

ETHICAL HACKING INTERNSHIP

Name: Kartik Mahesh Muley

Institutional Affiliation: Internship Studio

Email: kartikmuley28@gmail.com

Task: 2

To test the website and find all possible vulnerabilities & loopholes in it.

http://zero.webappsecurity.com/



Using the NmapAutomator Vulnerability Scanner:

Firstly I used nikto on the given website, through the nikto scan. I Got the Target IP 54.82.22.214

Then using the **nmapAutomator** on the websites Ip 54.82.22.214

```
sudo ./nmapAutomator.sh -H 54.82.22.214 -t Vulns -output vulnerability

Running a Vulns scan on 54.82.22.214

No ping detected.. Will not use ping scans!

Host is likely running Unknown OS!
```



NmapAutomator did Port Scan

```
PORT STATE SERVICE
21/tcp open ftp
80/tcp open http
443/tcp open https
554/tcp open rtsp
1723/tcp open pptp
8080/tcp open http-proxy
```

NampAutomator did Vulns Scan

Running Vuln Scan on Common Ports We got Vulnerabilities.

```
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION
21/tcp open ftp?
80/tcp open http Apache Tomcat/Coyote JSP engine 1.1
| http-enum:
| /admin/: Possible admin folder
| /admin/index.html: Possible admin folder
| /login.html: Possible admin folder
| /manager/html/upload: Apache Tomcat (401 Unauthorized)
| /manager/html: Apache Tomcat (401 Unauthorized)
| /README.txt: Interesting, a readme.
| /docs/: Potentially interesting folder
|_ /errors/: Potentially interesting folder
```



ssl-dh-params:

Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol DHE_EXPORT Ciphers Downgrade MitM (Logjam)

State: Vulnerable

IDs: CVE:CVE-2015-4000 BID:74733

The Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol contains a flaw that is triggered when handling Diffie-Hellman key exchanges defined with the DHE_EXPORT cipher. This may allow a man-in-the-middle attacker to downgrade the security of a TLS session to 512-bit export-grade cryptography, which is significantly weaker, allowing the attacker to more easily break the encryption and monitor or tamper with the encrypted stream.

Disclosure date: 2015-5-19

```
ssl-dh-params:
  VULNERABLE:
  Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol DHE_EXPORT Ciphers Downgrade MitM (Logjam)
   State: VULNERABLE
   IDs: CVE:CVE-2015-4000 BID:74733
     The Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol contains a flaw that is
     triggered when handling Diffie-Hellman key exchanges defined with
     the DHE_EXPORT cipher. This may allow a man-in-the-middle attacker
      to downgrade the security of a TLS session to 512-bit export-grade
     cryptography, which is significantly weaker, allowing the attacker
      to more easily break the encryption and monitor or tamper with
      the encrypted stream.
   Disclosure date: 2015-5-19
   Check results:
      EXPORT-GRADE DH GROUP 1
            Cipher Suite: TLS_DHE_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
           Modulus Type: Safe prime
           Modulus Source: mod_ssl 2.2.x/512-bit MODP group with safe prime modulus
           Modulus Length: 512
           Generator Length: 8
            Public Key Length: 512
    References:
      https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2015-4000
      https://weakdh.org
      https://www.securityfocus.com/bid/74733
```



ssl-ccs-injection:

SSL/TLS MITM vulnerability (CCS Injection)

State: Vulnerable Risk factor: **High**

OpenSSL before 0.9.8za, 1.0.0 before 1.0.0m, and 1.0.1 before 1.0.1h does not properly restrict processing of ChangeCipherSpec messages, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to trigger use of a zero length master key in certain OpenSSL-to-OpenSSL communications, and consequently hijack sessions or obtain sensitive information, via crafted TLS handshake, aka the "CCS Injection" vulnerability.

```
| SSL-CCS-injection:
| VULNERABLE:
| SSL/TLS MITM vulnerability (CCS Injection)
| State: VULNERABLE
| Risk factor: High
| OpenSSL before 0.9.8za, 1.0.0 before 1.0.0m, and 1.0.1 before 1.0.1h
| does not properly restrict processing of ChangeCipherSpec messages,
| which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to trigger use of a zero
| length master key in certain OpenSSL-to-OpenSSL communications, and
| consequently hijack sessions or obtain sensitive information, via
| a crafted TLS handshake, aka the "CCS Injection" vulnerability.

| References:
| https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2014-0224
| http://www.cvedetails.com/cve/2014-0224
| http://www.openssl.org/news/secady_20140605.txt
```



http-vuln-cve2011-3192:

Apache byterange filter DoS

State: Vulnerable

IDs: CVE:CVE-2011-3192 BID:49303

The Apache web server is vulnerable to a denial of service attack when numerous overlapping byte ranges are

requested.

