

Pre-class Assignment-23

Reading

① Quadratic Optimization

A quadratic optimization problem is an optimization problem of the form:

$$\text{minimize } f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x ; x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

here, x is the vector of variables we are optimizing

Q is the symmetric +ve definite matrix

c is a vector

The objective is to minimize the quadratic form $f(x)$.

② * Quadratic optimization is advantageous due to its computational efficiency, often having efficient algorithms for optimal solutions.

* Analytical solutions are often attainable, providing clear expressions for optimal outcomes.

* The convex nature of quadratic function ensures a straight forward optimization process with a unique global minimum.

* Widely applicable across various domains, quadratic optimization finds use in finance, engineering, statistics & machine learning, making it an ideal choice for diverse problem solving scenarios.

③ The method of least squares is a technique used to approximate the solution of an overdetermined system of linear equations by minimizing the sum of squares of the residuals. The least squares soln. is obtained by solving a quadratic optimization problem.

Given an overdetermined system $Ax = b$ where, A is a matrix with more rows than columns the least squares soln. \hat{x} is obtained by minimizing the following quadratic objective function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$$

Euclidean Norm

The soln. \hat{x} minimizes the sum of squared diff b/w the observed values 'b' & values predicted by linear model ' Ax '.

Exercises

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(x, y) = 4x^3 - 3xy^2 + y^2 - x + 3$$

i) The gradient of f is given by vector of partial derivatives,

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 12x^2 - 3y^2 - 1 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -6xy + 2y$$

$$\text{At origin } (0, 0) : \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0 - 0 - 1 = -1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\text{hence, } \nabla f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hessian matrix (H_f) is given by :

$$H_f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 24x \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = -6x + 2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = -6y$$

At origin (0,0) :

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 24(0) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = -6(0) + 2 = 2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = -6(0) = 0$$

Therefore, the Hessian Matrix (H_f) is given by:

$$\Rightarrow H_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) Quadratic approximation of 'f' near the origin . i.e.,

$$f(x) \cong f(0) + \nabla f(0)^T \cdot x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H_f(0) x.$$

from a) part we know, $\nabla f(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$H_f(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(0) = f(0,0) = f(0) - 3(0) + 0 - 0 + 3 = \underline{\underline{3}}$$

$$f(x, y) \approx 3 + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(x, y) \approx 3 - x + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(x, y) \approx 3 - x + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(x, y) \approx 3 - x + \frac{1}{2} (2y^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x, y) \approx y^2 - x + 3$$

c) The quadratic optimization problem involves minimizing the quadratic form:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \nabla f^T x$$

$$\text{here, } H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{&} \quad \nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Minimize } q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

on Simplifying,

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} [0 \cdot 2y] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - x$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} [2y^2] - x$$

$$g(x) = y^2 - x$$

$$\nabla g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial g / \partial x \\ \partial g / \partial y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2y \end{bmatrix}$$

when $\nabla g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2y \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$y = 0 \Rightarrow$ substitute in $f(x, y)$

hence, $g(x) = -x$

$$f(x, y) = 4x^3 - 3xy^2 + y^2 - x + 3$$

$$f(x, 0) = 4x^3 - x + 3$$

At $f(0, 0) = 0 - 0 + 3$

$$= 3$$

Hence, the minimum value is 3 at $(0, 0)$

② Here is an example of a matrix that has non-negative eigen values but its not positive Semidefinite:

Consider the matrix Q

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

the eigen values of Q are $\lambda_1 = 1$ & $\lambda_2 = 2$

both are non-negative

However, Q is not +ve semidefinite because

there exists a vector $x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ for which $x^T Q x < 0$

Specifically:

$$x^T Q x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} = 4 - 9 = -5 < 0$$

Even though the eigen values of Q are non-negative, the matrix itself does not satisfy the +ve semidefinite condition for all vector 'x'. Requirement for positive Semidefiniteness is $x^T Q x \geq 0$ for all vectors 'x'.

③ let 'Q' be a real symmetric matrix.

Consider the optimization problem

$$\text{Maximize } f(x) = x^T Q(x)$$

given, $\|x\|=1$; where $\|x\| = \sqrt{x^T x}$ denotes

Euclidean norm of \vec{x} :

'Q' posses 'n' orthogonal eigenvectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n

with corresponding real eigen values $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$

Let $\lambda_{\max} = \max_i \lambda_i$ be the max eigen value of 'Q'
with corresponding eigen vector v_{\max}

Now, express Q in terms of eigen decomposition

$$x^T Q x = x^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T \right) x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x^T v_i)^2$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality -

$$x^T Q x \leq \lambda_{\max} \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x, v_i \rangle|^2$$

using pythagoras theorem.

$$x^T Q x = \lambda_{\max} \|x\|^2$$

$$= \lambda_{\max}$$

Equality is achieved when $x = v_{\max}$.

Therefore, the max value of $f(x)$ subject to $\|x\|=1$
is exactly λ_{\max} , the max eigenvalue of Q -