

1 27-10-2014

1.1 Measure Theory Chapter 9

1.1 Proposition.

Given $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$. Let $\sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^N z_k 1_{B_k}$ be two standard representations of f . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^M y_j \mu(A_j) = \sum_{k=0}^N z_k \mu(B_k).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \mu(A_j) &= \sum_{k=0}^N z_k \mu(B_k) \\ &\Uparrow \\ \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \sum_{k=0}^N \mu(A_j \cap B_k) &= \sum_{k=0}^N z_k \sum_{j=0}^M \mu(A_j \cap B_k) \\ &\Uparrow \\ y_j \mu(A_j \cap B_k) &= z_k \mu(A_j \cap B_k) \quad \forall (j, k) \\ &\Uparrow \\ \sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^N z_k 1_{B_k}(x) \quad \forall x \in X \end{aligned}$$

□

1.2 Definition.

Let $f = \sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j} \in \mathcal{E}^+$ be a simple function in standard representation. Then the number

$$I_\mu(f) := \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \mu(A_j) \in [0, \infty]$$

is called the (μ) -integral of f .

1.3 Proposition.

$$\begin{aligned} I_\mu(1_A) &= \mu(A) & \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \\ I_\mu(\lambda f) &= \lambda I_\mu(f) & \forall \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$I_\mu(f) := \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \mu(A_j) \in [0, \infty] \text{ by definition.}$$

□

1.4 Proposition.

$$f, g \in \mathcal{E}^+ \implies I_\mu(f + g) = I_\mu(f) + I_\mu(g)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I_\mu(f + g) &= I_\mu(f) + I_\mu(g) \\ &\Uparrow \\ I_\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^N z_k 1_{B_k}(x)\right) &= I_\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^M y_j 1_{A_j}(x)\right) + I_\mu\left(\sum_{k=0}^N z_k 1_{B_k}(x)\right) \\ &\Uparrow \\ \sum_{j=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^N (y_j + z_k) \mu(A_j \cap B_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \mu(A_j) + \sum_{k=0}^N z_k \mu(B_k) \\ &\Uparrow \\ \sum_{j=0}^M \sum_{k=0}^N (y_j + z_k) \mu(A_j \cap B_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^M y_j \sum_{k=0}^N \mu(A_j \cap B_k) + \sum_{k=0}^N z_k \sum_{j=0}^M \mu(A_j \cap B_k) \end{aligned}$$

□

1.5 Proposition.

$$f \leq g \implies I_\mu(f) \leq I_\mu(g)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I_\mu(f) &\leq I_\mu(g) \\ &\quad \uparrow [g - f \in \mathcal{E}^+] \\ I_\mu(f) &\leq I_\mu(f) + I_\mu(g - f) \end{aligned}$$

□

1.6 Definition.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. The μ -integral of a positive numerical function $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is given by

$$\int u \, d\mu := \sup\{I_\mu(g) : g \leq u, g \in \mathcal{E}^+\} \in [0, \infty].$$

If we need to emphasize the *integration variable*, we also write $\int u(x)\mu(dx)$ or $\int u(x)d\mu(x)$.

1.7 Proposition.

For all $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$ we have $\int f d\mu = I_\mu(f)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\mu &= I_\mu(f) \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ \sup\{I_\mu(g) : g \leq f, g \in \mathcal{E}^+\} &= I_\mu(f) \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ I_\mu(f) &\leq \sup\{I_\mu(g) : g \leq f, g \in \mathcal{E}^+\} \leq I_\mu(f) \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ g \leq f &\implies I_\mu(g) \leq I_\mu(f) \end{aligned}$$

□

1.8 Proposition.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. Let $\mu = \delta_y$ be the Dirac measure for fixed $y \in X$. Show that

$$\int u \, d\delta_y = u(y) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+.$$

Proof.

