

Math 220
Section 108
Lecture 16

1st November 2022

Source: <https://personal.math.ubc.ca/~PLP/auxiliary.html>

$R = \{(1, 2)\}$ is transitive !!

bas

there is no
 (b, c) & (a, c)
to prove
it false

Relations

Introduction to Relations

Definition (Definition 9.1.1 of PLP)

Let A be a set. Then a relation \mathbf{R} on A is a subset $R \subset A \times A$. If the ordered pair $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}$, we denote this as $x\mathbf{R}y$, while if $(x, y) \notin \mathbf{R}$, we denote this as $x\not\mathbf{R}y$.

Example (1)

The symbol $>$ can be thought of as a relation \mathbf{R} on \mathbb{Z} .

For example, since we know that $5 > 4$, this means that we can write $5\mathbf{R}4$ and also $(5, 4) \in \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

In contrast, since $4 \not> 4$, we have $4\not\mathbf{R}4$ and $(4, 4) \notin \mathbf{R}$.

Example (2)

Another example of a relation on \mathbb{Z} is simply

$$\mathbf{R} = \{(1, 2), (18, 37), (1, -80)\}.$$

Relations

1. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. Write out the relation R that expresses " \nmid " (does not divide) on A as a set of ordered pairs.

Note: We need to make sure that we are not leaving any one of the ordered pairs out. If we do, it is not the same relation anymore.

$$A = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$$

$$A = \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (6, 1), (3, 2), (6, 2), (6, 3), (2, 3)\}$$

(Continued)

(Continued) 1. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. Write out the relation **R** that expresses “ \nmid ” (does not divide) on A as a set of ordered pairs.

Old final question

2. Determine which of the following relations, R , are reflexive, symmetric and transitive on the given set A . (We call a relation that satisfies all 3 properties, an **equivalence relation**.) Prove your answers.

~~a~~ $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : y = x^2\}$ on $A = \mathbb{R}$.

$R \rightarrow$ **b** $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$ on $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

c $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 3 \text{ divides } a - b\}$ on $A = \mathbb{Z}$.

$\odot R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (6, 3), (3, 6), (3, 9), (9, 6), \dots\}$

All of the elements must satisfy
in the given relation
- Reflexive, Transitive, Symmetric
!!!

(Continued)

(Continued) 2. Determine which **R** are equivalence relations on A .

- a $\mathbf{R} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : y = x^2\}$ on $A = \mathbb{R}$.
- b $\mathbf{R} = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$ on $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- c $\mathbf{R} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 3 \text{ divides } a - b\}$ on $A = \mathbb{Z}$.

Relations

3. Define a relation on \mathbb{Z} as aRb if $3 \mid (2a - 5b)$. Is R reflexive, symmetric, transitive? Justify your answer.

$$R = \{(1,1), (2,2) \dots\}$$

Reflexive

$$3 \mid (2a - 5b)$$

$$\text{let } b = a$$

$$\text{so, } 3 \mid 2a - 5a$$

$$3 \mid -3(a)$$

Symmetric

$$3m = 2a - 5b$$

$$\text{so, } 3m + 5b = 2a$$

$$\& \quad 2a - 3m = 5b$$

$$\text{so, } 5b - 2a = -3(m)$$

$$2b - 2a = -3(m+b)$$

$$2b - 5a = -3(m+b+a)$$

$$\text{so, } 3 \mid 2b - 5a$$

(Continued)

(Continued) 3. Define a relation on \mathbb{Z} as aRb if $3 \mid (2a - 5b)$. Is R reflexive, symmetric, transitive? Justify your answer.

Transitive →

$$3 \mid 2a - 5b$$

$$3 \mid 2b - 5c$$

$$\text{So, } 3 \mid 2a - 3b - 5c$$

$$3 \mid 2a - 5c //$$

So, it's
an
equivalent
relation
//

Relations

4. Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' be two relations on the same set A . Prove or disprove the following.

a If \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are transitive, then the relation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ defined as $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}'$ is transitive.

b If \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are transitive, then the relation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ defined as $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}'$ is transitive.

Yes, transitive.
Proof on next page.

a Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$
 $\mathcal{R} = \{(1, 2)\}$
 $\mathcal{R}' = \{(2, 3)\}$
Then $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}'$
 $= \{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$ but $(1, 3) \notin \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}'$ so it's not transitive

(Continued)

(Continued) 4. Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' be two relations on A . Prove or disprove:

a If \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are transitive, then $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}'$ is transitive.

b If \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are transitive, then $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}'$ is transitive.

↳ If $(a,b), (b,c) \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}'$, then
 $(a,b), (b,c) \in \mathcal{R}$
so, $(a,c) \in \mathcal{R}$ similarly for \mathcal{R}'
& hence $(a,c) \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}'$ & it's
transitive

Primes

5. Let p be a prime number and let a, b be integers. Then prove that $p|ab \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|b$.

Hint: Bézout's lemma may be useful:

Lemma (Lemma 9.5.7 of PLP)

Given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both zero, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b).$$

Assume $p|ab$

- If $p|a$, we are done
- If $p \nmid a$, $\gcd(p, a) = 1$. Bézout's lemma implies $\exists x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $ax + py = 1$. So, $abx + pby = b$.

Since $p|ab$, we have $ab = pk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, $pkx + pby$
and so $p(kx + by) = b$ so, $p|b$ since $kx + by \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(Continued)

(Continued) 5. Let p be a prime number and let a, b be integers. Then prove that $p|ab \Rightarrow (p|a) \text{ or } (p|b)$.

Relations - old exam question

6. A relation R on \mathbb{Z} is defined by aRb if $7a^2 \equiv 2b^2 \pmod{5}$.

(a) Prove that R is an equivalence relation.

(b) Determine the distinct equivalence classes $[0]$ and $[1]$, simplify your answer as much as possible.

Hint: The previous example will be useful here.

(Continued)

(Continued) 6. A relation \mathbf{R} on \mathbb{Z} is defined by $a\mathbf{R}b$ if $7a^2 \equiv 2b^2 \pmod{5}$.

(a) Prove that \mathbf{R} is an equivalence relation.

(b) Determine the distinct equivalence classes $[0]$ and $[1]$.