Contents

1 9-10-2014

1.1 Measure Theory

1.1 Problem 6.1a.
Consider on $\mathbb{R}$ the family Σ of all Borel sets which are symmetric w.r.t. the origin. Show that Σ is a σ-algebra.

Proof.

1. To show that $\mathbb{R} \in \Sigma$, note that $\mathbb{R}$ is a Borel set that is symmetric w.r.t. to the origin.
2. To show that $A \in \Sigma \Rightarrow A^c \in \Sigma$, it suffices to show that

$$\forall x \in A : -x \in A \Longrightarrow \forall y \in A^c : -y \in A^c,$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\forall x \in A : -x \in A \Longrightarrow \forall y \notin A : -y \notin A,$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\exists y \notin A : -y \in A \Longrightarrow \exists x \in A : -x \notin A.$$

This last statement hold if we set $x := -y$.

3. To show that Σ is stable under countable unions, assume $A_j = B_j \cup B_j$ for some $B_j \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)$. We have

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_j \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} -B_j \in \Sigma$$

$\square$

1.2 Problem 6.3i.

Show that non-void open sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ have always strictly positive Lebesgue measure.

Proof.

First remember that

1. $\lambda^n[a,b) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(b_j - a_j)$
2. λ^n is a pre-measure that can be extended to a measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
3. λ^n is invariant under translations
4. $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
5. $Q_\epsilon = [-\epsilon, \epsilon)$

To show that $\lambda^n(U) > 0$ it suffices

$$\lambda^n(U') > 0$$

where $0 \in U'$ and $U' = x + U$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. To show that it suffices to show that

$$\lambda^n(B_\epsilon(0)) > 0$$

where $B_\epsilon(0) \subseteq U$. To show that it suffices to show that $Q_{\epsilon'} \subseteq B_\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon' > 0$. This holds if we set $\epsilon' := \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2n}}$. □

1.3 Problem 6.3ii.

Is 6.3i still true for closed sets ?

Proof.

No, take $\{0\}$, then $\lambda\{x\} = 0$. □

1.4 Problem 6.4i.

Show that $\lambda(a,b) = b - a$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a,b) &= \lambda([b-a) - \{b\}) && \\ &= \lambda[b,a) - \lambda\{b\} && \text{T4.3iii} \\ &= b - a - 0 && \text{Problem 4.11i} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

1.5 Problem 6.4ii.
Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a hyperplane which is perpendicular to the x_1-direction (that is to say: H is a translate of the x_2 axis). Show that

1. $H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$
2. $\lambda^2(H) = 0$

Proof.

1. To show that $H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, it suffices to show that H is writable as an intersection of countable half-open sets. Note that:

$$H := \{y\} \times \mathbb{R} = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [y, y + 1/j) \times \mathbb{R}$$

2. We have that for any $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2(H) &= \lambda^2(\{y\} \times \mathbb{R}) \\ &\leq \lambda^2\Bigg(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [y, y + \epsilon_n) \times [-n, n)\Bigg) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_n n \\ &= \epsilon L\end{aligned}$$

This follows if we choose $\epsilon_n := \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$. Therefore $\lambda^2(H) = 0$.

$\square$

1.6 Definition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that all singletons $\{x\} \in \mathcal{A}$. A point x is called an atom, if $\mu\{x\} > 0$. A measure is called *non-atomic* or *diffuse*, there are no atoms.

1.7 Problem 6.5i.
Show that λ^1 is diffuse.

Proof.
We've already shown that $\lambda\{x\} = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

1.8 Problem 6.5iii.
Show that for a diffuse measure μ on $(X, \mathcal{A})$ all countable sets are null sets.

Proof.
All countable sets are writable as

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \{x_j\}$$

where $x_i \neq x_j$. So we get

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \{x_j\}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda\{x_j\} = 0.$$

$\square$

1.9 Definition.
A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *bounded* if it can be contained in a ball $B_r \supseteq A$ of finite radius r. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *connected*, if we can go along a curve from any point $a \in A$ to any point $a' \in A$ without ever leaving A.

1.10 Problem 6.6a.
Construct an open and unbounded set in $\mathbb{R}$ with finite, strictly positive Lebesgue measure.

Proof.
Consider the set

$$U := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{1}{2^n}, n + \frac{1}{2^n}\right).$$

This is an open set, as it union of countable open sets. It is unbounded, for any $B_r(0)$ we have that $r + 1 \in U$ and not in $B_r(0)$. We have to show that it has finite lebesgue measure.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(U) &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{1}{2^n}, n + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2^n} = 2.\end{aligned}$$

□

1.11 Problem 6.6ii.
Construct an open, unbounded and connected set in $\mathbb{R}$ *with finite, strictly positive Lebesgue measure.*

