Table 1: Model comparison and site-level selection results for DENV-2 NS3 and DENV-3 E genes using Codeml models M0, M3, M1a, M2a, M7, and M8. Positive selection was evaluated through likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) and Bayes Empirical Bayes (BEB) posterior probabilities. Serotype/  $\chi^2$  (0.05) Model  $d_N/d_S$ Par ameters Model vs.  $2\Delta\ell$ PSS (BEB) Gene  $\omega = 0.05$ -4713.99 53.47 9.48 Not allowed  $p_0 = 0.98, p_1 = 0.01,$ 14 G 1.00\*\*, 385 L 0.96\*, DENV-2 / M30.04  $p_2 = 0.00, \, \omega_0 = 0.02,$ 418 K 0.88, 461 I 0.56, -4687.26NS3  $\omega_1 = 1.80, \omega_2 = 43.16$ 549 K 0.99\*\* M1 a 0.04 $p_0 = 0.98, p_1 = 0.01, p_2 = 0.00$ -4688.26M1v s. M253.47 5.99 Not allowed  $p_0 = 0.98, p_1 = 0.01, p_2 = 0.00,$ M2a0.05 $\omega_0 = 0.02, \ \omega_1 = 1.00,$ -4686.1114 G 0.92, 385 L 0.63  $\omega_2 = 3.74$ Μ7 0.05 p = 0.01, q = 0.18-4691.83 M7v s. M853.47 5.99 Not allowed  $p_0 = 0.98, p_1 = 0.01, ....$ 14 G 0.99\*\*, 385 L 0.77, -4685.61 M80.04 $\omega_{10} = 3.74$ 41.8 K 0.61, 54.9 K 0.701 0.10 -3622.42 M0v s. M334.35 Not allowed  $\omega = 0.10$ 9.48  $p_0 = 0.96, p_1 = 0.03, p_2 = 0.00,$ M30.12 $\omega_0 = 0.06$ ,  $\omega_1 = 1.00$ . -3605.25124 P 0.98\*, 132 Y 0.77  $\omega_2 = 6.82$ M1 a 104  $p_0 = 0.96, p_1 = 0.03, p_2 = 0.00$ -3608.29 M1v s. M234.35 5.99 Not allowed 124 P 0.89, 132 Y 0.79.  $p_0 = 0.96, p_1 = 0.03, p_2 = 0.00,$ M2a0.12 $\omega_0 = 0.06$ ,  $\omega_1 = 1.00$ . -3605.25169 T 0.54, 171 A 0.54,  $\omega_2 = 6.82$ 301 T 0.69

-3610.32

-3605.50

M7v s. M8

34.35

5.99

Not allowed 124 P 0.98\*, 132 Y 0.91,

169 T 0.66, 171 A 0.66.

278 A 0.57, 301 T 0.85

p = 0.09, q = 0.73

...,  $\omega_{10} = 5.60$ 

 $p_0 = 0.96, p_1 = 0.03,$ 

DE NV-3

Μ7

M8

0.12