

Ising Networks for Deep Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning

1 Introduction

2 Related Work

2.1 Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning

2.1.1 Temporal Abstraction

Various methods have devised hierarchical techniques to abstract the temporal correlation in MDPs [24, 25, 40, 46]. The Hierarchical Deep Q-Network (hDQN) [24] proposes an integration of temporal abstraction and intrinsic motivation in order to solve long-horizon problems. hDQN demonstrates improved long-horizon optimality at the cost of manual feature engineering of the states and reward function. This is addressed by making use of deep successor networks [25] which extract relevant goal embeddings for the agent and direct its behavior towards the goal. A more sophisticated temporal scheme consists of learning abstraction of hierarchies based on expert demonstrations and regularized latent space constraints [50]. However, sub-policies rely on memory-based controllers for learning fine-grained abstraction and often cripple the lower levels of the hierarchy once the task has been accomplished. This leads to limited transfer of skills between policies and hence, results in sub-optimal convergence [10]. Transfer of skills between different policies operating in sub-MDPs is extensively studied using exploration [22]. [34] presents MAVEN, a hierarchical architecture for variational exploration in the case of multi-agent settings. Policies carry out exploration in the latent space using variational inference. MAVEN demonstrates improved coordination between agents in the long-horizon. However, policy behavior distributed across agents which forces sub-policies to rely on other agent policies for optimal convergence. [6] proposes the trajectory autoencoder which enables the agent to exhibit self-consistent behavior and tackle sparse rewards in the long-horizon. Long-horizon suitability can further be improved by jointly training all policies and utilize the skills learned individually [28]. Such kind of sub-policy adaptation stabilizes the behavior of agent and provides efficient training of hierarchies at all levels.

Abstraction may be carried out among states [2] or using a different medium such as language [21] which allows the agent to solve temporally-extended tasks in a diverse manner. [8] presents the MAXQ algorithm which proposes an efficient method for training state-based abstractions in the form of hierarchies. Q-values corresponding to each lower-level task are aggregated at the higher-level policy nodes to yield a modular framework for abstraction. While the MAXQ demonstrates suitability for low-dimensional tasks, its performance cannot be assessed in high-dimensional state and action spaces due to the larger number of abstractions produced by the framework. [1] tackles the problem of high-dimensional and long-horizon learning by presenting a theoretical perspective of abstracting states using transitive behavior which helps in optimal computations. Such techniques have motivated active research in the form of modified abstraction algorithms for performance improvement and schemes leading to richer abstract representations [30].

2.1.2 Option-based Hierarchies

Hierarchies can be constructed on the basis of skills or possible options of policies which the agent may choose from during its interactions in the environment [46, 4]. [4] presents the Options-Critic Architecture which is a collection of various policy options. Agent can select from the collection of sub-policies at various timesteps to yield a policy which is robust and optimal in the long-horizon. The provision of options enables the agent to acquire a wide variety of skills during exploration and obtain a policy which makes best use of these skills. Furthermore, the architecture incorporates learning of termination conditions of a particular option which is essential for switching option policies [15]. The architecture is extended to the Double Actor-Critic architecture which comprises of two parallel MDPs [54]. A dual architecture enables the learning of intra-option policies with termination conditions of each option. While, option-based learning provides diversity in skills and control of temporal extension to the agent, frequent fluctuations between options results in instability in updates and hence, sample-inefficient learning [29]. [29] addresses the instability in training by combining the options framework with off-policy maximum entropy reinforcement learning [13, 12]. Maximization of entropy allows the agent to effectively explore all options and consistently select the optimal sub-policies based on selected options. However, usage of different skills and compactness remains an open problem in the case of real-world tasks consisting of large action spaces. [38] addresses the problem of compact options by improvising communication between policies using binary vectors. Modular policies using binary vectors enable richer communication and mixing of skills. Techniques related to the relative optimization of policy can be extended using advantage-weighted importance sampling [37] in options based on option-value functions.

