engineering  
Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
anupnaik54810@gmail.com

Dr.S.R.Patil  
Faculty, Information Science and  
Engineering Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
srpis@becbgk.edu

Mr.Kartik.B.H  
Information Science and Engineering  
Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
kartikbh56@gmail.com

Mr.Akshay.R.K  
Information Science and Engineering  
Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
rkakshay02@gmail.com

Mr.Samarth.S.B  
Information Science and Engineering  
Department  
Basaveshwar Engineering College  
Bagalkote, India  
samarthbagalur2001@gmail.com

**Abstract—** In modern education, Student Proctoring Systems have become vital for fostering mentorship, enhancing student engagement, academic performance, and satisfaction. This paper conducts a thorough exploration of these systems, analyzing their functionalities, challenges, and best practices. It introduces a novel methodology for improving student academic performance through mentoring, utilizing the web-based Student Proctor Diary (SPD). The methodology addresses traditional advising limitations by offering real-time data and personalized insights. The Student Proctoring System empowers students and mentors to track progress, set goals, receive feedback, and access resources. This approach cultivates a supportive environment, ultimately boosting academic achievement and retention. The paper concludes with implications for practice and future research in student mentoring and academic support.

**Keywords—**Student proctoring system, Education technology, Mentorship, Academic performance, Student proctor diary

## I. INTRODUCTION

In contemporary education, fostering supportive mentorship relationships is paramount for student development. Student proctoring systems leverage technology to facilitate these connections, enhancing engagement, performance, and satisfaction. This paper explores the functionalities, challenges, and best practices associated with these systems. Furthermore, it introduces a pioneering methodology for student mentoring by integrating the Student Proctor Diary (SPD). This innovative approach aims to empower students while providing mentors with actionable insights. Drawing from existing literature, the paper establishes the foundation for the proposed methodology, emphasizing its potential benefits for both students and institutions. It concludes by discussing practical implications and offering recommendations for future research, with the goal of advancing student success in higher education.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The document [2] proposes the development of a Student Counselling Management System (SCMS) to streamline counselling processes in educational institutions. It highlights the importance of counselling for students' academic, emotional, and social well-being. The proposed system aims to automate and simplify counselling tasks, replacing manual

record-keeping with a computerized system. The SCMS will allow counsellors to maintain student records, track progress, and generate reports efficiently. It will also provide notifications for counsellors about student issues and facilitate communication with parents. Overall, the SCMS is positioned as a solution to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of student counselling programs.

The document [5] proposes a web-based Academic Advising System to streamline the advising process in educational institutions. It emphasizes the shift from traditional methods to automation to enhance efficiency. The proposed system allows students to submit complaints, evaluations, and suggestions, which are then managed by advisors, staff, and department heads through different modules. Implemented using Ruby on Rails and PostgreSQL, the system aims to improve student satisfaction and encourage their participation in quality control.

The document [6] describes "AdviseMe," an intelligent web-based application designed to enhance the academic advising process in tertiary-level institutions. It addresses common issues such as high student-advisor loads and long waiting periods by offering a user-friendly interface for handling general advisory cases. The system utilizes semantic web expert system technologies to provide accurate advice on course advising, graduation status, and oral exam qualifications. The paper outlines the methodology, including pre-implementation research and system implementation phases, demonstrating high levels of acceptability among students and advisors. AdviseMe aims to improve the efficiency, integrity, and transparency of academic advising processes, offering a feasible solution for tertiary institutions facing similar challenges.

The document [7] discusses the development of a web-based academic advising system to enhance retention and graduation rates at a southeastern university in the United States. It highlights challenges with existing advisement systems, particularly those integrated into ERP platforms like PeopleSoft. The new system aims to provide faculty advisors with a user-friendly interface to access student information, plan future semesters, record advisement sessions, monitor attendance, and generate reports. Developed in-house using ASP.Net and an Access database, the system aligns with PeopleSoft's data structure for potential future migration to Oracle. Initial feedback suggests high satisfaction among both students and faculty, indicating improved academic advising processes.

In this document [9], Sean Bridgen from Penn State New Kensington conducted a case study at a satellite campus of Mid-Atlantic University (MAU) to understand the identity

crisis within academic advising. Using systems theory, Bridgen analyzed discrepancies between the documented purposes of advising and its actual practice. Findings revealed a predominant reliance on prescriptive advising despite the university policy advocating for a developmental approach. Bridgen suggests that addressing systemic issues is crucial for establishing a unique identity for academic advising.

