

CS536 Science of Programming

HW-8

Sai Vishal Kodimela (A20453006)

Venkata Akshith Reddy Kasireddy (A20455209)

Atharva Kadam (A20467229)

Class 17: Full Proof Outlines [10 points]

1) Let $p \equiv \{1 \leq k \leq n \wedge p = n!/k!\}$
 $\{n > 0\}$
 $k := n-1; \{n > 0 \wedge k = n-1\}$
 $p := n; \{n > 0 \wedge k = n-1 \wedge p = n\}$
 $\{\text{inv } p\}$ while $k > 1$ do // where $p \equiv 1 \leq k \leq n \wedge p = n!/k!$
 $\{p \wedge k > 1\}$
 $\{p[p^{*k}/p][k-1/k]\}$ $k := k-1;$
 $\{p[p^{*k}/p]\}$ $p := p^{*k} \{p\}$
 od $\{p \wedge k \leq 1\} \{p = n!\}$

Substitutions:

$$p[p^{*k}/p] \equiv 1 \leq k \leq n \wedge p^{*k} = n!/k!$$

$$p[p^{*k}/p][k-1/j] \equiv 1 \leq k \leq n \wedge p^{*(k-1)} = n!/(k-1)!$$

Class 17: Partial Proof Outlines [20 points total]

4) (Full proof outline from minimal outline, using sp.)

$\{q \equiv r = X*Y-x*y\}$
if even(x) then
 $\{q \wedge \text{even}(x)\}$
 $y := 2*y; \{r_0 = X*Y-x*y \wedge \text{even}(x_0) \wedge y = 2*y_0\}$
 $x := x/2 \{r_0 = X*Y-x*y \wedge \text{even}(x_0) \wedge y = 2*y_0 \wedge x = x_0/2\}$
else
 $\{q \wedge \text{odd}(x)\}$
 $r := r+y; \{r_0 = X*Y-x*y \wedge \text{odd}(x) \wedge r = r_0+y\}$
 $x := x-1 \{r_0 = X*Y-x_0*y \wedge \text{odd}(x) \wedge r = r_0+y \wedge x = x_0-1\}$
fi $\{q\}$

5) (Full proof outline from minimal outline, using wp.)

```

{y ≥ 1} x := 0; r := 1;
{inv p ≡ 1 ≤ r = 2^x ≤ y} while 2*r ≤ y do
  {p ∧ 2*r ≤ y}
  {1 ≤ 2*r = 2^(x+1) ≤ y} r := 2*r;
  {1 ≤ r = 2^(x+1) ≤ y} x := x+1
  {p}
od {p ∧ 2*r > y} {r = 2^x ≤ y ≤ 2^(x+1)}

```

Class 18: Convergence [20 points total]

1) (Bound expression for {inv p} {bd t} while k ≤ n do ... k := k+1 od)

- n-k is invalid: It can be negative; n-k is decreased by the loop body.
- n+k+C is invalid: Incrementing k does not decrease it; n+k+C is nonnegative.
- n-k+C is valid: Incrementing k decreases it; we know $j \leq n+C$, which implies $n-k+C \geq 0$.
- n-k+2*C is valid. Incrementing k decreases it, and since $C > 0$, we know that $n-k+2*C > n-k+C \geq 0$.
- $2^{(n+C)}/2^k$ is valid. Incrementing k decreases it and $k \leq n+C$, implies $2^k \leq 2^{(n+C)}$

2)

```

{b[c] ≥ 1 ∧ 0 ≤ c < |b|}           // call this p0
x := 1;
{ p0 ∧ x = 1 }                     // (sp of line above)
k := 0;
{p0 ∧ x = 1 ∧ k = 0}                // (sp of line above)
{inv p ≡ x = 2^k ≤ b[c]}
  ∧ 0 ≤ c < |b| ∧ b[c]-x > 0}       // (Augment p to ensure bound expression ≥ 0)
{bd b[c]-x}                          // (other bounds include b[j]-k, ceil(log2(b[j]))-k)
while 2*x ≤ b[c] do
  {p ∧ 2*x ≤ b[c] ∧ b[c]-x = t0}    // (let t0 be value of bound expr at top of loop body)
  {p[2*x/x][k+1/k] ∧ b[c]-2*x < t0} // (Add wp of the assignment
below.)
  k := k+1;
  {p[2*x/x] ∧ b[c]-2*x < t0}        // (Add wp of the assignment below.)
  x := 2*x
  {p ∧ b[c]-x < t0}                 // (Add bound expr < logical variable.)
od

{p ∧ 2*x > b[c]}
{x = 2^k ≤ b[c] < 2^(k+1)}

```