Disclosure date: 2011-08-19

```
http-vuln-cve2011-3192:
   VULNERABLE:
   Apache byterange filter DoS
     State: VULNERABLE
     IDs: CVE:CVE-2011-3192 BID:49303
       The Apache web server is vulnerable to a denial of service attack when numerous
       overlapping byte ranges are requested.
     Disclosure date: 2011-08-19
     References:
       https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2011-3192
       https://www.tenable.com/plugins/nessus/55976
       https://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2011/Aug/175
       https://www.securityfocus.com/bid/49303
554/tcp open rtsp?
1723/tcp open pptp?
|_pptp-version: ERROR: Script execution failed (use -d to debug)
                       Apache Tomcat/Coyote JSP engine 1.1
8080/tcp open http
 _http-dombased-xss: Couldn't find any DOM based XSS.
```



```
http-csrf:
 Spidering limited to: maxdepth=3; maxpagecount=20; withinhost=ec2-54-82-22-214.compute-1.amazonaws.com
   Found the following possible CSRF vulnerabilities:
     Path: http://ec2-54-82-22-214.compute-1.amazonaws.com:8080/
     Form id: searchterm
     Form action: /search.html
     Path: http://ec2-54-82-22-214.compute-1.amazonaws.com:8080/index.html
     Form id: searchterm
     Form action: /search.html
 http-enum:
   /admin/: Possible admin folder
   /admin/index.html: Possible admin folder
   /login.html: Possible admin folder
   /manager/html/upload: Apache Tomcat (401 Unauthorized)
   /manager/html: Apache Tomcat (401 Unauthorized)
   /README.txt: Interesting, a readme.
   /docs/: Potentially interesting folder
   /errors/: Potentially interesting folder
|_http-server-header: Apache-Coyote/1.1
|_http-stored-xss: Couldn't find any stored XSS vulnerabilities.
                  ---Finished all scans-
```

```
http-slowloris-check:

VULNERABLE:

Slowloris DOS attack

State: LIKELY VULNERABLE

IDs: CVE:CVE-2007-6750

Slowloris tries to keep many connections to the target web server open and hold
them open as long as possible. It accomplishes this by opening connections to
the target web server and sending a partial request. By doing so, it starves
the http server's resources causing Denial Of Service.

Disclosure date: 2009-09-17
References:
https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2007-6750
http://ha.ckers.org/slowloris/
```



Nmap Scan Report - Scanned at Tue Aug 23 18:52:27 2022

Scan Summary | zero.webappsecurity.com (54.82.22.214)

Scan Summary

Nmap 7.40 was initiated at Tue Aug 23 18:52:27 2022 with these arguments: nmap -v -oX=- --host-timeout=28800s -Pn -T4 -sT --webxml --max-retries=1 --open -p0-65355 zero.webappsecurity.com

Verbosity: 1; Debug level 0

Nmap done at Tue Aug 23 18:54:04 2022; 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 96.18 seconds

54.82.22.214 / ec2-54-82-22-214.compute-1.amazonaws.com / zero.webappsecurity.com

Address

54.82.22.214 (ipv4)

Hostnames

- zero.webappsecurity.com (user)
 ec2-54-82-22-214.compute-1.amazonaws.com (PTR)

Ports

The 65353 ports scanned but not shown below are in state: filtered

· 65353 ports replied with: no-responses

Port		State (toggle closed [0] filtered [0])	Service	Reason	Product	Version	Extra info
80	tcp	open	http	syn-ack			
443	tcp	open	https	syn-ack			
8080	tcp	open	http-proxy	syn-ack			



High (CVSS: 10.0)

NVT: Apache HTTP Server End of Life (EOL) Detection (Windows)

Product detection result

cpe:/a:apache:http_server:2.2.6

Detected by Apache HTTP Server Detection Consolidation (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1 \hookrightarrow .0.117232)

... continues on next page ...