2.1.3 Hierarchical Control

Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning borrows from multiple approaches in control [39] and adaptive policy optimization [28]. Multiplicative Compositional Policies (MCPs) [39] present the composable nature of hierarchies which arises from coordination of multiple control-based skills. MCPs improve the hierarchical coordination between sub-policies which results in adaptive motor skills in the case of robotic control such as locomotion and manipulation. While MPC demonstrates the practical usage of hierarchical reinforcement learning motivated by adaptive control, [20] extends the hierarchical framework to Quadruped Locomotion. The lower-level policy uses latent commands for robot actuator control and the higher-level policy operates at different time intervals. Abstraction of control between lower and higher-level policies allows the agent to acquire a diverse set of task-related skills. Moreover, policies can be efficiently adapted on a different task from the same domain. Additionally, diversity can be achieved in a scalable manner by training policies levelwise [43]. However, levelwise training of sub-policies becomes intractable in cases of a large number of hierarchies [11]. [11] tackles the problem of a large number of hierarchies and levelwise training by making use of latent space policies. Each latent space policy is trained using the maximum entropy learning framework in order to directly solve the task. Hierarchies trained in latent space demonstrate suitable initializations on complex control tasks which enable the agent to maintain consistent behavior. Consistency can also be achieved using goal embeddings which motivate goal-directed behavior in the case of navigation tasks [45]. [48] additionally improves consistency in hierarchical agents by making use of information theoretic regularizations which pose a reward penalty on the policy distribution. Hierarchical control in reinforcement learning has been successful in tackling knowledge transfer as well as data-efficiency [52]. Various levels of the hierarchy make use of inductive-biases for sharing off-policy data. Sharing knowledge across policies in a compositional manner results in positive transfer of policies. Such techniques are additionally used to solve numerically challenging control problems consisting of unstructured environments [32].

2.2 Ising Models

2.2.1 Energy-based Reinforcement Learning

Recent work on energy-based learning [27] has demonstrated significant success in the arena of reinforcement learning [44, 26]. [41] highlights the use of energy-based policies capable of learning large action spaces by making use of factored approximations. Such approximations can be thought of as inference mechanisms [33] using energy-based distributions such as the boltzmann distribution [47]. These have given rise to energy-based extensions of models with different architectures such as actor-critic frameworks [16]. Another suitable method for training energy-based policies in reinforcement learning is by making use of the Helmholtz free energy [12]. [?] presents the suitability of improved helmholtz energy operator under various reinforcement learning scenarios with theoretical

guarantees on robustness and improved inference in comparison to boltzmann energy. Applications of the energy operator include surprise minimization in the case of multi-agent learning [?]. [?] highlights the practical use of energy in multi-agent learning across agents which improves the joint policy to tackle environments consisting of high variance. The use of energy-based policies can further be generalized to inverse reinforcement learning [9] wherein the maximum entropy framework can be realized as a special case of energy-based models in the generative setting. Although energy plays a key role the training dynamics of reinforcement learning and optimization of the agent to an optimal policy, it does little to improve the computational framework of learning hierarchical relationships in agent’s policy [36]. This indicates the requirement for a hierarchical framework capable of mapping relationships between sub-policies in the hierarchy.

2.2.2 Ising Model Learning

Ising models [5] are computational frameworks in physics which consist of interactions between particles in a system or lattice. Particles in the model comprise of finite spin states [7] which governs their relationship to neighboring particles in the model. Ising models obey an energy function [5] which is minimized over time as the model reaches its equilibrium state. Most energy-based models are generalizations of Ising models with their objective function as the loss function [19]. The motivation behind developing Ising models as computational frameworks stems from the literature of physical lattices and spins [5, 7, 19, 23]. [23] presents the first major demonstration of behavior of Ising models near criticality. Assessing the model near criticality is essential as it describes convergence properties corresponding to free energy of the system. This is further extended in [19] wherein the non-equilibrium critical relaxation of the model is assessed under varying dynamics. Estimation of high-dimensional criticality provides suitable scope of the model towards large-scale computational problems. To further investigate the properties of Ising models, [51] highlights the theoretical guarantees of spin-spin correlations under zero field susceptibility. [51] makes use of simplified elliptical transformations to demonstrate criticality of the model. While the Ising model presents suitable convergence properties [18] in the number of particles and time evolution, the model is itself computationally expensive [17]. Manually estimating the state of the model leads to accuracy and efficient inference techniques at the cost of time complexity to assess the state of the system. [17] presents a fast and efficient method for the simulation of Ising models which relies on non-linear relaxation. However, assumptions on spin states and their neighbors renders the state estimates inaccurate and provides a significant need for sampling. [42] addresses the computational problem in Ising structures using maximum-margin parameter estimation and graphical models.

