# Parallel Programming

กาชากีโป้, lib กีโป้, compiler ฮัเกลหิด
เพิ่มน้ำเสมอ parallelism สีค่อนใน algorithm
พื้น ฮี GPU กิควรพียม code ที่รางเรีย GPU
สั multi-core ควรพียน code แบบ thread programm, g
ฮี cluster เขียน code แบบ multi-processor

# Parallel Programming Models

- Parallel programming allows programmers to express parallelism in the algorithm that can match with the underlying parallel architecture.
- Parallel programming models
  - Vectorization ใช้ประโยชน์จาก vector computing ก็มีกัน เฉพาะ vector operation
    - Usually based on compiler optimization dev ไม่ต่อยสู่อมาก มันเป็น โดง kvel ก็ compiler จัดภารใน้
  - Thread programming
    - Threads communicate via shared memory
    - Run on single computer อาจเป็น mulli-cove, multi-processor สิโด้ แต่อยู่บนเครื่องเลี้ยว
  - Multi-process programming มีหลายเคลื่อง ไม่ได้ share memory ร่วมกั
    - Processes communicate via message passing ส่งสารอักปการส่ง message ข้าม process
  - High-level parallel programming
    - E.g. parallel libraries, compiler directives, functional programming
    - Implicit parallelism บอกผล่ว่าจะตัวงามเดย parallelism
    - Implemented with low-level libraries Explicit pevallehom ตาบางว่าสร้างก็ thread ก็ proces รื่อสารกับอย่างไร (Detail การสร้าง sub tack)

### Getting System Information in Python

```
!pip install -q psutil
!pip install -q py-cpuinfo
import platform
import cpuinfo

print('platform :', platform.platform())
print('cpu model :', cpuinfo.get_cpu_info()['brand_raw'])
print('physical cpu :', psutil.cpu_count(logical=False))
print('logical cpu :', psutil.cpu_count(logical=True))
print('virtual memory :', psutil.virtual_memory())
```

#### notebook

platform : Windows-11-10.0.22631-SP0
cpu model cole: AMD Ryzen 7 6800HS Creator Edition
physical cpu : 8 cores การเพียน code โดย ปการแพก thread > logical cpu (16) เพ่นากกว่า 16 นิดาน่อยได้ เพราะมีขาง thread photosum source threa

server

#### Google Colab

```
platform : Linux-6.1.58+-x86_64-with-glibc2.35
cpu model : Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.00GHz
physical cpu : 1
logical cpu : 2
virtual memory : svmem(total=13609451520, available=12530159616, percent=7.9, used=786333696, free=10113830912,
```

#### Kaggle

```
platform : Linux-5.15.133+-x86_64-with-debian-bullseye-sid
cpu model : Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz
physical cpu : 2
logical cpu : 4
virtual memory : svmem(total=33669914624, available=32371695616, percent=3.9, used=820023296, free=31915687936,
```

### Getting GPU Information in Python

```
import torch
import tensorflow as tf
import subprocess
def check_gpu_info():
    """Checks for GPU availability and prints information if found.
    # Check with PyTorch
    if torch.cuda.is_available():
         qpu_index = \overline{0} # Adjust if you have multiple GPUs
         device = torch.device(gpu_index)
print("GPU Found (PyTorch):")
         print(f" - Device Name: {torch.cuda.get_device_name(gpu_index)}")
                    - Compute Capability: {torch.cuda.get_device_capability(gpu_index)}")
         print(f"
         return
    # Check with TensorFlow
    if tf.test.gpu_device_name():
    print("GPU Found (TensorFlow):")
    # TensorFlow might provide more detailed information (if you need it)
         # Explore the tf.test.gpu_device_name() output
         print(tf.test.gpu_device_name())
         return
    # System-level Check (nyidia-smi)
    try:
         info = subprocess.check_output('nvidia-smi', stderr=subprocess.STDOUT).decode()
         print("GPU Found (nvidia-smi):")
         print(info) # Print the full output from nvidia-smi
         return
    except Exception:
         pass
    # No GPU found
    print("No GPU detected.")
check_gpu_info()
```