By theorem 8.8, there exists increasing function $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^+$ with $f_j \leq u$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j = u$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \int u \, d\delta_y &= u(y) \\ \uparrow \\ \int \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j \, d\delta_y &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(y) \\ \uparrow \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int f_j \, d\delta_y &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(y) \\ \uparrow \\ \int f_j \, d\delta_y &= f_j(y) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \\ \uparrow \\ \sum_{k=0}^N y_{k_j} \, \delta_y(A_{k_j}) &= f_j(y) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.9 Theorem.

Let $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ be a sequence of positive measurable functions. Then $u := \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j$ is measurable and

$$\int \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int u_j \, d\mu$$

Proof.

Recall that $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{j \geq k} u_j$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j \, d\mu &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int u_j \, d\mu \\
&\Uparrow \\
\int \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{j \geq k} u_j \, d\mu &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{j \geq k} \int u_j \, d\mu \\
&\Uparrow \text{ T9.6} \\
\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int \inf_{j \geq k} u_j \, d\mu &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{j \geq k} \int u_j \, d\mu \\
&\Uparrow \\
\int \inf_{j \geq k} u_j \, d\mu &\leq \inf_{l \geq k} \int u_l \, d\mu \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\Uparrow \\
\int \inf_{j \geq k} u_j \, d\mu &\leq \int u_l \, d\mu \quad \forall l \geq k \\
&\Uparrow \\
\inf_{j \geq k} \int u_j \, d\mu &\leq \int u_l \, d\mu \quad \forall l \geq k
\end{aligned}$$

□

1.10 Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. For an increasing sequence of numerical functions $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ where $0 \leq f_j \leq f_{j+1} \leq \dots$, we have

$$\int \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j \, d\mu = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_j \, d\mu$$

and

$$\int \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j \, d\mu = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int f_j \, d\mu.$$

1.11 Theorem.

Let $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j$ is measurable and we have

$$\int \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j \, d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int u_j \, d\mu.$$

1.2 Measure Theory Chapter 10

1.12 Definition.

A function $u : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is said to (μ) -integrable, if it is \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B} -measurable and if the integrals $\int u^+ d\mu, \int u^- d\mu < \infty$ are finite. In this case we call

$$\int u d\mu := \int u^+ d\mu - \int u^- d\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

the μ -integral of u .

1.13 Definition.

We write $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ [$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu)$] for the set of all real-valued [numerical] μ -integrable functions.

1.14 Theorem.

Let $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu)$
2. $u^+, u^- \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu)$
3. $|u| \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu)$
4. $\exists w \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu), w \geq 0$ such that $|u| \leq w$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu) &\iff u^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^1(\mu) \\
 &\iff \\
 u \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^+ \wedge \int u^\pm d\mu < \infty &\iff u^\pm \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^+ \wedge \int u^\pm d\mu < \infty \\
 &\iff \\
 u^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu) &\implies |u| \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu) \\
 &\iff \\
 u^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu) &\implies u^+ + u^- \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}(\mu) \\
 &\iff \\
 u^\pm \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^+ \text{ and } \int u^\pm d\mu < \infty &\implies u^+ + u^- \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}^+ \text{ and } \int u^+ + u^- d\mu < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

3 \Rightarrow 4 is obvious

$$\exists w \geq 0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu) : |u| \leq w \implies u^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int u^\pm d\mu < \infty$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$u^\pm \leq w$$

□

2 28-10-2014

2.1 Measure Theory Chapter 10

2.1 Proposition.

$$u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \iff u^{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+}$$

2.2 Definition.

A function $u : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is said to be μ -integrable, if

1. $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$
2. $\int u^{\pm} d\mu < \infty$

We write $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ for the set of all numerical μ -integrable functions.

2.3 Definition.

If $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$, then we call

$$\int u d\mu := \int u^{+} d\mu - \int u^{-} d\mu$$

the μ -integral of u .