Most works in literature aim to learn the behavior [35] and parameters [31] of the Ising model for particle spin state estimation. [35] presents the learning of Ising model behavior at criticality near phase transitions using generative

networks. The approach highlights the requirement of various architectural choices and draws a comparison with shallow counterparts of Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM). [31] demonstrates the learning of optimal structure and parameters of the Ising model using interactive screening. Interactive screening is presented as a tractable and optima estimation method which solves the inverse Ising problem universally. [3] presents the application of RBM to reconstruct long-range Ising model configurations in one dimension. Configuration quality in generative scenarios for long-range structures can be assessed by making use of field susceptibility. While learning the Ising model is essential from a structural perspective, the process does not benefit from the computational properties of spin states [49] and their arrangement at thermal equilibrium. One of the successful examples demonstrating the use of Ising models in learning algorithms is that of correlating spiking activities in neurons [49]. The model, consisting of maximum entropy, can be used to reproduce pairwise correlations between particle spins which is found to be analogous to correlations in the spiking activity of neurons. Moreover, the networks are found to operate closer to critical point and demonstrate behavior similar to spin glasses. [53] further highlights the use of Ising models as learning mechanisms by transforming spin structures to Boltzmann Machines. The method is generalized to many-spin interactions and exact mapping which can be improved to fewer spin states by compromising on the number of degrees of freedom. [49] and [53] present some of the few instances of learning capabilities of Ising models in the case of unstructured environments. Although the methods are suitable for supervised tasks and modular structures, no concrete evidence of spin-based learning in hierarchical structures is found.

3 The Ising Model

The Ising Model [5] is an energy-based model which consists of physical particles in a lattice structure. Particles acquire spin states in the lattice which affect the states of neighbouring particles as well as the overall configuration of the system [7]. Mathematically, let σ_i be the spin state of particle i in the system, then σ_i may take a value from the set \mathcal{S} which comprises of all the possible spin states. Most Ising models are bivariate which consist of only two spin states $\mathcal{S} = \{-1, +1\}$ [23]. In physics, the two spin states correspond to clockwise and counter-clockwise spins of particles of a ferromagnetic material [5]. However, modern Ising models are often presented to contain more than two spin states [19]. Particles in an Ising model interact with each other by means of their spin states. Consider two particles i and j with spin states σ_i and σ_j . The interaction between the two particles $\langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle = \mu_{ij}$ can be mathematically expressed as $\mu_{ij} = \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j$. These interactions are also called spin-spin interactions since they take place between spin states of the two particles [7]. Considering an external magnetic field h_j [51] on particle j , we can express the total energy E of the

Ising model using the Hamiltonian function [14] as followed-

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) &= - \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \sum_j h_j \sigma_j \\ &= \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} J_{ij} \mu_{ij} - \sum_j h_j \sigma_j \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\langle i, j \rangle$ represent indices of all particles in the Ising model, J_{ij} indicates an interaction parameter which quantifies the probability of interaction between i and j particles. Note that the total energy $E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ is a function of only the spin states of particles indicating that only the internal composition of the lattice is responsible for minimizing the energy of the system. Moreover, the partition function Z_β [27] corresponding to the energy function is given by Equation 1. Here $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$ represents the inverse of temperature T .

$$Z_\beta = \sum_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j} \exp(-\beta E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)) \quad (1)$$

