

What are Opinions? Integrating Theory and Methods for Automatically Analyzing Opinionated Communication (OPINION)

MINUTES OF THE INAUGURAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

COST ACTION CA21129 OPINION, 22 September 2022, COST Organization, Brussels

Taken by Bart Veys (SO, COST) until 6 ELECTIONS I

Taken by Helle Sjøvaag (Vice Chair) from 7 ACTION STRUCTURE

Compiled by Christian Baden (Chair)

CONTENTS

1	ATTENDANCE.....	2
2	OBJECTIVE.....	2
3	AGENDA	3
4	COST ACTION CAFÉ.....	4
5	QUORUM	11
6	ELECTIONS I: LEADERSHIP & GRANT HOLDER	11
7	ACTION STRUCTURE	11
8	ELECTIONS II: OTHER LEADERSHIP POSITIONS	12
9	NETWORK POLICIES	13
10	MANDATES.....	17
11	WG APPLICATIONS	19
12	WORK & BUDGET PLAN.....	20
13	MISCELLANEOUS	21
14	ANY OTHER BUSINESS.....	21
	ANNEX A: SIGNED ATTENDANCE LIST	22
	ANNEX B: WORKING GROUP APPLICATIONS.....	23

ACTION Status

- CSO approval: 27/05/2022
- Start date: 22/09/2022

1 ATTENDANCE

COST Organization:

Bart Veys (SO), Christophe Peeters (AO)

MC Members:

Carlos Arcila Calderon (ES), Christian Baden (IL), Nicoleta Corbu (RO), Bruno D. F. Costa (PT), Constantinos Djouvas (CY), Mario Haim (DE), Indrek Ibrus (EE), Mirjana Ilic (RS), Marios Konaris (EL), Aleksandra Krstic (RS), Tamara Kunic (HR), Fabienne Lind (AT), Franziska Marquart (DK), Jaromir Mazak (CZ), Dimitra Milioni (CY), Tom Nicholls (UK), Marina Popescu (RO), Yucel Saygin (TR), Helle Sjøvaag (NO), Carlo Strapparava (IT), Gabriella Szabo (HU), Ilija Tomanic Trivundza (SI), Hilde van den Bulck (BE), Srdjan Vesic (FR), Kasper Welbers (NL), Asta Zelenkauskaitė (LT)

Guests (Candidates for Leadership Positions):

Ana Milojevic (RS), Nina Springer (DE)

(please see Annex A for signed attendance list)

23 MC member countries represented:

Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey, UK.

6 MC member countries not represented:

Ireland, Luxembourg, North Macedonia, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland

The quorum is thus fulfilled.

2 OBJECTIVES

The Objectives of the MC1 meeting are:

- Take ownership of the Action challenge
- Networking and community building
- Bring everyone to the same level of knowledge on COST
- Develop Action structure, methodology and planning

3 AGENDA

Time	Session
08:30 – 09:00	<i>Arrival & Networking Coffee</i>
09:00 – 09:15	Welcome and Frame (<i>COST</i>)
09:15 – 09:35	Getting to know each other (<i>COST</i>)
09:35 – 10:05	<i>COST presentation (COST)</i>
10:05 – 10:35	Action Chair candidate presentation (<i>Christian Baden</i>)
10:35 – 10:55	<i>Coffee Break</i>
10:55 – 12:30	<p><i>COST Action Café (3×20 min breakout discussions + Q&A):</i></p> <p>What will be a successful outcome for WG1: Theory? (<i>Christian Baden</i>)</p> <p>What will be a successful outcome for WG2: Tools? (<i>Kasper Welbers</i>)</p> <p>What will be a successful outcome for WG3: Data & Application? (<i>Marina Popescu</i>)</p> <p>How do we make the most out of our training efforts? (<i>Carlos Arcila Calderon</i>)</p> <p>How do we make the most of our mobility strategy? (<i>Nina Springer</i>)</p> <p>How do we make the most of our stakeholder network? (<i>Helle Sjøvaag</i>)</p>
12:30	<i>Group Photo</i>
12:30 – 13:30	<i>Lunch Break</i>
13:30 – 14:30	<i>COST Action Café debrief (COST/Rapporteurs)</i>
14:30 – 15:00	<i>Coffee Break</i>
15:00 – 15:30	Election of mandatory leadership positions (<i>COST/Christian Baden</i>)
15:30 -16:30	MC Discussion & Decisions (<i>Christian Baden</i>)
16:30	<i>Closing by check-out</i>

4 COST ACTION CAFÉ

For three rounds of the discussion, the participants were invited to join breakout rooms organized by Working Group topics and Management topics listed hereafter. In each breakout room, a volunteer from the MC was appointed as Rapporteur. The hosting team supported the discussions.