2.4 Proposition.

For $u \geq 0$ we have

$$u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu) \iff u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} \text{ and } \int u d\mu < \infty$$

2.5 Proposition.

Given $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$$\int |u| d\mu < \infty \iff u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$$

2.6 Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

1. $\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ and $\int \alpha u d\mu = \alpha \int u d\mu$
2. $u + v \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ and $\int (u + v) d\mu = \int u d\mu + \int v d\mu$

$$3. \min\{u, v\}, \max\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$$

$$4. u \leq v \implies \int u \, d\mu \leq \int v \, d\mu$$

$$5. |\int u \, d\mu| \leq \int |u| \, d\mu$$

Proof.

$$\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int |\alpha u| \, d\mu < \infty$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$|\alpha| \int |u| \, d\mu < \infty$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$|u| \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$$

$$u + v \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int |u + v| \, d\mu < \infty$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int |u| + |v| \, d\mu < \infty$$

$$\min\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int |\min\{u, v\}| \, d\mu < \infty$$

$$\Uparrow$$

$$\int |u| + |v| \, d\mu < \infty$$

□

2.7 Proposition.

On $(X, \mathcal{A}, \delta_y)$ where $y \in X$ fixed, we have $\int u(x) \delta_y(dx) = u(y)$ and

$$u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\delta_y) \iff u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and } |u(y)| < \infty$$

2.8 Proposition.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space. Then every bounded measurable function (random variable) $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ with $S := \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |\xi(\omega)| < \infty$ is P -integrable.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(P) \\ &\Uparrow \\ \int |\xi| dP &< \infty \\ &\Uparrow \\ \int S dP &< \infty \\ &\Downarrow \\ S \int 1_{\Omega} dP &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

□

2.9 Definition.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ or $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\int_A u d\mu := \int 1_A u d\mu = \int 1_A(x) u(x) \mu(dx) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

2.10 Proposition.

$$\int_X u d\mu = \int u d\mu$$

2.11 Theorem.

On the measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) let $u \in \mathcal{M}^+$. The set-function

$$\nu : A \mapsto \int_A u d\mu = \int 1_A u d\mu \quad A \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . It is called the measure with density (function) u with respect to μ and denoted by $\nu = u\mu$.

2.12 Definition.

If ν has a density function w.r.t. μ one writes tradionionally $d\nu/d\mu$ for the density function. This notation is to be understood in a purely symbolical way.

2.13 Definition.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. A μ -null set $N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$ is a measurable set $N \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu \iff N \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(N) = 0.$$

2.14 Definition.

If a property $\Pi(x)$ is true for all $x \in X$ apart from some x contained in a null set $N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$, we say that $\Pi(x)$ holds a.e. (almost everywhere).

2.15 Theorem.

Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ be a numerical integrable function on a measure sapce (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) . Then

1. $\int |u| d\mu = 0 \iff |u| = 0 \text{ a.e.} \iff \mu(\{u \neq 0\}) = 0$
2. $\int_N u d\mu = 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$

2.16 Theorem.

Let $u, v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $u = v$ μ -a.e. Then

1. $u, v \geq 0 \implies \int u d\mu = \int v d\mu$
2. $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \implies v \in \mathcal{L}^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \int u d\mu = \int v d\mu$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int u \, d\mu = \int v \, d\mu \\
& \quad \uparrow \\
& \int_{\{u=v\}} u \, d\mu + \int_{\{u \neq v\}} u \, d\mu = \int_{\{u=v\}} v \, d\mu + \int_{\{u \neq v\}} v \, d\mu \\
& \quad \uparrow \\
& \int_{\{u=v\}} u \, d\mu = \int_{\{u=v\}} v \, d\mu \\
& \quad \uparrow \\
& \int u \, d\mu = \int v \, d\mu \\
& \quad \uparrow \\
& \int v^\pm \, d\mu = \int u^\pm \, d\mu < \infty \\
& \quad \uparrow [\text{apply 1}] \\
& u^\pm = v^\pm \text{ a.e.} \\
& \quad \uparrow \\
& u = v \text{ a.e.}
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.17 Theorem.

