# Contents

| 1 | <b>27-</b> 1 | 27-10-2014 |        |         |    |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |   |   |   |
|---|--------------|------------|--------|---------|----|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
|   | 1.1          | Measure    | Theory | Chapter | 9. |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |   | 2 |
|   | 1.2          | Measure    | Theory | Chapter | 10 |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  | • |  | • |   | 7 |
| 2 | 28-1         | 28-10-2014 |        |         |    |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |   |   |   |
|   | 2.1          | Measure    | Theory | Chapter | 10 |  |  |  |  | _ |  | _ |  |  |  |  |   |  |   | _ | Ç |

#### 27-10-2014 1

#### 1.1 Measure Theory Chapter 9

### 1.1 Proposition.

Given  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$ . Let  $\sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j}$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^N z_k 1_{B_k}$  be two standard representations of f. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j \mu(A_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_k \mu(B_k).$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j \mu(A_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_k \mu(B_k)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mu(A_j \cap B_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_k \sum_{j=0}^{M} \mu(A_j \cap B_k)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$y_j \mu(A_j \cap B_k) = z_k \mu(A_j \cap B_k) \qquad \forall (j, k)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j 1_{A_j}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_k 1_{B_k}(x) \qquad \forall x \in X$$

### 1.2 Definition.

Let  $f = \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j 1_{A_j} \in \mathcal{E}^+$  be a simple function in standard representation. Then the number

$$I_{\mu}(f) := \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} \mu(A_{j}) \in [0, \infty]$$

is called the  $(\mu$ -)integral of f.

### 1.3 Proposition.

$$I_{\mu}(1_A) = \mu(A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$
  
 $I_{\mu}(\lambda f) = \lambda I_{\mu}(f) \qquad \forall \lambda \geq 0$ 

### Proof.

$$I_{\mu}(f) := \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} \mu(A_{j}) \in [0, \infty]$$
 by definition.

### 1.4 Proposition.

$$f, g \in \mathcal{E}^+ \Longrightarrow I_{\mu}(f+g) = I_{\mu}(f) + I_{\mu}(g)$$

Proof.

$$I_{\mu}(f+g) = I_{\mu}(f) + I_{\mu}(g)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$I_{\mu}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} 1_{A_{j}}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_{k} 1_{B_{k}}(x)\right) = I_{\mu}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} 1_{A_{j}}(x)\right) + I_{\mu}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} z_{k} 1_{B_{k}}\right)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{N} (y_{j} + z_{k}) \mu(A_{j} \cap B_{k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} \mu(A_{j}) + \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_{k} \mu(B_{k})$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{N} (y_{j} + z_{k}) \mu(A_{j} \cap B_{k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_{j} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mu(A_{j} \cap B_{k}) + \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{M} \mu(A_{j} \cap B_{k})$$

### 1.5 Proposition.

$$f \leq g \Longrightarrow I_{\mu}(f) \leq I_{\mu}(g)$$

Proof.

$$I_{\mu}(f) \leq I_{\mu}(g)$$

$$\uparrow [g - f \in \mathcal{E}^{+}]$$

$$I_{\mu}(f) \leq I_{\mu}(f) + I_{\mu}(g - f)$$

#### 1.6 Definition.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. The  $\mu$ -integral of a positive numerical function  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$  is given by

$$\int u \ d\mu := \sup\{I_{\mu}(g) : g \le u, g \in \mathcal{E}^+\} \in [0, \infty].$$

If we need to emphasize the *integration variable*, we also write  $\int u(x)\mu(dx)$  or  $\int u(x)d\mu(x)$ .

### 1.7 Proposition.

For all  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$  we have  $\int f d\mu = I_{\mu}(f)$ .

Proof.

$$\int f d\mu = I_{\mu}(f)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sup \{I_{\mu}(g) : g \leq f, g \in \mathcal{E}^{+}\} = I_{\mu}(f)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I_{\mu}(f) \leq \sup \{I_{\mu}(g) : g \leq f, g \in \mathcal{E}^{+}\} \leq I_{\mu}(f)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \leq f \Longrightarrow I_{\mu}(g) \leq I_{\mu}(f)$$

### 1.8 Proposition.

Let (X, A) be a measurable space. Let  $\mu = \delta_y$  be the Dirac measure for fixed  $y \in X$ . Show that

$$\int u \ d\delta_y = u(y) \qquad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+.$$