1. What will be a successful outcome for WG1: Theory?
How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Christian Baden

2. What will be a successful outcome for WG2: Tools?
How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Kasper Welbers

3. What will be a successful outcome for WG3: Data & Application?
How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Marina Popescu

4. How do we make the most out of our training efforts?

Rapporteur: Carlos Arcila Calderon

5. How do we make the most of our mobility strategy?

Rapporteur: Nina Springer

6. How do we make the most of our strategy for communicating with relevant stakeholders?

Rapporteur: Helle Sjøvaag

The outcome of the discussions are summarized below. The Management Committee will develop further the ideas by the MC2 meeting and in the upcoming months.

What will be a successful outcome for WG1: Theory?

How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Christian Baden

Action Number:	21129
Room Title:	How do we make the most of WG1: Theory
Rapporteur(s):	C. Baden
Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic: <i>(Only for the groups: describing (current) research, research communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement - WGs: information on the COST platform)</i>	
What is our main goal?	<p>2) not necessarily a unified <u>definition</u> but dimensions/variants within a unified framework → Book</p> <p>3) breaking down big insights for fields of application</p> <p>1) inclusive survey + mapping of relevant knowledges</p>
How can we achieve it?	<p>1) Circular process: define (tentatively) center of gravity, map connections, identify common dimensions, build delimitations</p> <p>2) need for a united, diverse consensus building stage before specializing</p> <p>3) constant dialogue with other WGs + stakeholders</p>
Which resources do we need? <i>(e.g. budget, access to working tools, different expertise etc.)</i>	<p>main resource is our diverse membership due to stakeholders/users + other WGs</p> <p>focus on meetings, which may become more focused/virtual overtime</p>
What are the next steps	<p>agree on a center of gravity of a tentative definition</p> <p>use group process to find ordering into perspectives/sub-agendas</p>

What will be a successful outcome for WG2: Tools?

How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Kasper Welbers

Action Number:

Room Title:

Tools

Rapporteur(s):

Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic:

(Only for the groups discussing Grant Awarding, Science Communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement – WGs information on the e-COST platform.)

What is our main goal?

Create A (small) collection
of validated & documented
Tools

How can we achieve it?

- Build an index of tools
- Define criteria, based on input from wgs (theory)
- Build and Annotate index to determine which tools are good

Which resources do we need?

(e.g. budget, use of networking tools, different expertise etc.)

- Data (labeled)
- Shared tasks
- Literature study
- Survey of COST Network

What are the next steps

What will be a successful outcome for WG3: Data & Application? How do we get there?

Rapporteur: Marina Popescu

Action Number: **#W63**

Room Title:

Rapporteur(s):

Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic:

(Only for the groups discussing Grant Awarding, Science Communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement – WGs information on the e-COST platform.)

What is our main goal?

- mapping data sources for different RQs
↳ create attacking system
- Illustrated examples + roadmaps for those new to the field
- Validated pipelines of tools

How can we achieve it?

- Formulate needs with/of/from other groups - smooth collaboration
- Build the platform

Which resources do we need?

(e.g. budget, use of networking tools, different expertise etc.)

- Budget for archiving tools, databases, codes, etc.
↓
infrastructure
- Sustainability.

What are the next steps

- structure
- working with WG1 & WG2
- network with similar projects (i.e. OPTEO).

How to make the most of our training efforts?

Rapporteur: Carlos Arcila

Action Number: CA 21129

Room Title: TRAINING EFFORTS

Rapporteur(s): CARLOS ARCILO

Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic:

(Only for the groups discussing Grant Awarding, Science Communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement – WGs information on the e-COST platform.)

