

Solutions to Homework 8:

1. *Proof.* Relation R is symmetric and transitive

So, let aRb and bRa since R is symmetric

Now, for a relation to be transitive we know that if xRy and $yRz \implies xRz$

So, now since R is transitive, we have aRb and $bRa \implies aRa$

Hence R is reflexive □

2. R is an equivalence relation

Proof. To prove that it is an equivalence relation we must show that R is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- Reflexive: For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(5a - 8a) = 3(-a)$, which implies $3 \mid (5a - 8a)$. Thus aRa .
- Symmetric: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume aRb . Then we see $3 \mid (5a - 8b)$, and so $5a - 8b = 3k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$5a + 3a + 3b - 8b = 3k + 3a + 3b \quad (1)$$

$$8a - 5b = 3(k + a + b) \quad (2)$$

$$5b - 8a = 3(-k - a - b) \quad (3)$$

$$3 \mid (5b - 8a) \quad (4)$$

Since $(-k - a - b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that $3 \mid (5b - 8a)$. Therefore R is symmetric.

- Transitive: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume aRb and bRc . Then we see $3 \mid (5a - 8b)$ and $3 \mid (5b - 8c)$
So,

$$5a - 8b + 5b - 8c = 3n + 3m, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (5)$$

$$5a - 8c = 3(n + m + b) \quad (6)$$

$$3 \mid (5a - 8c) \quad (7)$$

Since $(n + m + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that $3 \mid (5a - 8c)$. Therefore R is transitive.

Thus R is an equivalence relation. □

3. (a)

- We see that by definition of the relation, it is reflexive since $f(x) = f(x)$ so, fRf
- If fRg , then we know that $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = g(x)$ and so this means that $g(x) = f(x)$. Hence the relation is also symmetric i.e if $fRg \implies gRf$

- The relation is not transitive.

Let f, g and h such that $f(x) = 0, g(x) = x$ and $h(x) = 1$. We have $f\mathcal{R}g$ and $g\mathcal{R}h$ but it is not true that $f\mathcal{R}h$.

(b)

Let \mathcal{R} be a relation on \mathbb{Z} defined by:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \text{ if } xy \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \quad (8)$$

- We see that $(3, 3) \notin \mathcal{R}$, since $3 \cdot 3 = 9 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, the relation is not reflexive.
 - This relation is symmetric since if $xy \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $yx = xy \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, that is, if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$.
 - This relation is not transitive. For a counterexample, we can take, $a = 3, b = 4$, and $c = 5$. Then, we see that $(3, 4), (4, 5) \in \mathcal{R}$, whereas, $(3, 5) \notin \mathcal{R}$.
4. To prove that \mathcal{R} is a partition of A , we will prove that all the sets in \mathcal{R} are not intersecting

Proof. The sets in \mathcal{R} are not intersecting which means

$$(U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{R}, U_1 \neq U_2) \implies (U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset) \quad (9)$$

Let U_1 and U_2 be sets in \mathcal{R}

We will use contrapositive here

So, let $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ Let $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$

By definition,

$U_1 = S_1 \cap T_1$ and $U_2 = S_2 \cap T_2$ where $S_1, S_2 \in P$, T_1 and $T_2 \in Q$

Now, $x \in U_1$ and $x \in U_2$

Therefore, $x \in S_1, T_1$ and $x \in S_2, T_2$

Now, S_1, S_2 and T_1, T_2 are disjoint sets

Thus, for the x to be in all the sets, $S_1 = S_2$ and $T_1 = T_2$

Therefore, $U_1 = U_2$ □

5. *Proof.* We want to prove that the relation \mathcal{R} is an equivalent relation

- **Reflexive:** Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(E)$. Then $(x \in A) \vee (x \in \bar{A})$
And so, $(x \in A \cap A) \vee (x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{A})$
Hence, $A\mathcal{R}A$.

- **Symmetry:** Let $A\mathcal{R}B$ This implies $(x \in A \cap B) \vee (x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$
And so, $(x \in B \cap A) \vee (x \in \bar{B} \cap \bar{A})$
Therefore, $A\mathcal{R}B \implies B\mathcal{R}A$ So, \mathcal{R} is symmetric
- **Transitive:** Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ and assume that $A\mathcal{R}B$ and $B\mathcal{R}C$

$$((x \in A \cap B) \vee (x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B})) \wedge ((x \in B \cap C) \vee (x \in \bar{B} \cap \bar{C})). \quad (10)$$

So,

- **Case 1:** $(x \in A \cap B) \wedge (x \in B \cap C)$. Then $x \in A$ and $x \in C$ or $x \in A \cap C$
which implies $A\mathcal{R}C$ and \mathcal{R} is transitive
- **Case 2:** $(x \in A \cap B) \wedge (x \in \bar{B} \cap \bar{C})$
that is $x \in B \cap \bar{B}$
But this case never happens
- **Case 3:** $(x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) \wedge (x \in B \cap C)$
that is $x \in A \cap \bar{A}$
But this case never happens
- **Case 4:** $(x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) \wedge (x \in \bar{B} \cap \bar{C})$. Which means $x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{C}$
So, $A\mathcal{R}C$ and \mathcal{R} is transitive