### III. METHODOLOGY

Academic advising systems have evolved over time to meet the changing needs of students and institutions. Various methodologies have been used in academic advising, each with its own strengths and weaknesses. Here are some of the methodologies commonly used:

1. *Traditional Advising*: This method involves one-on-one meetings between students and advisors, typically faculty members or professional advisors. Advisors assist students in setting academic goals, selecting courses, and addressing any academic concerns.
2. *Developmental Advising*: Developmental advising focuses on the holistic development of students, including academic, personal, and career goals. Advisors work with students over an extended period to support their growth and progress.
3. *Prescriptive Advising*: In this approach, advisors provide students with specific recommendations or prescriptions for courses based on their academic performance, major requirements, and career goals.
4. *Intrusive Advising*: Intrusive advising involves proactive interventions by advisors to identify and address potential academic issues before they become major problems. This may include monitoring students' progress, reaching out to students at risk of failure, and providing additional support as needed.
5. *Technology-Assisted Advising*: Technology plays an increasingly important role in academic advising, with platforms and software applications used to track students' progress, provide information on degree requirements, and facilitate communication between students and advisors.
6. *Strengths-Based Advising*: This approach focuses on identifying and building upon students' strengths, interests, and talents to help them succeed academically and personally.
7. *Group Advising*: Group advising sessions bring together multiple students with similar academic interests or needs to receive guidance and support from an advisor. This can be an efficient way to disseminate information and foster peer support.
8. *Career Advising*: Some advising systems have a specific focus on career planning and development, helping students explore career options, develop job search skills, and connect with internship or employment opportunities.
9. *Peer Advising*: Peer advisors, often more experienced students, provide guidance and support to their peers under the supervision of professional advisors. Peer

advising can be particularly effective in fostering a sense of community and understanding among students.

10. *Online Advising*: With the increasing prevalence of online education, many institutions offer virtual advising services, allowing students to receive guidance and support remotely through email, chat, video conferencing, or online platforms.

These methodologies can be used individually or in combination, depending on the needs and resources of the institution and the preferences of the students. Effective academic advising requires a personalized approach that takes into account students' individual circumstances, goals, and learning styles.

### IV. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

1. *Initial Assessment and Goal Setting*:
  - Students complete an initial assessment to identify their academic strengths, weaknesses, and goals.
  - Mentors review the assessment results and collaborate with students to set realistic and achievable goals for academic improvement.
2. *Access and Integration of Student Proctor Diary (SPD)*:
  - Students are provided access to the web-based Student Proctor Diary where they can input their academic performance data, study habits, and other relevant information.
  - The Student Proctoring System integrates with the institution's academic records to provide a comprehensive view of students' progress.
3. *Regular Monitoring and Feedback*:
  - Mentors regularly monitor students' entries in the Student Proctoring System to track their progress and identify areas for improvement.
  - Mentors provide timely feedback and guidance based on the data recorded in the Student Proctoring System, addressing academic challenges and offering strategies for improvement.
4. *Goal Progression and Adjustments*:
  - Mentors work with students to review their progress towards their goals, adjusting strategies and setting new objectives as needed.
  - The Student Proctoring System allows for dynamic goal tracking, enabling mentors and students to visualize progress over time and make informed decisions.
5. *Resource and Support Recommendations*:
  - Based on students' performance data and identified needs, mentors recommend relevant resources, such as tutoring services, study groups, or academic workshops.
  - Mentors provide ongoing support and encouragement to help students navigate challenges and stay motivated towards their academic goals.

#### 6. *Reflection and Evaluation:*

- Students regularly reflect on their academic journey and progress using the Student Proctoring System, documenting insights, achievements, and areas for further development.
- Mentors facilitate reflective discussions with students, helping them gain insights into their learning process and identify strategies for continued growth.

#### 7. *Continuous Improvement and Collaboration:*

- Mentors continuously refine their mentoring approach based on student feedback and outcomes measured through the Student Proctoring System.
- Collaboration between mentors, students, and other stakeholders (e.g., faculty members, administrators) ensures a holistic approach to student support and success.

#### 8. *Evaluation and Impact Assessment:*

- Periodic evaluations are conducted to assess the effectiveness of the mentoring program and the impact of the Student Proctoring System on student outcomes.
- Data from the Student Proctoring System, along with qualitative feedback from students and mentors, inform ongoing improvements and enhancements to the program.

By implementing this methodology, the Student Proctoring system can serve as a valuable tool for mentoring students, fostering their academic success, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement within the institution.

### V. CONCLUSION

This paper explores the crucial role of mentorship and effective academic advising in higher education. It presents a novel methodology utilizing the web-based Student Proctor Diary (SPD) to enhance student academic performance through personalized mentoring. By integrating SPD, the methodology empowers students and mentors to navigate the academic journey effectively, fostering a supportive environment conducive to student success. This approach holds promise for advancing student mentoring practices and contributing to ongoing efforts to promote academic excellence in higher education. Further research and evaluation of mentoring methodologies, including Student Proctoring System implementation, will be essential for shaping the future of student support services.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to Dr. S.P. Bangarashetti, the Head of the Information Science and Engineering Department at Basaveshwar Engineering College, Bagalkote, India, for her invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement throughout the course of this research.