Proof.
Consider

$$U = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} [0, 0 + \epsilon/(2^j)) \times [-j, j)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2(U) &= \left(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} (-\frac{1}{2^j}, \frac{1}{2^j}) \times (-j, j)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{4j}{2^j}\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{j}{2^j}$$

converges. □

1.12 Problem 6.6iii.
Is there a connected, open and unbounded set in $\mathbb{R}$ *with finite, strictly positive Lebesgue measure ?*

Proof.
No, this is impossible. Since we are in one dimension, connectedness forces us to go between points in a straight, uninterrupted line. Since the set is unbounded, this means we must have a line of the sort (a, ∞) or $(-\infty, b)$ in our set and in both cases Lebesgue measure is infinite. □

1.13 Definition.
Let $A \subset X$. The closure of A, denoted by $\bar{A}$, is the smallest closed set containing A, i.e.

$$\bar{A} = \bigcap_{\substack{F\in\mathcal{C} \\ F\supset A}} F$$

1.14 Definition.
A set $A \subseteq X$ is dense in X if $\bar{A} = X$

1.15 Problem 6.7.
Let $\lambda := \lambda^1|_{[0,1]}$ be a Lebesgue measure on $([0,1], \mathcal{B}[0,1])$. Show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a dense open set $U \subseteq [0,1]$ with $\lambda(U) \leq \epsilon$.

Proof.
Note that $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense. We are going to make an open set contained in $\mathbb{Q}$. Consider

$$U := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (q_j - \epsilon_j, q_j + \epsilon_j)$$

Then

$$\lambda(U) = \lambda(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (q_j - \epsilon_j, q_j + \epsilon_j)) \leq \sum 2\epsilon_j.$$

So set $\epsilon_j := \frac{\epsilon}{2^{j-1}}$. And we are done. $\square$

1.16 Problem 6.10i.
Let μ be a measure on $\mathcal{A} = \{\varnothing, [0,1), [1,2), [0,2)\}$ of $X = [0,2)$. Such that

$$\mu[0,1) = \mu[1,2) = 1/2 \qquad \mu[0,2) = 1.$$

Define for each $A \subseteq [0,2)$ the family of countable $\mathcal{A}$-coverings of A

$$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A} : \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A_j \supseteq A\}$$

and set

$$\mu^*(A) := inf\left\{ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(S_j) : (S_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}(A) \right\}.$$

Define $\mathcal{A}^* := \{A \subseteq [0,2) : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B - A) \quad \forall B \subseteq X\}$

Show that

1. *Find $\mu^*(a,b), \mu^*\{a\}$*
2. $(0,1), \{0\} \notin \mathcal{A}^*$

Note that in T6.1 it is proven that:

- $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$
- $\mu^*(A) = \mu(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a σ-algebra and μ^* is a measure on $([0,2), \mathcal{A}^*)$

Proof.

1. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(a,b) &= \mu[0,1) \quad \text{if } a,b \in [0,1) \\
\mu^*(a,b) &= \mu[1,2) \quad \text{if } a,b \in [1,2) \\
\mu^*(a,b) &= \mu[0,2) \quad \text{if } a \in [0,1), b \in [1,2)
\end{aligned}$$

   In the case of a singleton $\{a\}$ the best posibble cover is always either $[0,1)$ or $[1,2)$ so that $\mu^*\{a\} = 1/2$.

2. Suppose that $(0,1) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ then we would have that

$$\{0\} = [0,1) - (0,1) \in \mathcal{A}^*.$$

   But this gives

$$\frac{1}{2} = \mu^*[0,1) = \mu^*(0,1) + \mu^*\{0\} = 1$$

$\square$

2 10-10-2014

2.1 Definition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (X', \mathcal{A}')$ be two measurable spaces. A map $T : X \to X'$ is called $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$*-measureable* (or *measurable* unlesss this is too amiguous) if the pre-image of every measurable set is a measurable set:

$$T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall A' \in \mathcal{A}'.$$

We often denote this by $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}') \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$.

2.2 Definition.
A *random variable* is a measurable map from a probability space (i.e. $\mu(X) = 1$) to any measurable space.

2.3 Lemma 7.2.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (X', \mathcal{A}')$ be measurable spaces and let $\mathcal{A}' = \sigma(\mathcal{G}')$. Then $T : X \to X'$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$-measurable if and only if

$$T^{-1}(G') \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall G' \in \mathcal{G}'.$$

2.4 Problem 7.1.
Show that

$$\tau_x : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n : B \mapsto B - x$$

is a $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable map.

