

# Analysis of Redditor Reliability

Kashev Dalmia<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Freedman<sup>1</sup>, and Terence Nip<sup>1</sup>,  
{dalmia3, rtfreed2, nip2}@illinois.edu

<sup>1</sup>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a system by which to evaluate the reliability of the users of the popular social network reddit. Though reddit is many things to many people, increasingly, through the efforts of both the company running reddit and the userbase itself, it is becoming a place where users come to read and discuss news. Thus, there is a growing need to evaluate the reliability of the suppliers of information on reddit. We first collect features of reliable and unreliable users based on their contributions, and importantly, the reaction of the community to their contributions. We then use machine learning techniques to train a regression model to give a reliability score to an arbitrary user, with promising results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Something something boston bombing [?].

Something something news doesn't always go to the top [?]

## 2. RELATED WORK

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Something something only a single subreddit [?]

## 3. DESIGN

In this section, we show the design of our system. Additionally, we discuss some of the challenges, limitations, and design decisions that went into making it. Finally, we discuss some of the details of the implementation.

### 3.1 Gathering redditUser Names

The first limitation of the redditAPI is that user names are an 'open secret'. If one has the user name of an account, public information about that account can be retrieved, but there is no way to directly get user names. Instead, what we were forced to do was scrape the posts of popular Subreddits and get the user names of the author of every post and comment. In doing so, we were able to collect over 150K user names. Of the user names we collected, we randomly selected around 2K to fully gather data on and run our regression model on.

One issue with this approach is that this makes it impossible to identify non-participants. If a user never comments on a post, or posts a post themselves, there is no way to know that that user exists. This is unfortunately an insurmountable limitation. Instead, we chose only to find good and bad users to train our classifier, and ignore non-participants.

### 3.2 redditAPI Limits

reddit has an API limit of 30 requests per minute. We discovered this limit is not strictly enforced, but in order to be good citizens and as to not get our access revoked, we knew we had to design around this constraint. In order to

**Table 1: The features used to create the regression model**

Feature Description	Importance %
Link Karma	53.13
Comment Karma	18.41
Average Karma per Post	13.34
Number of Total Posts	9.45
% of Comment Karma - Top 100 Subreddits	2.08
Has Verified Email	0.93
Average Comment Karma per Comment	0.75
% of Comment Karma - Top 10 Subreddits	0.33
Unique Words / Total Number Words	0.29
Number of Total Comments	0.20
Time Account Created	0.17
% of Comment Karma - Trusted Subreddits	0.15
Flesch–Kincaid Readability of Comments	0.15
Is Reddit Gold	0.14
% of Post Karma - Top 50 Subreddits	0.10
% of Post Karma - Top 100 Subreddits	0.10
% of Comment Karma - Top 50 Subreddits	0.08
% of Post Karma - Trusted Subreddits	0.07
% of Comment Karma - Top 25 Subreddits	0.06
% of Swear Words Used in Comments	0.03
% of Post Karma - Top 25 Subreddits	0.03
% of Post Karma - Top 10 Subreddits	0.02
Number of Gilded Posts	0.00
% of Posts Gilded	0.00
Number of Gilded Comments	0.00
% of Comments Gilded	0.00

speed up our ability to access user data (as well as change the features that we used; see Section 3.4, we crawled user data and put the raw, unmodified data into a MongoDB instance. This MongoDB served as a cache for the system. Not were we able to store raw data from redditAPI calls, we were also able to cache results from more computationally intensive features.

### 3.3 Establishing A Ground Truth

Establishing ground truth was done in two stages. The first stage was to find reliable users. Finding these users was trivial as the site rewards positive behavior through karma, which leads to increased visibility. From there the users could be filtered by their level of contribution manually. Moderators from various communities were also taken for their work in helping the community. These users were used in our training set for a +1 reliability score.

The second stage was to find users who were unreliable and detrimental to the community. Eventually, we discovered subreddits dedicated to weeding out users that didn't contribute and various posts that detailed accounts that were used to abuse the community. These were used as our training set for a -1 reliability score.

### 3.4 Picking User Features: Exploratory Data Analysis

*TODO*


### 3.5 Picking a Regression Model

Something something neural nets give no insight


something something decision trees

something something random forest

## 4. EVALUATION AND RESULTS



**Figure 1: The distribution of the reliability score  $s_r$  of the sampled redditors, binned into two bins.**



**Figure 2: The distribution of the reliability score  $s_r$  of the sampled redditors, binned into twenty bins.**

From our trained random forest regression model, we get a picture of the way that redditors are. We get a glimpse of what useful, contributing redditors look like, and what bad, non-contributing redditors look like.

We collected data on around two thousand redditors, and ran their data through our regressive model to get a reliability score  $-1 \leq s_r \leq 1$ . Then, we re-correlate this score with input features to intuitively see what features are important or not, and what features indicated useful and not-useful redditors.

*TODO*

## 5. CONCLUSION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero,




Figure 3: The reliability score  $s_r$  plotted against the percentage of gilded posts.




Figure 5: The reliability score  $s_r$  plotted against the number posts the redditor has made.




Figure 4: The reliability score  $s_r$  plotted against the number posts the redditor has made.




Figure 6: The reliability score  $s_r$  plotted against the average Karma per post the redditor has made.

nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## 5.1 Future Work

Something something number of posts

TODO


## 5.2 Open Issues

Something something hard to establish ground truth


Voting on reddit will always be somewhat ambiguous

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor Tarek F. Abdelzaher, of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, for his support in this project.



**Figure 7:** The reliability score  $s_r$  plotted against the Flesch–Kincaid readability of their comments.



**Figure 8:** The distribution of the reliability score  $s_r$  of the sampled redditors, binned into twenty bins, separated by if they have a verified email address or not.