- MARIO, Alesandro, Tamara, BRUNO, FRANKUSKA
- Elia, JACOMIN, Dimitre
- Fabienne

What is our main goal?

- Define target:
 - How to organize
 - What do we teach?
- Create a school of training
- Combine essentials and more collection
- Define Inclusion:
 - Seniority
 - Gender
 - Expertise
 - Language
- Learn from target participants (interactive)

How can we achieve it?

- Focus not only in students and scholars + researchers
- Firstly training the trainers
- Motivate the participants to take different training activity for continuity
- Be connected with other WP. For next years
- Include young but also seniors
- Learning from our experience → share these experiences (good and bad ones)
- Make agreements with other existing schools
- Media preferences → communication
- personal network
- Formats:
 - 1 offering per year
 - hybrid
 - Some online extra activities

Which resources do we need?

(e.g. budget, use of networking tools, different expertise etc.)

- Initial survey of members
- Online materials
- Reproducible notebook
- Spreadsheet contents for different levels
- Use other existing training (basis)
- Admin support/assistant?
- Previous info competence
- Common course → WP 1, 2, 3
- Special

What are the next steps?

- Survey
- Define first school → early to have experiences
- Schedule → 1 school first year → June / Sept
- Share readiness online resources
- Separate train/meeting
- Pick cities well connected. May/size / Different city

How to make the most of our mobility efforts?

Rapporteur: Nina Springer

Action Number:

Room Title:

Rapporteur(s):

Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic:

(Only for the groups discussing Grant Awarding, Science Communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement – WGs information on the e-COST platform.)

What is our main goal?

How many missions/lessons are possible?

PRIORITY
THOSE IN NEED
OF BUILDING
NETWORK
e.g. PhD students

MOBILITY
Face-to-face

MOBILITY
BETWEEN
WORKING GROUPS

MOBILITY AND
AWARENESS OF
LINEAR AGES

How can we achieve it?

THOSE WITH
NETWORK INVITE
EMERGING SCIENTISTS
TO JOIN NETWORK

LEADS CENTER OR...
To inform scientific
community about
COST & FUNDING

Eligibility criteria
Who is part of
our network

CRITERIA FOR
REIMBURSEMENT
BASED ON THESE
GOALS

One year plan
to spend
money wisely

TRAINING FOR
OTHER WGS

OUTREACH TO
NEWER ORGANIZATION
AND OUTSIDE
ACADEMIA

Which resources do we need?

(e.g. budget, use of networking tools,

€ to come together
F&F

Communication flows
(not unidirectional,
like website)

TEXTILE GROUPS?
2 way "HANG-OUT"

CROSS WORKING-
GROUPS WORKING GROUP

Resources for integration
to avoid outback

Get input from other
COST networks about
experiences

always try to find
alt. funding if your insti-
tution has opportunities

- Open mailing list
(for different purposes)

How do we make the most of our strategy for communicating with relevant stakeholders?

