



Sprint 2 Team Report

Team: 204

Repo URL: <https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500/team-204-SP19>

Reviewer: Sushmita Chaudhari

Score: 92

Comments

Commitment: The goal document was submitted on time. Given the strength of this team, the goals could be more aggressive in terms of features.

Goals: The team met almost all the goals. In the goal document, The team had stated that they would have decided on the stack (which was tentatively a CLI), but in Sprint review, the team did not have a definite decision on this. They said they wanted to use OAuth and that is why had no feature to create users.

Planning, Estimation, Execution: The scrum-master said they did good planning and estimation. A team-member noted that they had nothing to work on and started to work on something new. This is perfectly fine if that new thing is a feature from backlog, but it should not be due to poor estimation -- just a guiding point.

Communication: The team communicated well. Stand-ups could be better. The client should be kept in the loop in terms of the progress made.

Sprint Demo: Private message was demonstrated. Broadcast message was demonstrated. A notification feature was demonstrated. Sprint Demo was successful. A few exceptions while demo-ing edge cases were caught and communicated to the team. NO-ack is not received. A team-member noted that the query is wrong. No error msg for invalid user.

Distribution of work: Good distribution of work.

Code quality: The team has used JPA, making things easy for them. Absolutely zero javadoc. Unused code in many classes. Code smells: Resources need to be closed. Tests are not aggressive -- there are 1 test in models -- while this is ok for coverage --documentation and different scenarios would help others to understand more. Access modifiers --please take care of them --use the appropriate modifiers.

Testing: Satisfactory tests.

README on github.

Hosted on AWS.