Special thanks to Dr. S.R. Patil, our esteemed project guide and faculty member of the Information Science and Engineering Department, for her expert mentorship, insightful feedback, and unwavering support at every stage of this research endeavor.

We, Mr. Akshay R.K, Mr. Anup Naik, Mr. Kartik B.H, and Mr. Samarth S.B, express our sincere appreciation for the guidance, mentorship, and collaboration provided by our fellow colleagues and faculty members throughout the research process.

Additionally, we acknowledge the contributions of the researchers whose work was reviewed in the literature review section, as their insights and findings provided invaluable context and inspiration for our research.

Finally, we would like to thank all individuals who have directly or indirectly supported us in the completion of this academic research paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Online Student Counselling System  
Dr.Ajay Gadicha, Mr.Pranav Gangan, Mr.Deepesh Nakhale, Mr.Mehul Suramwar, Mr.Aniket Waghmare, Mr.Shueb Sorathiya
- [2] Student Counselling Management System -A Web Portal for Student Counselling  
Mr.Sagar Patel, MrParth Shah Chandubhai S. Patel Institue of Technology, Charusat, Changa, India
- [3] Online Exam Proctoring System  
Yelkar Anjali Rajendra Pawar Reena Vishwas Khopkar Diksha Anil Ratwadkar Pallavi Pravin Department of Computer Engineering GMVIT Tala, Univeristy of Mumbai Prof. Raghvendra Singh Assistant Professor GMVSC & GMVITUniversity of Mumbai
- [4] A New Framework for E Academic Advising  
Amin Y. Noamana , Fekry Fouad Ahmedb Faculty Of Computing Science & Information Technology, Deanship of Admission and Registration King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah , Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- [5] A Proposed Model for a Web-Based Academic Advising System  
Esraa Afify Modern Academy for Computer Science & Management Technology, Cairo, Egypt esraa\_afify@hotmail.com Mona Nasr Faculty of Computers and Information, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt m.nasr@helwan.edu.eg.
- [6] AdviseMe: An Intelligent Web-Based Application for Academic Advising  
Lawrence Keston Henderson Department of Computing and Information Technology University of the West Indies St. Augustine, Trinidad Wayne Goodridge Department of Computing and Information Technology University of the West Indies St. Augustine, Trinidad
- [7] Design and Development of an Academic Advising System for Improving Retention and Graduation  
Kamal Hingorani, Nasrin Askari-Danesh Alabama State University, [ndanesh@alaslu.edu](mailto:ndanesh@alaslu.edu), [khingorani@alaslu.edu](mailto:khingorani@alaslu.edu)
- [8] Academic Advising: A Correlation Analysis of Online Student Retention and Academic Advisor Emotional Intelligence  
Theodore C. Blashak University of Phoenix
- [9] Using Systems Theory to Understand the Identity of Academic Advising: A Case Study  
Sean Bridgen, Penn State New Kensington

- [10] Online University Academic Advisor  
Thompson, Ekemini Ekerete
- [11] A Proposed Academic Advisor Model Based on Data Mining Classification Techniques  
Mohamed Hegazy Mohamed and Hoda Mohamed Waguih Demonstrator, University, Giza, Egypt Associate Professor, Department of Computer and Information Systems, Sadat Academy for Management Sciences, Cairo, Egypt
- [12] Performance Analysis of Undergraduate Students Placement Selection using Decision Tree Algorithms  
T. Jeevalatha M. Phil Scholar, Department of Computer Science, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. N. Ananthi Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Technology, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, TN, India D. Saravana Kumar Assistant Professor and Placement Officer, Adithiya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, TN, India.
- [13] Automated Student Advisory using Machine Learning  
Walid Mohamed Aly College of Computing and Information Technology Arab Academy for Science, Technology & Maritime Transport Alexandria, Egypt Osama Fathy Hegazy Computer Science Department Cairo Higher Institute for Engineering, Computer Science and Management Cairo, Egypt Heba Mohammed Nagy Rashad Computer Science Department Cairo Higher Institute for Engineering, Computer Science and Management Cairo, Egypt
- [14] Online Advisor System  
Wan Suhana binti Che Wan Ahmad Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirement for the Bachelor of Technology (Hons) (Business Information Systems)Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Seri Iskandar 31750 Tronoh Perak Darul Ridzuan
- [15] Developing Social Presence as an Academic Advisor for Online Graduate Business Students  
Gina Michelle Delgado A Dissertation Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Education