Rapporteur: Helle Sjovaag

	Action Number: CA 21129
	Room Title: COMMUNICATION & STAKEHOLDER
	Rapporteur(s): Helle
Stakeholders • Industry • Big Tech • Policy • NGOs • Students • Other research	<p>Meeting Participants interested in contributing to the topic: (Only for the groups discussing Grant Awarding, Science Communication plan and Stakeholder Engagement – WGs information on the e-COST platform.)</p> <p>DEFINE SOC. CHALLENGES / AVOID UNIQUE ACADEMIC NETWORK → SOLVE SOCIETAL CHALLENGES → Training → NOT OUR ENEMY</p> <p>What is our main goal? → IMPACT, STANDARDS STRONG Stakeholder involvement (data, policy) Communicating the value (Industry & Policy) → Value for them The point: Impact & Data → Media literacy → Training + Use of the tools? → Inclusivity & Accessibility → Open Access LANGUAGES → Value for stakeholders → Value proposition for stakeholders (Trust)</p> <p>(CHALLENGE: BIG TECH) involvement INTERNAL & EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS: - Policy - Early career scholars - Media Institutes - Big Tech Internal communication between WGs</p> <p>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</p>
Impact • Standards • Training • Value for Stakeholders Not to be Academic only	<p>How can we achieve it? → TRAINING MAP Stakeholders → Big Tech, Policy, Industry (libraries/archiving) Communicate Value Include Stakeholders → MCs & Training → Problem definition & state of the art Define shared goals Build Stakeholder network → update them (Newsletter) → Give feedback INTERNAL: Cross-cutting themes across WGs Open Access: The language we use → Accessibility & Inclusivity → (STSM) TRAINING SCHOOL → communicated in wide networks</p> <p>* Integrate them in research → what do stakeholders need</p>
Training • Education • Stakeholders • Make • Stakeholders • Primary • Shared Ad • Open Access • Newsletter • Integrate • Stakeholders in research	<p>Which resources do we need? (e.g. budget, use of networking tools, different expertise etc.) Country + EU level contacts → Directing? → National observatory Policy makers, Adjacent efforts/communities (e.g. Women for Cyber space), Industry Young scholars / junior scholars / early career → Open Access texts & training material → NOT ONLY USE ENGLISH EXAMPLES → USE CASES → SHOWCASING Social Science One Academic organisations → Training session → IDENTIFY & INCLUDE PHD STUDENTS → ALSO THROUGH SENIOR SCHOLARS → Link with Civil Society → Inclusive vs. Discriminatory → Training of summer schools → BRANNING → OPINION Network</p>
Country level • Mapping of • Stakeholders • Expand the network • Open Access • Tools	<p>What are the next steps → Define criteria for Stakeholder → The role of Stakeholders • Proposal of relevant stakeholders at country level → MC level • Curricula integration → use in training • Make Stakeholders → MC & Training → (provided we have defined the value) → experience → Strategy • Inclusive events • Resource library (how/why) → Training resources (later) • Expand the network → (academic) summer schools * WEBSITE * ROADMAP → ROS WGs</p> <p>1 Define Role of Stakeholder 2 Define Value for Stakeholders 3 What Stakeholders we need 4 Communication strategy 5 Keep it continuously open</p>

5 QUORUM

With 23 out of 29 countries represented, the quorum of 2/3 of parties is reached. The MC is legitimized to take formal votes in accordance with the COST Rules.

6 ELECTIONS I: LEADERSHIP & GRANT HOLDER

Action Chair

Candidate: Prof. Christian Baden, The Hebrew U of Jerusalem

The MC unanimously elects Christian Baden as Action Chair

Vice Chair

Candidate: Prof. Helle Sjøvaag, U of Stavanger

The MC unanimously elects Helle Sjøvaag as Action Vice Chair

Grant Holder & Grant Holder Scientific Representative

Candidate: Dr. Kasper Welbers, Vrije U Amsterdam

The MC unanimously selects the Vrije U Amsterdam as Grant Holder

The MC unanimously elects Kasper Welbers as Grant Holder Scientific Representative

Christian Baden takes over the role of chair of the meeting from Bart Veys.

7 ACTION STRUCTURE

The MC unanimously establishes the following non-mandatory leadership Positions:

- the position of Grant Holder *Vice* Scientific Representative (GHVSR), who shall be part of the Core Group (CG);
- the position of *Vice* Grant Coordination Officer;
- two WG Vice Chair positions per WG.

The Science Communication Officer shall serve as one of the two WG4 Vice Chairs *ex officio*.

8 ELECTIONS II: OTHER LEADERSHIP POSITIONS

Grant Coordination Officer

Candidate: Nina Springer, WWU Münster

The MC unanimously elects Nina Springer as Grant Coordination Officer

Grant Holder Vice Scientific Representative

Candidate: Wouter van Atteveldt, Vrije U Amsterdam

The MC unanimously elects Wouter van Atteveldt as Grant Holder Vice Scientific Rep.