### Proof.

By theorem 8.8, there exists increasing function  $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{E}^+$  with  $f_j\leq u$  and  $\lim_{j\to\infty}f_j=u$ . Therefore:

$$\int u \ d\delta_y = u(y)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\int \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j \ d\delta_y = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(y)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int f_j \ d\delta_y = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(y)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\int f_j \ d\delta_y = f_j(y) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} y_{k_j} \ \delta_y(A_{k_j}) = f_j(y) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

1.9 Theorem.

Let  $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$  be a sequence of positive measurable functions. Then  $u:=\liminf_{j\to\infty}u_j$  is measurable and

$$\int \lim \inf_{j \to \infty} u_j \ d\mu \le \lim \inf_{j \to \infty} \int u_j \ d\mu$$

Recall that  $\liminf_{j\to\infty}u_j=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{j\geq k}u_j$ . Therefore:

1.10 Theorem.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. For an increasing sequence of numerical functions  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$  where  $0 \leq f_j \leq f_{j+1} \leq \ldots$ , we have

$$\int \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j \ d\mu = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_j \ d\mu$$

and

$$\int \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j \ d\mu = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int f_j \ d\mu.$$

#### 1.11 Theorem.

Let  $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ . Then  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j$  is measurable and we have

$$\int \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int u_j \ d\mu.$$

# 1.2 Measure Theory Chapter 10

#### 1.12 Definition.

A function  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}$  on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is said to  $(\mu$ -)-integrable, if it is  $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ -measurable and if the integrals  $\int u^+ d\mu$ ,  $\int u^- d\mu < \infty$  are finite. In this case we call

$$\int u \ d\mu := \int u^+ d\mu - \int u^- d\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

the  $\mu$ -integral of u.

#### 1.13 Definition.

We write  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  [ $\mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ ] for the set of all real-valued [numerical]  $\mu$ -integrable functions.

#### 1.14 Theorem.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. 
$$u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu)$$

2. 
$$u^+, u^- \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu)$$

3. 
$$|u| \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

4. 
$$\exists w \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu), \ w \geq 0 \ such \ that \ |u| \leq w$$

$$u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}(\mu) \iff u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

$$\downarrow u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} \wedge \int u^{\pm} d\mu < \infty \iff u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} \wedge \int u^{\pm} d\mu < \infty$$

$$u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \implies |u| \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

$$\downarrow u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \implies u^{+} + u^{-} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

$$\downarrow u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} \text{ and } \int u^{\pm} d\mu < \infty \implies u^{+} + u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} \text{ and } \int u^{+} + u^{-} d\mu < \infty$$

 $3 \Rightarrow 4$  is obvious

# 2 28-10-2014

# 2.1 Measure Theory Chapter 10

# 2.1 Proposition.

$$u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}} \iff u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^{+}$$

### 2.2 Definition.

A function  $u: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -integrable, if

- 1.  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$
- 2.  $\int u^{\pm} d\mu < \infty$

We write  $\mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu)$  for the set of all numerical  $\mu$ -integrable functions.

### 2.3 Definition.

If  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ , then we call

$$\int u \ d\mu := \int u^+ d\mu - \int u^- d\mu$$

the  $\mu$ -integral of u.

# 2.4 Proposition.

For  $u \ge 0$  we have

$$u \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \iff u \in \mathcal{M}^{+}_{\mathbb{R}} \quad and \quad \int u \ d\mu < \infty$$

### 2.5 Proposition.

Given  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$ .

$$\int |u| \ d\mu < \infty \iff \ u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

#### 2.6 Theorem.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- 1.  $\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$  and  $\int \alpha u \ d\mu = \alpha \int u \ d\mu$
- 2.  $u + v \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$  and  $\int (u + v) d\mu = \int u d\mu + \int v d\mu$

3. 
$$\min\{u,v\}, \max\{u,v\} \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu)$$

4. 
$$u \le v \implies \int u \ d\mu \le \int v \ d\mu$$

5. 
$$|\int u \ d\mu| \le \int |u| d\mu$$

### 2.7 Proposition.

On  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \delta_y)$  where  $y \in X$  fixed, we have  $\int u(x)\delta_y(dx) = u(y)$  and

$$u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\delta_y) \iff u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}} \ and \ |u(y)| < \infty$$

### 2.8 Proposition.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space. Then every bounded measurable function (random variable)  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  with  $S := \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |\xi(\omega)| < \infty$  is P-integrable.