Proof.
Showing that

$$\tau_x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) : B \mapsto B - x$$

is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable, is equivalent with showing that

$$\tau_x^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \qquad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which in turn is equivalent with showing that

$$x + B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \qquad \forall B \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which in turn is equivalent with showing that

$$x + [a, b) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \qquad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This follows as $x + [a, b) = [x + a, x + b) \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

2.5 Theorem.
Every continuous map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ *is* $\mathcal{B}^n/\mathcal{B}^m$ *measurable.*

Proof.
Showing that

$$T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

is $\mathcal{B}^n/\mathcal{B}^m$ measurable, is equivalent with showing that

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{O}^m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^n.$$

As $\mathcal{O}^n \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n) = \mathcal{B}^n$, it suffices to show that

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{O}^m) \subseteq \mathcal{O}^n,$$

which follows from the continuity of T. □

2.6 Definition.
Let $(T_i)_{i\in I}$ be arbitrarily many mappings $T_i : X \to X_i$ from the same space X into measurable spaces $(X_i, \mathcal{A}_i)$. The smallest σ-algebra on X that makes all T_i simultaneously measurable is

$$\sigma(T_i : i \in I) := \sigma\Big(\bigcup_{i\in I} T_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i)\Big).$$

We say that $\sigma(T_i : i \in I)$ is *generated by the family* $(T_i)_{i\in I}$.

2.7 Theorem.
Let $(X_j, A_j), j = 1, 2, 3,$ *be measurable spaces and* $T : X_1 \to X_2, S : X_2 \to X_3$ *be* $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{A}_2-$ *resp.* $\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_3$*-measurable maps. Then* $S \circ T : X_1 \to X_3$ *is* $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{A}_3$*-measurable.*

2.8 Problem 7.4.
Let X be a set, $(X_i, \mathcal{A}_i), i \in I$,be arbitrarily many measurable spaces, and $T_i : X \to X_i$ be a family of maps. Show that a map f from a measurable space $(F, \mathcal{F})$ to $(X, \sigma(T_i : i \in I)$ is measurable if, and only if, all maps $T_i \circ f$ are $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{A}_i$-measurable.

Proof of $\Longrightarrow$.
To show that all maps $T_i \circ f$ are $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{A}_i$-measurable, it suffices to show that $T_i : X \to X_i$ is $\sigma(T_i : i \in I)/\mathcal{A}_i$-measurable and $f : F \to X$ is $\mathcal{F}/\sigma(T_i : i \in I)$-measurable.

By hypothesis, is suffices to show that $T_i : X \to X_i$ is $\sigma(T_i : i \in I)/\mathcal{A}_i$-measurable, which is equivalent with showing that

$$T_i^{-1}(A_i) \in \sigma(T_i : i \in I) \qquad \forall A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i.$$

It suffices to assume $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and show that

$$T_i^{-1}(A_i) \in \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i) \qquad \checkmark.$$

$\square$

Proof of $\Longleftarrow$.
To show that a map f from a measurable space $(F, \mathcal{F})$ to $(X, \sigma(T_i : i \in I)$ is measurable, it suffices to show that

$$f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} T_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$$

To show this it suffices to show that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(T_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{F},$$

to show this it suffices to show that

$$f^{-1}(T_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{F},$$

to show this it suffices to show that

$$(T_i \circ f)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

This follows by hypothesis. $\square$

2.9 Problem 7.8.
Let $T : X \to Y$ be any map. Show that

$$T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) = \sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))$$

holds for arbitrary families of $\mathcal{G}$ of subsets of Y.

Proof.
To show that

$$T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) = \sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))$$

it suffices to show:

1. $T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq \sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))$
2. $\sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G}))$

To show

$$T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq \sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G})),$$

it suffices to show that T is $\sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))/\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ measurable.

To show that it suffices to show that

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \qquad \checkmark.$$

To show

$$\sigma(T^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})),$$

it suffices to show that

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq T^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) \qquad \checkmark.$$

□

2.10 Definition.
A family $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a *Dynkin system* if

$$X \in \mathcal{D}$$
$$D \in \mathcal{D} \Longrightarrow D^c \in \mathcal{D}$$
$$(D_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \text{ pairwise disjoint } \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j \in \mathcal{D}$$

2.11 Definition.
Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then there is a smallest Dynkin system $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ containing $\mathcal{G}$. $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *Dynkin system generated by* $\mathcal{G}$.

2.12 Proposition.
Show that

$$\mathcal{G} \subseteq \delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{G}).$$

Proof.
We have that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. And therefore $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \delta(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. □

2.13 Theorem.
A Dynkin system $\mathcal{D}$ is a σ-algebra if, and only if, it is stable under finite intersections: $D, E \in \mathcal{D} \Longrightarrow D \cap E \in \mathcal{D}$

Proof.
It suffices to show that a $\cap$-stable Dynkin system is stable under countable unions. To show this, it suffices to show that given $(D_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$D := \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Set $E_1 = D_1 \in \mathcal{D}$. And $E_2 := D_2 \cap D_1^c$. And $E_3 = D_3 \cap D_2^c \cap D_1^c$. And so on. Then

$$D = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} E_j \in \mathcal{D}.$$

□

2.14 Theorem.
If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is stable under finite intersections, then $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof.
It suffices to show that $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \delta(\mathcal{G})$. As $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \delta(\mathcal{G})$ it suffices to show that $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a σ-algebra. To show that $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a σ-algebra, it suffices to show that $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is stable under finite intersections.