Science Communication Officer

Candidate: Ana Milojevic, U of Belgrade

The MC unanimously elects Ana Milojevic as Science Communication Officer

Chair of WG1: Theory

Candidate: Agnieszka Stepinska, Adam Mieczkiewicz U Poznan

The MC unanimously elects Agnieszka Stepinska as Chair of WG1

Chair of WG2: Tools

Candidate: Damian Trilling, U of Amsterdam

The MC unanimously elects Damian Trilling as Chair of WG2

Chair of WG3: Data & Application

Candidate: Marina Popescu, Media Research Center Bucharest

The MC unanimously elects Marina Popescu as Chair of WG3

Chair of WG4: Inclusion & Dissemination

Candidate: Carlos Arcila Calderon

The MC unanimously elects Carlos Arcila Calderon as Chair of WG4

9 NETWORK POLICIES

Meetings

The OPINION Leadership proposes to hold two physical meetings per year, with virtual WG meetings in between.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following policies:

1. Physical network-wide meetings shall be held in conjunction with other events (e.g., MC meetings, Conferences) as much as possible.
2. Physical WG meetings shall be held in conjunction with network-wide meetings as much as possible.
3. Online WG meetings are at the discretion of the WG.
4. WGs can lay down criteria or create tasks that need to be met for participants to be invited to a WG meeting.
5. Physical meetings shall be announced at least 6 weeks in advance; Online meetings shall be announced at least 3 weeks in advance.
6. MC Members who cannot attend a physical MC meeting shall nominate a substitute *only* if their country would otherwise not be represented.

Reimbursement

The OPINION Leadership proposes to start with a full reimbursement policy but reserve the possibility to reduce reimbursement so as to be able to prioritize reimbursement for junior and ITC members.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following policies:

1. By default, all participants invited to WG or MC meetings shall be reimbursed *fully*, in accordance with COST rules.
2. If budget constraints require, the CG can decide to:
 - a. lower the default reimbursement rate, in order to maintain full reimbursement for junior and ITC participants;
 - b. limit full reimbursement to only *one* MC member per country;
 - c. only partly reimburse guests.

This should be announced at least 4 weeks prior to affected meetings.

Please consider *not* flying if that is a realistic option.

COST rules concerning most economic means of travel continue to apply.

Any termination of WG membership remains subject to CG validation.

Publications

The OPINION Leadership raises the question whether specific publication targets should be fixed for each WG, and whether there should be set rules for inclusive authorship and the adoption of publications by the WGs.

After a round of discussion, the MC decides to defer the establishment of policies regarding output expectation to the next MC meeting after the WGs have constituted themselves.

The MC furthermore agrees to aim to define output targets and address authorship and adoption policies within one year.

COST clarifies that it is acceptable to credit COST alongside other sources of funding, and that the network's budget can be used to cover Open Access costs, proofreading and translation for publications that emerge from the Action.

Grants

The OPINION Leadership proposes to prioritize grants that involve network members, and especially junior members, ITC members, and ITC institutions.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following policy:

Grants can be issued to both members and non-members of the network. *Priority* shall be given to activities that involve junior members as well as ITC members and institutions.

Communications

The OPINION Leadership proposes to adopt a maximally transparent communication policy so as to enable interested stakeholder beyond the network to follow activities and make informed choices about joining the Action.

The MC raises concerns about publicly sharing instructions for joining meetings, which might lead to abuse, and prefers that such information be only obtainable upon request. The chair adopts the proposition to amend the proposed rules.

Following the amended proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following policies:

All communications:

- a. by the CG, addressed to the entire network
- b. by the WG leadership, addressed to all WG members

shall be made *publicly* available, in a manner that enables potential collaborators beyond the network to contribute, via the web page.

This does not include instructions for joining meetings.

Review

The OPINION Leadership proposes to formalize the process under which network members can demand the review or introduction of network policies.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following policies:

1. The above policies shall be reviewed at the first MC meeting after the completion of each grant period, and updated if needed.
2. The MC shall discuss and vote upon any policy revisions proposed by any 2 CG members, any WG leadership, or MC members from 5+ countries.
3. All network members shall be informed promptly about adopted policies; current policies shall also be made publicly available via the web page.
4. Unless decided otherwise, policies come into effect 30 days after notification.

10 MANDATES

Core Group Mandates

The OPINION Leadership proposes to mandate the Core Group to make decisions on those items named in the COST Annotated Rules as typically delegated to the Core Group.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following mandate:

The CG comprises all mandatory leadership positions plus the GHVSR.

