Review-41

Learning by cheating

Vision-based autonomous driving consists of simultaneous perception and action in the environment. This presents a nontrivial challenge through the lens of learning in high-dimensional spaces. The work decomposes these two stages by means of an agent which has access to privileged information. In the first stage, a teacher agent learns from an expert by cheating. The agent has access to ground-truth layout and positions of participants. In the second stage, the agent acts as a teacher for a sensorimotor agent which does not have access to any privileged information. This tww-stage Learning By Cheating (LBC) procedure abstracts learning from perception and allows the sensorimotor agent to effectively learn by treating the agent as a query-expert. LBC, when evaluated for autonomous driving on the CARLA and NoCrash benchmark, demonhstrates significant improvements in success rates and reduced number of infractions.

The LBC framework consits of two stages which abstract perceptual and sensorimotor learning by means of privileged information. The privileged agent cheats by having access to ground-truth layouts and positions of participants in the scene. This privileged information is provided to the agent via imitation wherein the agent learns to mimic a simulation expert. In the second stage, the privileged agent is used to train a sensorimotor agent which does have access to privileged information. The sensorimotor agent learns to imitate the privileged agent. This teaching setup may be thought of as a querying mechanism wherein the agent, in every state, asks the question to the teacher "What would you do here?". Both agents consist of a feature extraction module followed by a low-level controller. In the case of privileges agent, he feature extraction module selects waypoints from the bird's eye view as input. On the other hand, the sensorimotor agent receives images from the forward-facing camera which are yield waypoints in the egocentric frame. Extracted waypoints are provided to the low-level controller which is used to select actions in the environment.

The LBC framework demonstrates improved performance in the form of success rates on the CARLA and NoCrash autonomous driving benchmarks. The experiment setup highlights generalization capability of LBC by abstracting training and evaluation in different towns. Additionally, LBC presents lower number of infractions such as red light violations and collisions on the NoCrash benchmark in CARLA. While LBC presents a suitable scheme for learning perception and action in conjunction, its experimental setup presents a few caveats. Firstly, the privileged and sensorimotor agents comprise of different architecture backbones. The privileged agent uses a randomly initialized ResNet-18 while the sensorimotor agent uses ResNet-34 agent pretrained on the ImageNet dataset. One could argue that the sensorimotor agent has a much richer representation module which is qualitatively equivalent to the privileged information provided to teacher agent. Secondly, the teacher agent requires 4-6 hours of driving data for training which is in opposition to modern learning methods which aim to reduce the data-dependency of algorithms. Moreover, this presents a nontrivial challenge in practical driving scenarios wherein data collection is significantly expensive.

The work presents a suitable scheme for abstraction of learning perceptual and sensorimotor control signals by means of privileged information. This presents two new directions for future work. Firstly, the framework may be extended towards Reniforcement Learning settings wherein the agent has to learn sensorimotor control by itself. Secondly, extensions of LBC can aim to improve its high data requirements from a practical standpoint.