2 RESULTS PER HOST

... continued from previous page ...

10

Summary

The Apache HTTP Server version on the remote host has reached the End of Life (EOL) and should not be used anymore.

Vulnerability Detection Result

The "Apache HTTP Server" version on the remote host has reached the end of life.

CPE: cpe:/a:apache:http_server:2.2.6

Installed version: 2.2.6

Location/URL: 443/tcp

EOL version: 2.2

EOL date: 2017-12-31

Impact

An EOL version of the Apache HTTP Server is not receiving any security updates from the vendor. Unfixed security vulnerabilities might be leveraged by an attacker to compromise the security of this host.



Site: http://zero.webappsecurity.com

Generated on Tue, 23 Aug 2022 18:53:02

Summary of Alerts

Risk Level	Number of Alerts
High	0
Medium	4
Low	1
Informational	0
False Positives:	0

Alerts

Name	Risk Level	Number of Instances
Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens	Medium	6
Cross-Domain Misconfiguration	Medium	16
Missing Anti-clickjacking Header	Medium	6
Vulnerable JS Library	Medium	2
X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing	Low	10

Alert Detail

Medium	Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens
Description	No Anti-CSRF tokens were found in a HTML submission form. A cross-site request forgery is an attack that involves forcing a victim to send an HTTP request to a target destination without their knowledge or intent in order to perform an action as the victim. The underlying cause is application functionality using predictable URL/form actions in a repeatable way. The nature of the attack is that CSRF exploits the trust that a user has for a user. By contrast, cross-site scripting (XSS) exploits the trust that a user has for a web site. Like XSS, CSRF attacks are not necessarily cross-site, but they can be. Cross-site request forgery is also known as CSRF, XSRF, one-click attack, session riding, confused deputy, and sea surf. CSRF attacks are effective in a number of situations, including: *The victim has an active session on the target site. *The victim is authenticated via HTTP auth on the target site. *The victim is on the same local network as the target site. CSRF has primarily been used to perform an action against a target site using the victim's privileges, but recent techniques have been discovered to disclose information by gaining access to the response. The risk of information disclosure is dramatically increased when the target site is vulnerable to XSS, because XSS can be used as a platform for CSRF, allowing the attack to operate within the bounds of the same-origin policy.
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com
Method	GET
Parameter	



Attack	
Evidence	<pre><form action="/search.html" class="navbar-search pull-right" style="padding-right: 20px"></form></pre>
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<pre><form action="/search.html" class="navbar-search pull-right" style="padding-right: 20px"></form></pre>
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/index.html
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<pre><form action="/search.html" class="navbar-search pull-right" style="padding-right: 20px"></form></pre>
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/login.html
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<pre><form action="/signin.html" class="form-horizontal" id="login_form" method="post"></form></pre>
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/online-banking.html
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<pre><form action="/search.html" class="navbar-search pull-right" style="padding-right: 20px"></form></pre>
URL	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/search.html?searchTerm=ZAP
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<form action="/search.html" class="navbar-search pull-right" style="padding-right: 20px"></form>
Instances	6
Solution	Phase: Architecture and Design
	Use a vetted library or framework that does not allow this weakness to occur or provides constructs that make this weakness easier to avoid.
	For example, use anti-CSRF packages such as the OWASP CSRFGuard.
	Phase: Implementation
	Ensure that your application is free of cross-site scripting issues, because most CSRF defenses can be bypassed using attacker-controlled script.
	Phase: Architecture and Design
	Generate a unique nonce for each form, place the nonce into the form, and verify the nonce upon receipt of the form. Be sure that the nonce is not predictable (CWE-330).
	Note that this can be bypassed using XSS.
	Identify especially dangerous operations. When the user performs a dangerous operation, send a separate confirmation request to ensure that the user intended to perform that operation.

Alerts

Name	Risk Level	Number of Instances
Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens	Medium	6
Cross-Domain Misconfiguration	Medium	16
Missing Anti-clickjacking Header	Medium	6
Vulnerable JS Library	Medium	2
X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing	Low	10