If $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$, $v \geq 0$ then

$$|u| \leq v \text{ a.e.} \implies u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu).$$

2.18 Proposition.

For all $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c > 0$

$$\mu(\{|u| \geq c\} \cap A) \leq 1/c \int_A |u| d\mu.$$

2.19 Theorem.

If $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$, then u is almost everywhere \mathbb{R} -valued. In particular, we can find a version $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ such that $\tilde{u} = u$ a.e. and $\int \tilde{u} d\mu = \int u d\mu$.

2.20 Theorem.

Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a sub- σ -algebra.

1. If $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{G})$ and if $\int_G u d\mu = \int_G v d\mu$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $u = v$ μ -a.e.
2. If $u, w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{G})$ and if $\int_G u d\mu = \int_G w d\mu$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $u = w$ μ -a.e. under the additional assumption that $\mu|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is σ -finite.

2.21 Proposition.

$$\int_N u d\mu = 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N u d\mu &= 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \left| \int_N u d\mu \right| &\leq 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \int 1_N |u| d\mu &\leq 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int j 1_N d\mu &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

2.22 Proposition.

$$|u| = 0 \text{ a.e.} \iff \mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u| = 0 \text{ a.e.} \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \{x : |u(x)| \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{N}_\mu \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.23 Proposition.

$$|u| = 0 \text{ a.e.} \implies \int |u| \, d\mu = 0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |u| \, d\mu = 0 \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \nu(X) = 0 \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \nu(\{u \neq 0\} \cup \{u = 0\}) = 0 \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \nu(\{u \neq 0\}) + \nu(\{u = 0\}) = 0 \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \int_{\{u \neq 0\}} |u| \, d\mu + \int_{\{u = 0\}} |u| \, d\mu = 0 \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& \{u \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{N}_\mu \\
& \Updownarrow \\
& |u| = 0 \text{ a.e.}
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.24 Proposition.

$$\int |u| \, d\mu = 0 \implies \mu\{u \neq 0\} = 0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{u \neq 0\} &= 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu\{|u| > 0\} &= 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu\left(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{|u| \geq \tfrac{1}{j}\}\right) &= 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu\left(\{|u| \geq \tfrac{1}{j}\}\right) &= 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \\ \uparrow \text{ [Markov Inequality]} \\ j \int_X |u| \, d\mu &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

2.25 Proposition.

$$\mu(\{u \geq c\} \cap A) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_A |u(x)| \mu(dx)$$

3 29-10-2014

3.1 Measure Theory Chapter 11

3.1 Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space.

1. Let $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ be an increasing sequence of integrable functions $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots$ with limit $u := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j$. Then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ if, and only if, $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int u_j d\mu < \infty$, in which case

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int u_j d\mu = \int \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j d\mu$$

2. Let $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ be a decreasing sequence of integrable functions $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots$ with limit $v := \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} v_k$. Then $v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ if, and only if, $\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int v_k d\mu > -\infty$, in which case

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int v_k d\mu = \int \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} v_k d\mu$$

3.2 Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be measure space and $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ be a sequence of functions such that $|u_j| \leq w$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $w \in \mathcal{L}^1_+(\mu)$. If $u(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j(x)$ exists for almost every $x \in X$ then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ and we have

1. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j - u| d\mu = 0$
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int u_j d\mu = \int \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j d\mu = \int u d\mu$

3.3 Theorem.

Let $\emptyset \neq (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate open interval and $u : (a, b) \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying

1. $x \mapsto u(t, x)$ is in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ for every fixed $t \in (a, b)$.
2. $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ is continuous for every fixed $x \in X$
3. $|u(t, x)| \leq w(x)$ for all $(t, x) \in (a, b) \times X$ and some $w \in \mathcal{L}^1_+(\mu)$.

Then the function $v : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$t \mapsto v(t) := \int u(t, x) \mu(dx)$$

is continuous.