Fix $D \in \delta(G)$. Consider $\mathcal{D}_D := \{Q \subseteq X : Q \cap D \in \delta(\mathcal{G})\}$. It suffices to show that $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_D$. To show that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{D}_D$ is a Dynkin system and that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_D$.

To show that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_D$, it suffices to show that

$$G \cap D \in \delta(\mathcal{G}) \qquad \forall G \in \mathcal{G},$$

to show that it suffices to show that

$$\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_G \qquad \forall G \in \mathcal{G},$$

to show that it suffices to show that (as $\mathcal{D}_G$ is a dynkin system)

$$\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_G \qquad \forall G \in \mathcal{G}.$$

This follows from $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \delta(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is $\cap$-stable. □

2.15 Proposition.

$$A_j \uparrow A \Longrightarrow A_j \cap B \uparrow A \cap B$$

Proof.
To show that

$$A_j \uparrow A \Longrightarrow A_j \cap B \uparrow A \cap B,$$

it suffices to show that

$$A = \bigcup_j A_j \Longrightarrow A \cap B = \bigcup_j A_j \cap B,$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\left(\bigcup_j A_j\right) \cap B = \bigcup_j A_j \cap B \qquad \checkmark.$$

□

2.16 Definition.
An *exhausting sequence* $(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is an increasing sequence of sets $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq ...$ such that $\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A_j = X$.

2.17 Theorem.
Assume that $(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a measurable space and that $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is generated by a family $\mathcal{G}$ such that

- *$\mathcal{G}$ is stable under finite intersections $G, H \in \mathcal{G} \Longrightarrow G \cap H \in \mathcal{G}$*
- *there exists an exhausting sequence $(G_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ with $G_j \uparrow X$*

Any two measure μ, ν that coincide on $\mathcal{G}$ and are finite for all members of the exhausting sequence $\mu(G_j) = \nu(G_j) < \infty$, are equal on $\mathcal{A}$, i.e.

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof.
Remember that for any increasing sequence $(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $A_j \uparrow A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{j\in\infty} \mu(A_j).$$

To show that

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

it suffices to show that (as $G_j \cap A \uparrow X \cap A$)

$$\lim_{j\in\infty} \mu(G_j \cap A) = \lim_{j\in\infty} \mu(G_j \cap A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

To show that it suffices to show that

$$\mu(G_j \cap A) = \nu(G_j \cap A) \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Consider $\mathcal{D}_j := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(G_j \cap A) = \nu(G_j \cap A)\}$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_j$, which is equivalent with showing $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_j$.

As $\mathcal{G}$ is stable under finite intersections, it suffices to show that $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_j$.

As $\mathcal{G}$ is stable under finite intersections and $\mu(\mathcal{G}) = \nu(\mathcal{G})$, we have that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq D_j$ and therefore it suffices to show that $\mathcal{D}_j$ is a Dynkin system.

Which you can check. $\square$

2.18 Theorem.
The n-dimensional Lebesgue measure λ^n is invariant under translations, i.e.

$$\lambda^n(x + B) = \lambda^n(B) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof.
Set $\nu(B) := \lambda^n(x+B)$ for some fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It suffices to show that

$$\lambda^n(B) = \nu(B) \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

To show that, it suffices to show that

1. $\mathcal{J}$ is $\cap$-stable $\quad \checkmark$
2. $\mathcal{J}$ admits an exhausting sequence
   - $[-j, j) \uparrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \checkmark$
3. $\lambda^n|_{\mathcal{J}} = \nu|_{\mathcal{J}}$

$$\begin{aligned} v([a,b)) &= \lambda^n[x+a, x+b) \\ &= \lambda^n[a,b) \end{aligned}$$

4. ν is a measure on $\mathcal{B}^n$

To show that ν is a measure on $\mathcal{B}^n$, it suffices to show that

$$\nu(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} B_j) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \nu(B_j),$$

which is equivalent with

$$\lambda^n(x + \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} B_j) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda^n(x + B_j).$$

It suffices to show

$$B \in \mathcal{B}^n \Longrightarrow x + B \in \mathcal{B}^n.$$

Which we have already proven.