Google Colab - Device Name: Tesla T4

GPU Found (PyTorch):

## Measure execution time in Python

```
import time
start = time.process time()
sum(range(100000000))
end = time.process time()
print(end - start, "seconds")
2.578125 seconds
import datetime
start = datetime.datetime.now()
sum(range(10000000))
end = datetime.datetime.now()
print(end - start, "h:mm:ss")
0:00:02.180773 h:mm:ss
%time sum(range(100000000))
CPU times: total: 2.34 s
Wall time: 2.35 s will moved to (import time)
4999999950000000
  จับมาตาแบบก้าหลายขบ เล่าพาว่าเคลื่อ จักจะเป็นคัสงที่เสร็อกามใน เสียวภินาที่
%timeit sum(range(100000000))
                                                                                 In Jupyter Notebook
2.13 s \pm 46.2 ms per loop (mean \pm std. dev. of 7 runs, 1 loop each)
  x 1 1 500
                   "// ดือจับเวลาเพบ block of code (หลามบรรทัด)
%%timeit -r 1
sum(range(100000000))
sum(range(10000000))
4.31 s ± 0 ns per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 1 run, 1 loop each)
```

# Multithreading in Python Pilicad Political

Nindows thread } From multi-core Prog

- Multithreading in Python does not exploit multicore/multiprocessor, due to Global Interpreter Lock (GIL). So, threads run concurrently but not in parallel. Probably good for threads with I/O.

```
Python clerk multi-thread for un thread one of Tailagnonium Parallelism unital Titurian Darings
import threading
import time
                        1 J/O
def thread(tid):
    for i in range(5):
        print("thread ", tid, " iteration ", i)
        time.sleep(1)
                                                    create throat
t1 = threading.Thread(target=thread, args=(1,))
t2 = threading.Thread(target=thread, args=(2,))
# will execute both threads concurrently 2 thread ก็ปกันทึ่งาน สามารถเพาะกร์เปลี่
t1.start()
t2.start()
# Joins threads back to the parent process, which is this program
t1.join()
            โท้างานเสร็จ ถึงหรับมากรที่ใช้ใน memory
t2.ioin()
```

```
thread 1 iteration 0 (on(union(y))
thread 2 iteration 1 output you thread 1 manuments output thread 2
1 iteration 1
thread thread 1 iteration 2
2 iteration 2
thread 1 iteration 3
thread 2 iteration 3
thread thread 1 iteration 4
2 iteration 4
```

# Multithreading in Python

- Multithreading in Python does not exploit multicore/multiprocessor, due to Global Interpreter Lock (GIL). So, threads run concurrently but not in parallel. Probably good for threads with I/O.

```
import threading
def calc_partial_pi(rank, nthreads, nsteps, dx, partial_pi):
    partial_pi[rank] = 0.0
    for i in range(rank, nsteps, nthreads):
        x = (i + 0.5) * dx
                                                            Partial results are stored in
        partial_pi[rank] += 4.0 / (1.0 + x * x) 
                                                            shared memory.
    partial_pi[rank] *= dx
nsteps = 10000000
dx = 1.0 / nsteps
                                                          Try changing nthreads and
nthreads = 10
                                                          measure execution time.
partial_pi = np.zeros(nthreads)
inputs = [(rank, nthreads, nsteps, dx, partial_pi) for rank in range(nthreads)]
threads = [threading.Thread(target=calc_partial_pi, args=inp) for inp in inputs]
for t in threads:
    t.start()
for t in threads:
    t.join()
pi = partial_pi.sum()
```

