

# CSL361 Problem set 1: Numerical computations - pitfalls

January 7, 2014

## 1 Discretization

Because of the “discrete” nature of the floating point numbers, numerical problem solving requires discretization. Here are a few examples.

1. Derive the trapezoidal rule for numerical integration which goes somewhat like:

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}h[f(x_k) + f(x_{k+1})]$$

for discrete values of  $x_k$  in interval  $[a, b]$ . Compute  $\int_0^\pi \sin(x)dx$  using trapezoidal rule (use  $h = 0.1$ ,  $h = 0.01$  and  $h = 0.001$ ) and compare with the exact result.

2. Consider the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}y'(x) &= 2xy(x) - 2x^2 + 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ y(0) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show/verify that the exact solution is the function  $y(x) = e^{x^2} + x$ .
- (b) If we approximate the derivative operation with a divided difference

$$y'(x_k) = (y_{k+1} - y_k)/(x_{k+1} - x_k)$$

then show that solution can be approximated by the iteration

$$\begin{aligned}y_{k+1} &= y_k + h(2x_k y_k - 2x_k^2 + 1), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ y_0 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_k = kh$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $h = 1/n$ .

- (c) Use  $h = 0.1$  to solve the differential equation numerically and compare (plot) your answers with the exact solution.
3. (a) Derive the Newton's iteration for computing  $\sqrt{2}$  given by
- $$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{2}[x_k + (2/x_k)], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \\x_0 &= 1\end{aligned}$$
- (b) Show the Newton's iteration takes  $O(\log n)$  steps to obtain  $n$  decimal digits of accuracy.
- (c) Numerically compute  $\sqrt{2}$  using Newton's iteration and verify the rate of convergence.
4. We have discussed rounding errors in the class. Truncation errors are those that occur because of termination of a computational process after a finite number of steps. Which of the following are rounding errors and which are truncation errors?
- (a) Replace  $\sin(x)$  by  $x - (x^3/3!) + (x^5)/5! \dots$
- (b) Use 3.1415926536 for  $\pi$ .
- (c) Use the value  $x_{10}$  for  $\sqrt{2}$ , where  $x_k$  is given by Newton's iteration above.
- (d) Divide 1.0 by 3.0 and call the result 0.3333.

## 2 Unstable and Ill-conditioned problems

1. Consider the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}y'(x) &= (2/\pi)xy(y - \pi), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 10 \\y(0) &= y_0\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show/verify that the exact solution to this equation is

$$y(x) = \pi y_0 / [y_0 + (\pi - y_0)e^{x^2}]$$

- (b) Taking  $y_0 = \pi$  compute the solution for
- an 8 digit rounded approximation for  $\pi$
  - a 9 digit rounded approximation for  $\pi$

What can you say about the results?

2. Solve the system

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} 2x & - & 4y & = & 1 \\ -2.998x & + & 6.001y & = & 2 \end{array}$$

using any method you know. Compare the solution with the solution to the system obtained by changing the last equation to  $-2.998x + 6y = 2$ . Is this problem stable?

3. Examine the stability of the equation

$$x^3 - 102x^2 + 201x - 100 = 0$$

which has a solution  $x^* = 1$ . Change one of the coefficients (say 201 to 200) and show that  $x^* = 1$  is no longer even close to a solution.

### 3 Unstable methods

1. Consider the quadratic

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0, \quad a \neq 0$$

Consider  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 1000.01$ ,  $c = -2.5245315$ . Suppose that  $\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}$  is computed correctly to 8 digits, what is the number of digits of accuracy in  $x$ ? What is the source of the error?

2. Show that the solution to the quadratic can be re-written as

$$x = -2c/(b^2 + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac})$$

Write a program to evaluate  $x$  for several values of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  (with  $b$  large and positive and  $a$ ,  $c$  of moderate size). Compare the results obtained with the usual formula and the formula above.

3. Consider the problem of determining the value of the integral

$$\int_0^1 x^{20} e^{x-1} dx$$

If we let

$$I_k = \int_0^1 x^k e^{x-1} dx$$

Then, integration by parts gives us (please verify)

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= 1 - kI_{k-1} \\ I_0 &= \int_0^1 e^{x-1} dx = 1 - (1/e) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can compute  $I_{20}$  by successively computing  $I_1, I_2, \dots$ . Compute the  $(k, I_k)$  table with a program, plot, and see if it makes sense. What are the errors due to?

Compare the results with that obtained using the following recursion (which you can *easily* derive by integrating by parts twice).

$$I_k = (1/\pi) - [k(k-1)/\pi^2]I_{k-2}, \quad k = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$

4. The standard deviation of a set of numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is defined as

$$s = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where  $\bar{x}$  is the average. An alternative formula that is often used is

$$s = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2$$

- (a) Discuss the instability of the second formula for the case where the  $x_i$  are all very close to each other.
  - (b) Observe that  $s$  should always be positive. Write a small program to evaluate the two formulas and find values of  $x_1, \dots, x_{10}$  for which the second one gives negative results.
5. Under fixed point arithmetic, if we add  $n$  numbers, then either we get the exact answer or we get an “overflow” error. This is not true for floating point arithmetic. Consider the `Matlab` program `sumtrouble.py`. You might want to play with the program, changing  $n$  or  $h$  or computing the difference between the computed sums and the true ones in order to understand what is happening.
- (a) Is `sum1` equal to the true value? If not, why not? (Please try be specific - it is not enough to say “round off caused the error” (in typical IITD style).
  - (b) Are any of `sum2` and `sum3` equal to their true value? If not, why not? Why are they different?
  - (c) Ditto for `sum4` and `sum5`.