#### Proof.

$$\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\int |\xi| \ dP < \infty$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$S \int 1_{\Omega} \ dP < \infty$$

### 2.9 Definition.

Let  $(X, A, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$  or  $u \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ . Then

$$\int_{A} u \ d\mu := \int 1_{A} u \ d\mu = \int 1_{A} (x) u(x) \mu(dx) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

#### 2.10 Proposition.

$$\int_X u \ d\mu = \int u \ d\mu$$

### 2.11 Theorem.

On the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  let  $u \in \mathcal{M}^+$ . The set-function

$$\nu: A \mapsto \int_A u \ d\mu = \int 1_A u \ d\mu \qquad A \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a measure on (X, A). It is called the measure with density (function) u with respect to  $\mu$  and denoted by  $\nu = u\mu$ .

#### 2.12 Definition.

If  $\nu$  has a density function w.r.t.  $\mu$  one writes tradionionally  $d\nu/d\mu$  for the density function. This notation is to be understood in a purely symbolical way.

#### 2.13 Definition.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A  $\mu$ -null set  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$  is a measurable set  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu} \iff N \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mu(N) = 0.$$

#### 2.14 Definition.

If a property  $\Pi(x)$  is true for all  $x \in X$  apart from some x contained in a null set  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ , we say that  $\Pi(x)$  holds a.e. (allmost everyhwere).

#### 2.15 Theorem.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$  be a numerical integrable function on a measure sapce  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Then

1. 
$$\int |u| \ d\mu = 0 \iff |u| = 0 \ a.e. \iff \mu(\{u \neq 0\}) = 0$$

2. 
$$\int_N u \ d\mu = 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$$

### 2.16 Theorem.

Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$  such that u = v  $\mu$ -a.e. Then

1. 
$$u, v \ge 0 \implies \int u \ d\mu = \int v \ d\mu$$

2. 
$$u \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \implies v \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \quad and \quad \int u \ d\mu = \int v d\mu$$

$$\int u \ d\mu = \int v \ d\mu$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\int_{\{u=v\}} u \ d\mu + \int_{\{u\neq v\}} u \ d\mu = \int_{\{u=v\}} v \ d\mu + \int_{\{u\neq v\}} v \ d\mu$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\int_{\{u=v\}} u \ d\mu = \int_{\{u=v\}} v \ d\mu$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\int v^{\pm} \ d\mu = \int u^{\pm} \ d\mu < \infty$$

$$\uparrow [apply 1]$$

$$u^{\pm} = v^{\pm} \ a.e.$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$u = v \ a.e.$$

# 2.17 Theorem.

If  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu), v \geq 0$  then

$$|u| \le v \quad a.e. \implies u \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{\bar{\mathbb{p}}}(\mu).$$

### 2.18 Proposition.

For all  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu), A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } c > 0$ 

$$\mu(\{|u| \ge c\} \cap A) \le 1/c \int_A |u| d\mu.$$

#### 2.19 Theorem.

If  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ , then u is almost everywhere  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued. In particular, we can find a version  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  such that  $\tilde{u} = u$  a.e. and  $\int \tilde{u} d\mu = \int u d\mu$ .

#### 2.20 Theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra.

- 1. If  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{G})$  and if  $\int_G u d\mu = \int_G w d\mu$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $u = w \mu$ -a.e.
- 2. If  $u, w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{G})$  and if  $\int_G u d\mu = \int_G w d\mu$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , then u = w  $\mu$ -a.e. under the additional assumption that  $\mu|_{\mathcal{G}}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

### 2.21 Proposition.

$$\int_{N} u \ d\mu = 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$$

Proof.

$$\int_{N} u \ d\mu = 0$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$|\int_{N} u \ d\mu| \le 0$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\int 1_{N} |u| \ d\mu \le 0$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int j \ 1_{N} \ d\mu = 0$$

## 2.22 Proposition.

$$|u| = 0$$
 a.e.  $\iff \mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0$ 

$$|u| = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\{x : |u(x)| \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0$$

2.23 Proposition.

$$|u| = 0$$
 a.e.  $\Longrightarrow \int |u| \ d\mu = 0$ 

Proof.

# 2.24 Proposition.

$$\int |u| \ d\mu = 0 \implies \mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0$$

Proof.

# 2.25 Proposition.

$$\mu(\{u \ge c\} \cap A) \le \frac{1}{c} \int_A |u(x)| \mu(dx)$$