Chapter 9

4) Asome that f(z) - so as z-zo, an isolated sugularity.
WTS: f has a pole at zo

By the assimption, olefwing h(z) = 1 which is analytic first some punctured neighborhood of Zo so that we have $h(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0 \Rightarrow h(z)$ is bounded near Zo. By the Corollary g, 4 of Riemann's theorem of removeble singularities, h(z) has a removable singularity at Zo and can be extended to an analytic function by defining $h(z_0) = 0$. So h(z) has a zero of order N say, so that f(z) has a pole of order N. Pf of Corollary g, 4 (not given in the text)

f is analytic in the punctured disc $D=\{z:0<|z-z_0|< T\}$ (by the defin of isolated superlaity), by (orollowy 9.10), $f(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_k(z-z_0)^k$ where $a_k=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-(z-z_0)^k+1}^{-(z)}dz$

C = C(zo;s), O<s<r. Since f is bounded in D, JM10 s.t. |f(z)| < M \text{ \tex{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{

 $|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{M}{s^{n+1}} 2\pi s = \frac{M}{s^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ for $n < 0 \Rightarrow$

 $a_n = 0$ for n < 0.

2) As $|f(z)| h \exp(\frac{1}{|z|}) \to \infty$ as $|z| \to 0$, by question above f would have a pole at z = 0, say of order N then $|f(z)| \sim \frac{C}{|z|N}$.

3) Suppose that f is antire 1-1 function. WTS: f(z)=qz+bSince entire functions can be given by power series, we shall consider g(z):=f(1/z) which has 0 as isolated singularity. Clearly this sugmently can't be removable, so 0 is either a pole or an essential singularity. If it were

an essential singularity, then considering deleted neighbourhood D = {t: 0< 121<r} of 0, we would deduce by Casorati-Weierstrass Theorem that the range g(D) be dense in () however this contradicts with the 1-1 vess of f because g is analytic at points other than 0, hence maps open set A = {z: T+1 < 121 < T+2} to an open set g(A), by the open mapping theorem, for which g(A) ng(O) + \$ by the density of g(D). Thus q is not 1-1 => f is not 1-1. So O is the pok of $g \Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t.}$ $g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j \cdot \frac{1}{z^j} \Rightarrow f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j z^j$ by fund. thm. of algob with power series expansion of f when N > 2, + is not injective. To see this, assume V. Z1,-.., ZN are s.t. f(zj) = 0, so If 3 j + k s.t. zj + zk then f is not injective. Thus for ZI=...= ZN, we've f(z) = c (z-z,) "yielding that f(z,+1) = c = c e2rci = c (e2rci/N) N = f(z1+e20/N) => f is not injective. Thus N=1 => f(2) = a0 + a1 Z. 4) f is analytic in [1{0} and |f(2)| < [12] + 1/[2] => In |= | |f(z) | < lu |= |3/2 + |= | > Du |= f(z) = 0 Then by Riemann's principle of removable sugularities, O is the removable singularity so there is entire function g s.t. g = f on C/203. Then for 2 +0, 3 c/0 s.t. 19(2) = If(2) | < C|2 | which along with the extended Liouville's then q is a degree-1 polynomial, but from the given bound for f, g must be constant and hence

f is constant.

5) Sippose f & g are entire with If(z) | 5 | q(z) | 4z. WTS: f(z) = c g(z), for some constant c.

write $h = \frac{f}{g}$, as $\ln |\leq 1$ (x), if we show that h is entire then by Liouville's theorem h = C, for some constant so the result will follow. As f & g are entire, to see that so is h, we must verify that the possible roots of g are removable singularities of h. So assume that z_0 is the zero of g of order n, i.e. $g^{(j)}(z_0) = 0$ $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $g^{(n)}(z_0) \neq 0$. If $f(z_0) \neq 0$ then we could have $h(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, contradicting (x). Then if f has zero of order m < n at z_0 , again $h(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$.

So must take $m \geqslant n$. Therefore we write $f(z) = A(z)(z-z_0)^n$ and $g(z) = B(z)(z-z_0)^n$ where A & B are entire functions sof. $A(z_0) \neq 0$, $B(z_0) \neq 0$. This yields $h(z) = A(z)(z-z_0)^{m-n} \Rightarrow B(z_0)$

Que (z-zo) h(z) = 0 which, by Riemann's thm, shows that

the sugularity is removable.