$\square$

2.19 Theorem.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (X, \mathcal{A}')$ be measurable spaces and $T : X \to X'$ be an $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$ measurable map. For every measure μ on $(X, \mathcal{A})$,

$$\mu'(A') := \mu(T^{-1}(A')), \qquad A' \in \mathcal{A}'.$$

The measure μ' is called the image measure *of μ under T and is denoted by $T \circ \mu$ or $\mu \circ T^{-1}$.*

2.20 Problem 7.7.
Use image measures to give a new proof of Problem 5.8, i.e. to show that

$$\lambda^n(t \cdot B) = t^n \lambda^n(B) \qquad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), \forall t > 0$$

Proof.
Set $\nu(B) := t^n \lambda^n(B)$ for some fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It suffices to show that

$$\lambda^n(tB) = \nu(B) \qquad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

To show that, it suffices to show that

1. $\mathcal{J}$ is $\cap$-stable $\quad\checkmark$
2. $\mathcal{J}$ admits an exhausting sequence
   - $[-j, j) \uparrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad\checkmark$
3. $\lambda^n|_{\mathcal{J}} = \nu|_{\mathcal{J}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a, b)) &= \lambda^n[ta, tb) \\ &= t^n \lambda^n[a, b) \end{aligned}$$

4. ν is a measure on $\mathcal{B}^n$ as it is a composition of the inverse of a measurable map and a measure.

$\square$

3 11-10-2014

3.1 Definition.
Note that: $u^{-1}[a,\infty) = \{x \in X : u(x) \in [a,\infty)\} = \{x \in X : u(x) \geq a\}$. We define:

$$\{u(x) \geq a\} = u^{-1}[a,\infty).$$

3.2 Theorem.
Let $(X,\mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space. The function $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$-measurable if, and only if, one, hence all, of the following conditions hold

1. $\{u \geq a\} \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$
2. $\{u > a\} \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$
3. $\{u \leq a\} \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$
4. $\{u < a\} \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$

3.3 Definition.
We define the *extended real line* $\bar{\mathbb{R}} := [-\infty,\infty]$ with the following rules for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} x + \infty = \infty + x &= \infty \qquad & x + -\infty = -\infty + x = -\infty \\ \infty + \infty &= \infty \qquad & -\infty - \infty = -\infty \end{aligned}$$

And for $x \in (0,\infty]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot \pm x &= \pm\infty \\ \pm x \cdot -\infty = -\infty \cdot \pm x &= \mp\infty \\ 0 \cdot \pm\infty = \pm\infty \cdot 0 &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{\pm\infty} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Definition.
Functions which take values in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are called *numerical functions.*

3.5 Definition.
The Borel σ-algebra $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbb{R}})$ is defined by:

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}} := \left\{ B \cup S : B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } S \in \left\{ \varnothing, \{-\infty\}, \{\infty\}, \{-\infty,\infty\} \right\} \right\}$$

3.6 Theorem.
We have $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbb{R}})$. *Moreover* $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ *is generated by all sets of the form* $[a, \infty]$ *or* $(a, \infty]$ *or* $[-\infty, a)$ *or* $[-\infty, a]$ *where* $a \in \mathbb{R}$

3.7 Definition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space. We write $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}} := \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{A})$ for the families of real valued $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$-measurable and numerical $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$-measurable functions on X.

3.8 Definition.
A *simple function* $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a function of the form

$$g(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{M} y_j \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x)$$

with finitely many sets $A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_M \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of simple functions is denoted by $\mathcal{E}$ or $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$.

If the sets $A_1, \ldots, A_M$ are mutally disjoint we call

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x)$$

with $y_0 := 0$ and $A_0 := (A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_M)^c$ a *standard representation* of g. Caution, this representation is not unique.

3.9 Theorem.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ *be a measurable space. Every* $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$*-measurable numerical function* $u : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ *is the pointwise limit of simple functions:*

$$u(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x)$$

where $f_j \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ *and* $|f_j| \leq |u|$.

If $u \geq 0$, *all* f_j *can be chosen to be positive and increasing towards* u *so that* $u = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j$.

3.10 Theorem.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ *be a measurable space. If* $u_j : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}, j \in \mathbb{N}$ *are measurable functions, then so are*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j \quad \inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j \quad \limsup_{j \to \mathbb{N}} u_j \quad \liminf_{j \to \mathbb{N}} u_j$$

and whenever it exists

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} u_j.$$

3.11 Theorem.
Let u, v be $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$-measurable functions. Then the functions

$$u \pm v \quad uv \quad u \vee v := \max\{u, v\} \quad u \wedge v := \min\{u, v\}$$

are $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$-measurable (whenever they are defined).

3.12 Theorem.
A function u is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ measurable if, and only if, $u^{\pm}$ are $\mathcal{A}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ measurable.

3.13 Theorem.
Let $T : (X, \mathcal{A}) \to (X', \mathcal{A}')$ be an $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$-measurable map and let $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be the σ-algebra generated by T. Then $u = w(T)$ for some $\mathcal{A}'/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ measurable function $w : X' \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if $u : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\sigma(T)/\bar{\mathcal{B}}$-measurable.