Given the energy $E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ and partition function Z_β corresponding to the states of the system, we can express the configuration probability $P_\beta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ of the model using the Boltzmann distribution [14] with $\beta \geq 0$. $P_\beta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ for a given configuration is presented in Equation 2 as the ratio between the configuration $\exp(-\beta E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j))$ and the partition function Z_β denoting the sum over all possible configurations.

$$P_\beta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) = \frac{\exp(-\beta E(\sigma_i, \sigma_j))}{Z_\beta} \quad (2)$$

4 Intuition for Spin Values

5 Implementation Details

References

- [1] D. Abel, D. Arumugam, L. Lehnert, and M. Littman. State abstractions for lifelong reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2018.
- [2] D. Andre and S. J. Russell. State abstraction for programmable reinforcement learning agents. In *AAAI/IAAI*, 2002.
- [3] K.-I. Aoki and T. Kobayashi. Restricted boltzmann machines for the long range ising models. *Modern Physics Letters B*, 2016.
- [4] P.-L. Bacon, J. Harb, and D. Precup. The option-critic architecture, 2016.
- [5] B. A. Cipra. An introduction to the ising model. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 1987.

- [6] J. D. Co-Reyes, Y. Liu, A. Gupta, B. Eysenbach, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Self-consistent trajectory autoencoder: Hierarchical reinforcement learning with trajectory embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.02813*, 2018.
- [7] G. Delfino and G. Mussardo. The spin-spin correlation function in the two-dimensional ising model in a magnetic field at $t = t_c$. *Nuclear Physics B*, 1995.
- [8] T. G. Dietterich. State abstraction in maxq hierarchical reinforcement learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2000.
- [9] C. Finn, P. Christiano, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. A connection between generative adversarial networks, inverse reinforcement learning, and energy-based models, 2016.
- [10] C. Florensa, Y. Duan, and P. Abbeel. Stochastic neural networks for hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2017.
- [11] T. Haarnoja, K. Hartikainen, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Latent space policies for hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2018.
- [12] T. Haarnoja, H. Tang, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Reinforcement learning with deep energy-based policies, 2017.
- [13] T. Haarnoja, A. Zhou, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor, 2018.
- [14] L. N. Hand and J. D. Finch. *Analytical Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [15] A. Harutyunyan, W. Dabney, D. Borsa, N. Heess, R. Munos, and D. Precup. The termination critic, 2019.
- [16] N. Heess, D. Silver, and Y. W. Teh. Actor-critic reinforcement learning with energy-based policies. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 2013.
- [17] H. Herrmann. Fast algorithm for the simulation of ising models. *Journal of statistical physics*, 1986.
- [18] J. Honorio. Convergence rates of biased stochastic optimization for learning sparse ising models, 2012.
- [19] N. Ito. Non-equilibrium relaxation and interface energy of the ising model. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 1993.
- [20] D. Jain, A. Iscen, and K. Caluwaerts. Hierarchical reinforcement learning for quadruped locomotion. *2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2019.
- [21] Y. Jiang, S. S. Gu, K. P. Murphy, and C. Finn. Language as an abstraction for hierarchical deep reinforcement learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*. 2019.

