



Sprint 5 Team Report

Team: 204

Repo URL: <https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500/team-204-SP19>

Reviewer: Michael Weintraub

Score: 92.375

Comments

The presentation is a solid piece of work.

The section on functionalities achieved doesn't do justice to the work. It would be better if there was a more engaging way to present the information than putting up text and then reading the same text. A demo showing the feature while talking about it would be better.

The quality section is good. The claims were substantiated.

The team progress section is misleading. While the columns are correct, it's the change in heights that tells the story. Moreover, it's clear that the team wasn't counting work items toward making the platform robust in the same way as new features. What is trying to be shown is a sense of productivity and where the team focused. While I get the objective, the data says the team was very productive in sprint 2 and somewhat in sprint 3. Sprint 4 and sprint 1 were not so productive. The issue is presumably in the accounting, which I assume is because the tasks weren't properly described, included, or measured. Not sure which is the case, but you can see how the team might not get the credit due because of it.

The process and team-work section reports good things, but nothing was supported by data/evidence. One excellent decision was to get together for lunch. Breaking bread is a good thing. It builds communications and trust.

The explanation of how a pattern was used was very good.

You did the operations part, but you didn't include it. Too bad.

Liked the security report. The number of tests for the code was a bit lower than many other teams, but the coverage was there. It's an interesting point.

The system install video is good. It was good to see the copyright notices in the header and the command line emphasis taken.