Note: Indeed, since Ih(2) | \leq 1 \text{ \forested 2}, by the Corollary 9.4, the result immediately follows.

8) This question is a content of Consorati-Weierstrass The However we just prove:

if to is an essential singularity of f(z), then for all w. $\in \mathbb{C}$ \exists sequ. $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ s.t. $f(z_n) \rightarrow w_0$. (This is stronger than the statement of ">" part of the question)

is analytic at to. If N=0, f(z) extends to be analytic at to, while if N70, f(z) has a pole of order N at to. This establishes what we wanted to show.

9) a) $\frac{1}{z^{h}+z^{2}}=\frac{1}{z^{2}(z^{2}+1)}$, double pole at 0, simple pole at $\pm i$

b) whit = cost , simple pole at Z=kTC, k & Z.

c) lict = 1 sing > simple pole at z= kR, kfZ.

also, $\exp(\frac{1}{2})$ = 1+ t+ $\frac{2}{2!}$ + -- has an essential singularity at M.

10) $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z^2+1)^2} = \frac{1}{(z-i)^2(z+i)^2} = \frac{1}{(z-i)^2[(z-i)+2i]^2} = \frac{1}{(z-i)^2(2i)^2[1+\frac{z-i}{2i}]^2}$

 $= \frac{-V_4}{(z-i)^2} \frac{1}{[1+\frac{z-i}{2}]^2} (x) . \text{ Note that } \frac{1}{(1+w)^2} = \frac{d}{dw} (\frac{-1}{1+w}) = \frac{1}{(1+w)^2} = \frac{1}{2} (x) .$

 $\frac{d}{dw} \left(\sum_{0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} w^{n} \right) = \sum_{|w| < 1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} w^{n-1} = 1 - 2w + 3w^{2} - \cdots - 1$

So for |z-i| < 2, $(*) = \frac{-1/4}{(z-i)^2} \left[1 - 2 \frac{(z-i)}{2i} + 3 \frac{(z-i)^2}{-4} - \cdots \right]$

 $= \frac{-1/4}{(2-i)^2} = \frac{1/4}{(2-i)} + 3/16 - \cdots$

So the principal part of f is $\frac{-1/4}{(z-i)^2} - \frac{1/4}{(z-i)}$.

11) α) $\frac{1}{z^{2}(z^{2}+1)} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \frac{1}{1+z^{2}} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{k} z^{2k} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} - 1 + z^{2} - z^{4}$ $+ \cdot \cdot \cdot = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{k+1} z^{2k}$

6) $\frac{\exp(1/z^2)}{z^2-1} = : f(z), \exp(1/z^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! z^{2k}} = 1 + \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{2z^4} + \cdots$

 $\frac{1}{z-1} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{k} = -1 - z - z^{2} - \cdots$

 $f(z) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-2k}}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-2k}}{k!} (x)$, two cases to

consider: n-2k=m, m=0,1,2,- and n-2k=-m, m=1,2,-)--- (we exclude m=0 in the second case in order to avoid summing the associated term two times). In the first case since n=2k+m where n=0,1,2,-, we take k=0,1,2,-. In the second, -m=-2k+1 (4) for k=1,2,-.

now where m = -2j or m = -2j + 1, j = 1, 2, ...

$$f(2) = \frac{1}{2(2-1)(2-2)}$$

a) 0<121<1

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1} \right), \quad \frac{1}{z-2} = \frac{-1}{2(1-\frac{z}{2})}, \text{ in this}$$

$$\text{region } 0 < |\frac{z}{2}| < 1, \text{ thus } \frac{1}{z-2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{2^n}, \text{ also } \frac{1}{z-1} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$$

$$\text{therefore } f(z) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^{n-1}}{z^{n+1}} + z^{n-1} \right)$$

$$|z| < |z|$$

6) 1 < 121 < 2

In this region sum $0 < \left| \frac{7}{2} \right| < 1$, we take the same series for $\frac{1}{2-2}$. But since we nomone have |z| < 1, we rewrite $\frac{1}{2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ because $\left| \frac{1}{2} \right| < 1$, so $\frac{1}{2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Thus $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{n+1}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right)$ $= -\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^{n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \right)$

c)
$$|z| > 2$$

In this case, $\frac{1}{2-2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\frac{2}{2})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^n}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{n+1}}$

Qikunise $\frac{1}{2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \Rightarrow \int_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2$