3.14 Proposition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space. We define the indicator function:

$$1_A : X \to \mathbb{R} : x \in A \mapsto 1 \quad x \in X - A \mapsto 0$$

Show that the indicator function is measurable if, and only if, $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof.
To show that 1_A is measurable, it suffices to show that

$$1_A^{-1}(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that

$$1_A^{-1}(a, \infty) = \{x \in X : 1_A(x) \in (a, \infty)\} = \{1_A > a\}$$

If $a \geq 1$, then $1_A^{-1}(a, \infty) = \varnothing$.

If $a \in [0, 1)$, then $1_A^{-1}(a, \infty) = A$.

If $a < 0$, then $1_A^{-1}(a, \infty) = X$. $\square$

3.15 Proposition.
Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{A}$ be mutally disjoint sets and $y_1, \dots, y_M \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function

$$g : X \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{M} y_j 1_{A_j}(x)$$

is measurable.

Proof.
To show that g is measurable it suffices to show that

$$\{g > a\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

i.e.

$$\left\{x \in X : \sum_{j=1}^{M} y_j 1_{A_j}(x) > a\right\} = \bigcup_{j: y_j > a} A_j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$\square$

3.16 Problem 8.3i.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space. Let $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions. Show that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functions $h(x) := f(x)$ if $x \in A$ and $h(x) := g(x)$, if $x \notin A$, is measurable.

Proof.
Note that

$$h(x) := 1_A(x) f(x) + 1_{A^c}(x) g(x).$$

And remember that sums and products of measurable functions are again measurable. $\square$

3.17 Problem 8.3ii.
Let $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable functions and let $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$. Suppose that $f_j|_{A_j \cap A_k} = f_k|_{A_j \cap A_k}$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $f(x) := f_j(x)$ if $x \in A_j$. Show that $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable.¡br /¿

Proof.
We have that:

$$f^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j \cap f^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j \cap f_j^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$$

$\square$

3.18 Problem 8.4.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a sub-σ-algebra. Show that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof.
To show that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$$

it suffices to show there exists a $\mathcal{A}$-measurable function that is not $\mathcal{B}$-measurable. By hypothesis, we have an element $A \in \mathcal{A}$, that is not in $\mathcal{B}$, i.e. $A \notin \mathcal{B}$. Since 1_A is $\mathcal{B}$-measurable if, and only if, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have find the $\mathcal{A}$-measurable function where we where looking for. $\square$

3.19 Theorem.
Let $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. Explain why u and $u' = du/dx$ are measurable.

Proof.
If u is differentiable, it is continuous, hence measurable. Since u' exists, we can write it in the form

$$u'(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{u(x + 1/k) - u(x)}{1/k}$$

i.e. as limit of measurable functions. Thus, u' is also measurable. $\square$

3.20 Problem 8.17.
Show that the measurability of $|u|$ does not, in general, imply the measurability of u.

Proof.
Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be such that $A \notin \mathcal{B}$. Then it is clear that

$$u(x) := 1_A(x) - 1_{A^c}(x)$$

is not measurable. Take

$$\{u = 1\} = A \notin \mathcal{A}.$$

But $|u(x)| = 1$, which is a continuous function and therefore measurable.

$\square$

3.21 Problem 8.14.
Consider $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ *and* $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. *Show that* $\{x\} \in \sigma(u)$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *if, and only if,* u *is injective.*

Proof.
To show that u is injective, it suffices to assume $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and show that

$$u(x) = u(y) \Longrightarrow x = y.$$

Showing that is equivalent with showing that

$$|\{u = u(x_0)\}| = 1.$$

We surely have that $\{x_0\} \subseteq \{u = u(x_0)\}$. And note that

$$\{x_0\} \in \sigma(u) = \sigma(u^{-1}(\mathcal{B}))$$

just means that $\{x_0\} = u^{-1}(B)$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. $\square$

Proof.
Assume that u is injective,. This means that every point in the range $u(\mathbb{R})$ comes exactly from unique defined $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This can be expressed by saying that $\{x\} = u^{-1}(\{u(x)\}) = \{u(x)\}$. But then

$$\{x\} \in \sigma(u) = \sigma(u^{-1}(\mathcal{B})).$$

$\square$

4 12-10-2014

4.1 Measure Theory Chapter 9

4.1 Definition.
Let $f = \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j 1_{A_j} \in \mathcal{E}^+$ be a simple function in standard representation. Then the number

$$I_\mu(f) := \sum_{j=0}^{M} y_j \mu(A_j) \in [0, \infty]$$

is called the (μ-)integral of f.

