

## Regression Metrics Overview

Regression problems (predicting continuous values) are evaluated using error-based and variance-based metrics. Each metric captures a different notion of model quality, such as average error, squared error, or explained variance.

### Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Formula:  $MAE = (1/n) * \sum |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$

- Measures average absolute difference between predictions and actual values.
- Intuitive (in same units as target).
- Robust to outliers compared to MSE.

Use case: predicting house prices where absolute deviation matters.

### Mean Squared Error (MSE) and RMSE

Formula:  $MSE = (1/n) * \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$

Formula:  $RMSE = \sqrt{MSE}$

- Penalizes larger errors more strongly (squares).
- Sensitive to outliers.

Use case: forecasting energy demand where large deviations are costly.

### Mean Bias Deviation (MBD)

Formula:  $MBD = (1/n) * \sum (\hat{y}_i - y_i)$

- Captures average tendency to overpredict (+) or underpredict (-).
- Useful for understanding systematic bias.

Use case: solar power forecasting models often check if system consistently over- or underestimates.

### R<sup>2</sup> (Coefficient of Determination)

Formula:  $R^2 = 1 - (\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2)$

- Measures fraction of variance in target explained by the model.
- $R^2 = 1$ : perfect fit;  $R^2 = 0$ : no better than mean predictor;  $R^2 < 0$ : worse than mean.

Use case: general performance measure in regression tasks.

### Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>

Formula:  $Adjusted\ R^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2) * (n-1)/(n-p-1)$

- Penalizes addition of unnecessary predictors.
- Useful in multiple regression where adding features can inflate plain R<sup>2</sup>.

Use case: model selection in econometrics.

## Classification Metrics Overview

Classification tasks are evaluated using metrics that capture trade-offs between detecting positives, avoiding false alarms, and balancing both. Key metrics include Precision, Recall, Specificity, F1 Score, ROC, AUC, and PR curves.

## Precision and Recall

- Precision =  $TP / (TP + FP)$  → Of predicted positives, how many are correct?
- Recall (TPR, Sensitivity) =  $TP / (TP + FN)$  → Of actual positives, how many are caught?

Trade-off: lowering threshold increases Recall but decreases Precision, and vice versa.

Use cases:

- High Recall: medical screening (catch every sick patient).
- High Precision: spam filter (avoid blocking legitimate mails).

## Specificity and NPV

- Specificity (TNR) =  $TN / (TN + FP)$  → Of actual negatives, how many are correctly identified?
- Negative Predictive Value (NPV) =  $TN / (TN + FN)$  → Of predicted negatives, how many are correct?

Use cases:

- Specificity: critical where false alarms are costly (e.g., alarms in safety systems).
- NPV: medicine, e.g., 'If test is negative, can I trust it?'

## F1 Score

$F1 = 2 * (\text{Precision} * \text{Recall}) / (\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})$ . Harmonic mean of Precision and Recall.

- Arithmetic mean hides imbalance; Harmonic mean punishes it.

Example: Precision=1.0, Recall=0.1 → AM=0.55, F1=0.18.

F1 is high only if both Precision and Recall are high.

Use: spam filters, search ranking, medical tests.

## Precision-Recall Curve

Plots Precision vs Recall as threshold varies.

- Left side (low threshold): Recall high, Precision low.
- Right side (high threshold): Precision high, Recall low.

Useful for imbalanced data (fraud detection, cancer, spam). Average Precision (AP) = weighted average of precision across recall levels.

## ROC Curve

ROC = Receiver Operating Characteristic (WWII radar origin).

Plots TPR =  $TP / (TP + FN)$  vs FPR =  $FP / (FP + TN)$ .

- Low threshold → high TPR and FPR.
- High threshold → low TPR and FPR.

Shape: staircase of vertical (TP gain) and horizontal (FP gain) steps.

- Random classifier = diagonal ( $\text{TPR}=\text{FPR}$ ,  $\text{AUC}=0.5$ ).
- Good model = bows above diagonal.
- Bad model = below diagonal (can be inverted).

## AUC (Area Under Curve)

AUC = area under ROC.

Interpretation: probability a random Positive ranks higher than a random Negative.

- $\text{AUC}=1.0 \rightarrow$  Perfect separation.
- $\text{AUC}=0.5 \rightarrow$  Random guessing.
- $\text{AUC}<0.5 \rightarrow$  Worse than random (invert predictions).

Useful as a threshold-independent summary for comparing classifiers.