- [22] N. K. Jong, T. Hester, and P. Stone. The utility of temporal abstraction in reinforcement learning. In *AAMAS (1)*, 2008.
- [23] L. Kadanoff. Scaling laws for Ising models near $T(c)$. 1966.
- [24] T. D. Kulkarni, K. R. Narasimhan, A. Saeedi, and J. B. Tenenbaum. Hierarchical deep reinforcement learning: Integrating temporal abstraction and intrinsic motivation, 2016.
- [25] T. D. Kulkarni, A. Saeedi, S. Gautam, and S. J. Gershman. Deep successor reinforcement learning, 2016.
- [26] M. Laskin, K. Lee, A. Stooke, L. Pinto, P. Abbeel, and A. Srinivas. Reinforcement learning with augmented data, 2020.
- [27] Y. LeCun, S. Chopra, R. Hadsell, F. J. Huang, and et al. A tutorial on energy-based learning. In *PREDICTING STRUCTURED DATA*, 2006.
- [28] A. Li, C. Florensa, I. Clavera, and P. Abbeel. Sub-policy adaptation for hierarchical reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [29] C. Li, X. Ma, C. Zhang, J. Yang, L. Xia, and Q. Zhao. Soac: The soft option actor-critic architecture, 2020.
- [30] S. Lin and R. Wright. Evolutionary tile coding: An automated state abstraction algorithm for reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 8th AAAI Conference on Abstraction, Reformulation, and Approximation*, 2010.
- [31] A. Y. Lokhov, M. Vuffray, S. Misra, and M. Chertkov. Optimal structure and parameter learning of ising models. *Science advances*, 2018.
- [32] Q. Ma, S. Ge, D. He, D. Thaker, and I. Drori. Combinatorial optimization by graph pointer networks and hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2019.
- [33] D. J. C. MacKay. *Information Theory, Inference & Learning Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [34] A. Mahajan, T. Rashid, M. Samvelyan, and S. Whiteson. Maven: Multi-agent variational exploration. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*. 2019.
- [35] A. Morningstar and R. G. Melko. Deep learning the ising model near criticality. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 2017.
- [36] O. Nachum, S. Gu, H. Lee, and S. Levine. Near-optimal representation learning for hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2018.
- [37] T. Osa, V. Tangkaratt, and M. Sugiyama. Hierarchical reinforcement learning via advantage-weighted information maximization, 2019.

- [38] A. Pashevich, D. Hafner, J. Davidson, R. Sukthankar, and C. Schmid. Modulated policy hierarchies, 2018.
- [39] X. B. Peng, M. Chang, G. Zhang, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Mep: Learning composable hierarchical control with multiplicative compositional policies, 2019.
- [40] D. Precup. Temporal abstraction in reinforcement learning, 2000.
- [41] B. Sallans and G. E. Hinton. Reinforcement learning with factored states and actions. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2004.
- [42] N. N. Schraudolph and D. Kamenetsky. Efficient exact inference in planarising models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 21*. 2009.
- [43] Y. Song, J. Wang, T. Lukasiewicz, Z. Xu, and M. Xu. Diversity-driven extensible hierarchical reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33, 2019.
- [44] A. Srinivas, M. Laskin, and P. Abbeel. Curl: Contrastive unsupervised representations for reinforcement learning, 2020.
- [45] S. Sukhbaatar, E. Denton, A. Szlam, and R. Fergus. Learning goal embeddings via self-play for hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2018.
- [46] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. 2018.
- [47] Y. W. Teh, M. Welling, S. Osindero, and G. E. Hinton. Energy-based models for sparse overcomplete representations. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2003.
- [48] D. Tirumala, H. Noh, A. Galashov, L. Hasenclever, A. Ahuja, G. Wayne, R. Pascanu, Y. W. Teh, and N. Heess. Exploiting hierarchy for learning and transfer in kl-regularized rl, 2019.
- [49] G. Tkacik, E. Schneidman, M. J. B. II, and W. Bialek. Ising models for networks of real neurons, 2006.
- [50] W. Wang, Y. Hu, and S. Scherer. Learning temporal abstraction with information-theoretic constraints for hierarchical reinforcement learning, 2020.
- [51] T. T. Wu, B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy, and E. Barouch. Spin spin correlation functions for the two-dimensional Ising model: Exact theory in the scaling region. *Phys. Rev. B*, 1976.
- [52] M. Wulfmeier, A. Abdolmaleki, R. Hafner, J. Tobias Springenberg, M. Neunert, N. Siegel, T. Hertweck, T. Lampe, N. Heess, and M. Riedmiller. Compositional transfer in hierarchical reinforcement learning. *Robotics: Science and Systems XVI*, 2020.

- [53] N. Yoshioka, Y. Akagi, and H. Katsura. Transforming generalized ising models into boltzmann machines. *Physical Review E*, 2019.
- [54] S. Zhang and S. Whiteson. Dac: The double actor-critic architecture for learning options, 2019.