4.2 Theorem.

1. $I_\mu(1_A) = \mu(A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$
2. $I_\mu(\lambda f) = \lambda I_\mu(f) \qquad \forall \lambda \geq 0$
3. $I_\mu(f + g) = I_\mu(f) + I_\mu(g)$
4. $f \leq g \Longrightarrow I_\mu(f) \leq I_\mu(g)$

4.3 Definition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. The (μ-)integral of a positive numerical function $u \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$ is given by

$$\int u d\mu := \sup\{I_\mu(g) : g \leq u, g \in \mathcal{E}^+\} \in [0, \infty].$$

If we need to emphasize the integration variable, we also write

$$\int u(x)\mu(dx) \quad \text{or} \quad \int u(x) d\mu(x)$$

4.4 Theorem.
For all $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$ we have $\int f du = I_\mu(f)$.

4.5 Theorem.
Let (X, A, μ) be a measure space. For an increasing sequence of numerical functions $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}, 0 \leq u_j \leq u_{j+1} \leq \ldots$, we have $u := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$ and

$$\int \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j d\mu = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int u_j d\mu$$

4.6 Theorem.
Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$. Then

$$\int u d\mu = \lim_{j\to\infty} \int f_j d\mu$$

holds for every increasing sequence $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^+$ with $\lim_{j\to\infty} f_j = u$.

4.7 Theorem.
Let $u, v \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$. Then

1. $\int 1_A d\mu = \mu(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$
2. $\int \alpha u d\mu = \alpha \int u d\mu$
3. $\int (u+v) d\mu = \int u d\mu + \int v d\mu$
4. $u \leq v \Longrightarrow \int u d\mu \leq \int v d\mu$

4.8 Theorem.
Let $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j$ is measurable and we have

$$\int \sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int u_j d\mu$$

4.9 Theorem.
Let $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$ be a sequence of positive measurable numerical functions. Then $u := \liminf_{j\in\infty} \int u_j d\mu$ is measurable and

$$\int \liminf_{j\to\infty} u_j d\mu \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \int u_j d\mu$$

4.10 Problem 9.1.
Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive simple function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j 1_{A_j}(x) \qquad \xi_j \geq 0, A_j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Show that

$$I_\mu(f) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \mu(A_j)$$

Proof.

$$I_\mu(f) = I_\mu\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_j 1_{A_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_j I_\mu(1_{A_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_j \mu(A_j)$$

□

4.11 Problem 9.5.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $u \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$. Show that the set-function

$$A \mapsto \int 1_A u d\mu \quad A \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a measure.

Proof.
Set

$$\nu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty] : A \mapsto \int 1_A u d\mu.$$

1. To show that $\nu(\varnothing) = 0$. Notice that $1_\varnothing \equiv 0$.
2. Let $A = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j$ a disjoint union of sets $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_j} = 1_A$$

We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j) &= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_j}\right) \cdot u d\mu \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_j} u\right) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int 1_{A_j} u d\mu \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_j). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4.12 Problem 9.8.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$. If $u_j \leq u$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $u \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$ with $\int u d\mu < \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{j\in\infty} \int u_j d\mu \leq \int \limsup_{j\in\infty} u_j d\mu.$$

Proof.
Showing that

$$\limsup_{j\in\infty} \int u_j d\mu \leq \int \limsup_{j\in\infty} u_j d\mu$$

is equivalent with showing that

$$-\liminf_{j\in\infty} \int -u_j d\mu \leq -\int \liminf_{j\in\infty} -u_j d\mu$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\int \liminf_{j\in\infty} -u_j d\mu \leq \liminf_{j\in\infty} \int -u_j d\mu$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\int u d\mu + \int \liminf_{j\in\infty} -u_j d\mu \leq \int u d\mu + \liminf_{j\in\infty} \int -u_j d\mu$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\int u + \liminf_{j\in\infty} -u_j d\mu \leq \liminf_{j\in\infty} \left(\int u d\mu + \int -u_j d\mu \right)$$

which is equivalent with showing that

$$\int \liminf_{j\in\infty} \Big(u - u_j\Big) d\mu \leq \liminf_{j\in\infty} \left(\int u - u_j d\mu \right).$$

By hypothesis, $u_j \leq u$. So we have that $u - u_j$ is a sequence of postive measurable functions and therefore our last statement follows by the theorem of Fatou. $\square$

4.2 Measure Theory Chapter 7

4.13 Proposition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (X', \mathcal{A}')$ be measurable spaces and let $\mathcal{A}' = \sigma(\mathcal{G}')$. Then $T : X \to X'$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$-measurable if and only if $T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}') \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

It suffices to show assume $T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}') \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and show that

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Consider $\Sigma := \{A' \subseteq X' : T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We have that $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \Sigma$. It suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \Sigma.$$

It suffices to show that Σ is a σ-algebra.