3.4 Theorem.

Let $\emptyset \neq (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate open interval and $u : (a, b) \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying:

1. $x \mapsto u(t, x)$ is in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ for every fixed $t \in (a, b)$.
2. $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ is differentiable for every fixed $x \in X$
3. $|\partial_t u(t, x)| \leq w(x)$ for all $(t, x) \in (a, b) \times X$ and some $w \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu)$

Then the function $v : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$t \mapsto v(t) := \int u(t, x) \mu(dx)$$

is differentiable and its derivative is

$$\partial_t v(t) = \int \partial_t u(t, x) \mu(dx).$$

3.5 Definition.

Consider on the finite interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the partitions

$$\pi := \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{k(\pi)} = b,$$

define for a given function $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$m_j := \inf_{x \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]} u(x) \quad M_j := \sup_{x \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]} u(x) \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k(\pi)$$

and introduce the lower resp. upper Darboux sums

$$S_\pi[u] := \sum_{j=1}^{k(\pi)} m_j(t_j - t_{j-1}) \quad S^\pi[u] := \sum_{j=1}^{k(\pi)} M_j(t_j - t_{j-1})$$

3.6 Definition.

A bounded function $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Riemann integrable, if the values

$$\int_* u := \sup_{\pi} S_{\pi}[u] = \inf_{\pi} S^{\pi}[u] := \int^* u$$

(sup,inf range over all partitions π of $[a, b]$) coincide and are finite. Their common value is called the Riemann integral of u and denoted by $(R) \int_a^b u(x) dx$ or $\int_a^b u(x) dx$.

3.7 Proposition.

$S_{\pi}[u]$ and $S^{\pi}[u]$ correspond to simple functions $\sigma_{\pi}[u]$ and $\Sigma^{\pi}[u]$ given by

$$\sigma_{\pi}[u](x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k(\pi)} m_j 1_{[t_{j-1}, t_j)}(x) \quad \Sigma^{\pi}[u](x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k(\pi)} M_j 1_{[t_{j-1}, t_j)}(x)$$

which satisfy $\sigma_{\pi}[u](x) \leq u(x) \leq \Sigma^{\pi}[u](x)$ and which increase resp. decrease as π refines.

3.8 Theorem.

Let $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function.

1. If u is Riemann integrable, then u is in $\mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ and the Lebesgue and Riemann integrals coincide:

$$\int_{[a,b]} u d\lambda = (R) \int_a^b u(x) dx.$$

2. A bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if, and only if, the points (a, b) where f is discontinuous are a Lebesgue null set.

3.9 Definition.

An improper Riemann integral is defined as

$$(R) \int_0^{\infty} u(x) dx := \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (R) \int_0^a u(x) dx$$

provided that the limit exists.

3.10 Theorem.

Let $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function which is Riemann integrable for every interval $[0, N], n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1[0, \infty)$ if, and only if,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (R) \int_0^N |u(x)| dx < \infty.$$

In this case, $(R) \int_0^\infty u(x) dx = \int_{[0, \infty)} u \, d\lambda$.

3.11 Proposition.

The function $s : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \frac{\sin x}{x}$ is improperly Riemann integrable but not Lebesgue integrable.

3.12 Proposition.

Let $f_\alpha(x) := x^\alpha, x > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^1(0, 1) &\iff \alpha > -1 \\ f_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^1[1, \infty) &\iff \alpha < -1 \end{aligned}$$

3.13 Proposition.

The function $f(x) := x^\alpha e^{-\beta x}, x > 0$ is Lebesgue integrable over $(0, \infty)$ for all $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

3.14 Proposition.

The parameter-dependent integral

$$\Gamma(t) := \int_{(0, \infty)} x^{t-1} e^{-x} \lambda(dx) \quad t > 0$$

is called the Gamma function. It has the following properties:

1. Γ is continuous
2. Γ is arbitrarily often differentiable
3. $t\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t+1)$ in particular $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$
4. $\ln \Gamma(t)$ is convex