Therefore it is an equivalence relation since \mathcal{R} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. \square

6. (a) To prove that $\mathcal{S} = \{X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ forms a partition of \mathbb{Z} we need to prove that :

- $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} X = \mathbb{Z}$
Any element $x \in X_i$ can be written as $x = nk + i$
Also, by division algorithm, any integer x can be written as $nk + i$ where $0 \leq i < n - 1$
Therefore, $x \in Z$ which implies $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} X = \mathbb{Z}$

- $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $X = Y$
Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and so,
 $x \in X, y \in Y$
Here let $X = X_i, Y = X_j$ and so $x = nk + i$ and $y = nk + j$

So when $i > j$ we let $x \in X_i$ and $x \notin X_j$
So, $x \in X_i - X_j$
So, $X_i - X_j \subseteq X_i$

Now let, $x \in X_i - X_j$ and so $x \in X_i \wedge x \notin X_j$
So, $X_i \subseteq X_i - X_j$

Therefore $X_i - X_j = X_i$ or $X_i \cap X_j = \phi$ or $X \cap Y = \phi$
 Consider $i = j$ so in this case we will have
 $X_i = X_j$ or $X = Y$

So, $X \cap Y = \phi$ or $X = Y$

So, \mathcal{S} is a partition of \mathbb{Z}

(b) *Proof.* $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a, b \in X_i\}$

Reflexive: Let, $a \in X_i$

Hence, $(a, a) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ since $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

And so R is reflexive

Symmetric: Let $(a, b) \in R$

This implies $a, b \in X_i$

Since, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

So, $(b, a) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

Therefore, $(a, b) \in R \implies (b, a) \in R$

So, R is symmetric

Transitive: Let $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$

This implies, $a, b \in X_i$ $b, c \in X_j$

Now, $b \in X_i$ and $b \in X_j$

Since X_i and X_j are disjoint sets we have $i = j$

Therefore, $a \in X_i$ and $c \in X_i$

Therefore, $(a, c) \in R$

Thus, $(a, b) \in R \wedge (b, c) \in R \implies (a, c) \in R$

Hence, R is transitive

And so R is an equivalence relation since it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

□

(c) Let Q denote the set of equivalence classes of R .

For any $X_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $X_i = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x = nk + i\}$

Now we know that, $[i] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \in X_i\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \in X_i\} = X_i$

Therefore $S \subseteq Q$.

Also, for any $Y \in Q$, $Y = [x]$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$

So, by the Euclidean division algorithm we have, $x = nk + i$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i < n - 1$

So, $Y = [x] = X_i$

And hence, $Q \subseteq S$

So, since $S \subseteq Q$ and $Q \subseteq S$ we have $Q = S$

7. (a) **Reflexive:** $\forall [a]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we know that,
 $[a]_n = [a \cdot 1]_n$

$$[a]_n = [a]_n \cdot [1]_n$$

Hence R is reflexive

Symmetric: Let $[a]_n, [b]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and consequently let aRb be true,

So, for some invertible class $[u]_n, [v]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $[v]_n$ is the inverse of $[u]_n$ we have

$$[a]_n \cdot [u]_n = [b]_n \quad (11)$$

$$[a]_n \cdot [u]_n \cdot [v]_n = [b]_n \cdot [v]_n \quad (12)$$

$$[a]_n \cdot 1 = [b]_n \cdot [v]_n \quad (13)$$

$$(14)$$

So, bRa is true

and hence R is symmetric

Transitive: Let $[a]_n, [b]_n, [c]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and let aRb and bRc be true.

So, for some invertible $[u]_n, [v]_n, [p]_n, [q]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $[v]_n$ is the multiplicative inverse of $[u]_n$ and $[q]_n$ is the multiplicative inverse of $[p]_n$

So, $[c]_n = [a]_n \cdot [u]_n \cdot [p]_n$

$$[c]_n = [a]_n \cdot [u \cdot p]_n$$

Now, we know that $[u \cdot p]_n = [u]_n \cdot [p]_n$

$$[u \cdot p]_n \cdot [v \cdot q]_n = [u]_n \cdot [p]_n \cdot [v]_n \cdot [q]_n \quad (15)$$

$$[u \cdot p]_n \cdot [v \cdot q]_n = [1]_n \quad (16)$$

Hence $[u \cdot p]_n$ is invertible and so

$$[c]_n = [a]_n \cdot [u \cdot p]_n$$

And so aRc is true

Hence R is transitive

So R is an equivalence relation since it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

(b) For $n = 6$,

$R = (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 6), (1, 5), (2, 4), (4, 2), (5, 1)$

The equivalence classes are:-

$$[0] = \{\phi\}$$

$$[1] = \{1, 5\}$$

$$[2] = \{2, 4\}$$

$$[3] = \{3\}$$

$$[4] = \{2, 4\}$$

$$[5] = \{1, 5\}$$

$$[6] = \{6\}$$