1. To show that $X' \in \Sigma$, it suffices to show that $T^{-1}(X') \in \mathcal{A}$.
2. Showing that
$$A' \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow A'^c \in \Sigma$$
is equivalent with showing that
$$T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{A} \Longrightarrow T^{-1}(A'^c) \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \checkmark$$
3. Showing that
$$(A'_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Sigma \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A_j \in \Sigma$$
is equivalent with showing that
$$T^{-1}(A'_j) \in \mathcal{A} \Longrightarrow T^{-1}\Big(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A_j\Big) \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \checkmark$$

4.14 Proposition.
Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (X, \mathcal{A}')$ be measurable spaces and $T : X \to X'$ be an $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$-measurable map. For every measure μ on $(X, \mathcal{A})$,

$$\mu'(A') := T(\mu)(A') := \mu(T^{-1}(A')), \qquad A' \in \mathcal{A}'$$

defines a measure on $(X', \mathcal{A}')$.

Proof.

1. To show that
$$\mu(T^{-1}(\varnothing)) = 0 \qquad \checkmark$$

2. Assume $(A'_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ mutually disjoint sets and show that
$$\mu'(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A'_j) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mu'(A'_j),$$
which is equivalent with showing that
$$\mu(T^{-1}\Big(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A'_j\Big) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(T^{-1}(A'_j)),$$
which is equivalent with showing that
$$\mu(T^{-1}\Big(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A'_j\Big) = \mu\Big(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} T^{-1}(A'_j)\Big) \qquad \checkmark.$$

□

4.15 Problem 7.9i.
Let μ be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Show that

$$F_\mu(x) := \begin{cases} \mu[0,x) & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -\mu[x,0) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

1. *is monotonically increasing*
2. *left-continuous funciton*

Proof.

1. Showing that F_μ is monotonically increasing is equivalent with showing that
$$x \leq y \Longrightarrow F_\mu(x) \leq F_\mu(y).$$

(a) $x \leq 0 \leq y$:. Then $F_\mu(x) = -\mu[x,0) \leq 0$ and $F_\mu(y) = \mu[0,y) \geq 0$.

(b) $0 < x \leq y$: Then $[0,x) \subseteq [0,y)$. And $\mu[0,x) \leq \mu[0,y)$.

(c) $x \leq y < 0$: Then $[y,0) \subseteq [x,0)$. And $\mu[y,0) \leq \mu[x,0)$.

2. Showing that F_μ is left continuous is equivalent with assuming (x_k) a sequence such that $x_k < x$ and $x_k \uparrow x$ and showing that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} F_\mu(x_k) = F_\mu(x).$$

If if $x > 0$, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu[0,x_k) = \mu[0,x).$$

If $x < 0$ it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} -\mu[x_k,0) = -\mu[x,0).$$

If $x = 0$ it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} -\mu[x_k,0) = 0.$$

Remember that:

1. For any increasing sequence $(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $A_j \uparrow A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\cup A_j) = \lim_{j\in\infty} \mu(A_j)$$

2. For any decreasing sequence $(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $A_j \downarrow A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\cap A_j) = \lim_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(A_j)$$

$\square$

4.16 Problem 7.9ii.

Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Stieltjes function. Show that

$$\nu_F[a,b) = F(b) - F(a) \qquad \forall a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$$

has a unique extension to a measure on $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof.
By theorem 6.1 it suffices to show that ν_F is a pre-measure. To show this it suffices to show that

1. $\nu_F(\varnothing) = v_F[a, a) = 0$
2. $\nu_F([a, b) \cup [b, c)) = \nu_F([a, b)) + \nu_F([b, c))$
   - $$\begin{aligned} \nu_F([a, b)) + \nu_F([b, c)) &= F(b) - F(a) + F(c) - F(b) \\ &= F(c) - F(a) \\ &= \nu_F[a, c) \\ &= \nu_F([a, b) \cup [b, c)) \end{aligned}$$
3. For any decreasing sequence $[a_j, b_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ with $[a_j, b) \downarrow [a, b) \in \mathcal{J}$ we have
   $$\nu_F([a, b)) = \lim_{j\in\infty} \nu_F[a_j, b).$$
   This last statement is equivalent with
   $$F(b) - F(a) = \lim_{j\in\infty}(F(b) - F(a_j)).$$
   Note that since $[a_j, b_j) \downarrow [a, b) \in \mathcal{J}$ we have that $a_j \uparrow a, a_j \leq a$ and therefore
   $$\lim_{j\in\infty}(F(b) - F(a_j)) = F(b) - F(a),$$
   as F is left-continous.
4. $\mathcal{J}$ contains an exhausting sequence $[a_j, b_j)$ such that $[a_j, b_j) \uparrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v_F[a_j, b_j) < \infty$

□