### Vectorization and multithreading in Numpy

- Numpy array is faster than Python list due to more efficient memory access.
- Numpy implements many functions using C libraries, with vectorization and multithreading, so they can run in parallel.
- Numpy element-wise multiply is not multithreaded, but dot product is multithreaded.

```
import random
import numpy as np
## element-wise multiplication of Python lists
                                                  XS : [1,2,3,4]
xs = [random.random() for i in range(10000000)]
                                                  ys : [5, 6, 7, 6]X
ys = [random.random() for i in range(10000000)]
                                                       z[5,12,21,32]
%timeit (x*y for x, y in zip(xs, ys))
1.54 s → 312 ms per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 7 runs, 1 loop each)
## element-wise multiplication of Numpy arrays (vectorized)
np xs = np.random.rand(10000000)
np ys = np.random.rand(10000000)
%timeit_np.multiply(np_xs, np_ys) 1 thread
40.8 ms ± 2.19 ms per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 7 runs, 10 loops each)
## dot product of Numpy arrays (vectorized and threaded)
np xs = np.random.rand(10000000)
np ys = np.random.rand(10000000)
"timeit np.dot(np_xs, np_ys) dot product It multi thread (AUD) optimized on
8.77 ms) \pm 343 \mus per loop (mean \pm std. dev. of 7 runs, 100 loops each)
```

Dot product runs more operations than element-wise multiply, but faster due to multithreading.

## Matrix Multiplication in Python

```
def matrix_multiplication(A, B, result):
    for i in range(result.shape[0]):
        for j in range(result.shape[1]):
            temp = 0.
             for k in range(A.shape[1]):
                temp += A[i, k] * B[k, j]
                 result[i, j] = temp

C = np.empty(100, 100), np.float32)
%timeit matrix_multiplication(A, B, C)

1 loop, best of 3: 471 ms per loop
```

• Try larger matrices

## Matrix Multiplication with Numpy

```
A = np.random.rand(100, 100)

B = np.random.rand(100, 100)

%timeit C = np.dot(A, B)

A B

The slowest run took 5.89 times longer than the fastest.
10000 loops, best of 3 (136 μs per loop

A = np.random.rand(1000, 1000)

B = np.random.rand(1000, 1000)

%timeit C = np.dot(A, B)

A B

10 loops, best of 3: (49.8 ms per loop
```

## Numba

- Numba translates Python functions to optimized machine code at runtime.

  (Just In Time Compilation) who code python the parallel code
- It offers options for parallelizing Python code for CPUs and GPUs, often with only minor code changes (e.g. compiler directives).

```
for k in range(A.shape[1]):
            for j in range(result.shape[1]):
                result[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j]
!pip install -q numba
from numba import jit jit - Just in time
@jit
def matrix multiplication numba(A, B, result):
    for i in range(result.shape[0]):
                                               j] code python nramumam ensourion
        for k in range(A.shape[1]):
            for j in range(result.shape[1]):
                result[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j]
A = np.random.rand(1000, 1000)
B = np.random.rand(1000, 1000)
C = np.empty([1000, 1000], float)
%timeit matrix multiplication(A, B, C)
14min 39s ± 9.62 s per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 7 runs, 1 loop each)
## matrix multiplication with Numpy
%timeit ( = np.dot(A, B)
```

%timeit matrix\_multiplication\_numba(A, B, C)

370 ms ± 16.2 ms per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 7 runs, 1 loop each)

22.5 m/s ± 1.73 ms per loop (mean ± std. dev. of 7 runs, 10 loops each)

def matrix\_multiplication(A, B, result):
 for i in range(result.shape[0]):

very fast

## matrix multiplication with Numba
C = np.empty([1000, 1000], float)

## Multiprocessing in Python

Multiprocessing in Python can exploit multicore/multiprocessor.

```
ล้ามีแลาย core เทเพียน python เพิ่มสำวหลาย process แทน
เพราะ python ไม่ได้สร้าง multi-thread
import multiprocessing as mp
import numpy as np
def dotprod(a, b, q):
    q.put(np.dot(a, b))
nprocs = 10 10 processes
size = 10000000
a = np.random.rand(size)
b = np.random.rand(size)
d = mb . Quene() ( The Many code s . blocks
procs = [] list ve process object
for i in range(nprocs):
  start = int(i*size/nprocs)
  end = int((i+1)*size/nprocs - 1)
  procs.append(mp.Process(target=dotprod, args=(a[start:end], b[start:end], q)))
# Will execute both in parallel
for p in procs:
  p.start()
                  back to the parent process, which is this program
for p in procs:
  p.join()
c = [q.get() for p in procs]
print(sum(c))
```