The CG is mandated, until revoked, the following powers:

1. Membership:
 - a. approve, refuse or terminate WG Membership *
 - b. mediate in case of conflicts
2. Meeting planning:
 - a. select or change location
 - b. assign & liaison with local organizer
 - c. invite participants & guests to joint and MC meetings *
 - d. decide about reimbursement of participants & guests *
3. Public Presence:
 - a. create visual guidelines & templates
 - b. create & maintain webpage
 - c. select & liaison with publishers

Working Group Mandates

The OPINION Leadership proposes to mandate the Working Groups to manage their own membership by setting criteria or tasks that govern who is admitted and invited to physical WG meetings.

Following the proposition, the MC unanimously adopts the following mandate:

Each Working Group shall decide on the following:

2. Membership:
 - a. elect or recall WG Vice Chairs (subject to MC validation)
 - b. advise CG on new WG applications *

The MC approves the membership of 102 WG members, thereof 11 conditionally upon revision as specified.

12 WORK & BUDGET PLAN

The OPINION Leadership proposes to prioritize physical meetings and have two network-wide meetings in the first grant period, which take in the bulk of the allocated budget. The following suggested Work & Budget Plan is presented:

Meeting 1: WG+CG	1½ days in Amsterdam ca. 40 participants	40,000 €
Meeting 2: WG+MC+CG	3 days in TBD (ITC) ca. 50 participants	58,000 €
Training Schools		-
STSMs	3 × 2,500 €	7,500 €
VM		-
Dissemination	Website	1,000 €
OERSA	Hybrid Meeting Tools Bank Charges	2,196 €
VNS		-
Sub Total		108,696 €
FSAC	Grant Holder Manager	16,304 €
TOTAL		125,000 €

The MC briefly discusses the limited number of STSMs that can therefore be funded in the first year, as well as the absence of funds for separate training schools. It is clarified that training events can be held within the context of the two network meetings. The MC also suggests to include a MC meeting at the first meeting.

The OPINION Leadership proposes to hold the first meeting to constitute WGs in Amsterdam, lasting 1 ½ days, preferably in November/December, while the MC suggests that January may be more convenient; A second meeting should be held in an ITC, lasting 3 days, in June. The OPINION Leadership invites self-nominations as hosting institution for this meeting. Dates will be circulated soon, after conferring with host institutions.

The MC discusses the desire for enabling hybrid participation, but agrees that this remains desirable but will have to be decided in accordance with the capabilities of the host institution. COST clarifies that the budget anticipated for bank charges is probably larger than needed, so some of that money can be reallocated to STSMs.

The work and budget will adjusted accordingly and decided upon by e-vote.

13 MISCELLANEOUS

The OPINION Leadership announces that there will be an informal (non-funded) get-together at ECREA 9th European Communication Conference in Aarhus, details will follow.

The OPINION Leadership furthermore announces that the network will soon obtain its web page, which will be hosted under <https://opinion-network.eu/>, and it already possesses its own Twitter account: @OPINIONnet

14 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There is no other business.

Group picture of all participants



ANNEX A: SIGNED ATTENDANCE LIST

MEETING ATTENDANCE LIST (1ST MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING - 2022-09-22)

The attendance list provides the names of the participants who confirmed attendance via their personal e-COST invitation link.


Meeting Title: CA21129 1st Management Committee meeting

Meeting Reference: E-COST-MEETING-CA21129-220922-96d1902c Action Number: N/A














Meeting Administrator: Christophe Peeters

E-mail: Christophe.Peeters@cost.eu







Management Committee - 1st Management Committee meeting (Start Date: 2022-09-22 End Date: 2022-09-22)

Nr	Participant	Country	Signature
1	Adikpo, Janet Avar jar.adikpo@gmail.com	TR	
2	Aroila Calderón, Carlos carcla@usal.es	ES	
3	Baden, Christian c.baden@mail.huji.ac.il	IL	
4	Balcytene, Aukse aukse.balcytene@vdu.lt	LT	
5	CORBU, NICOLETA nicoleta.corbu@comunicare.ro	RO	
6	Costa, Bruno Daniel Ferreira bdfo@ubi.pt	PT	
7	Dimitrakopoulou, Dimitra dimitrakopouloud@our.auth.gr	EL	
8	Djouvas, Constantinos costas.tziouvas@cut.ac.cy	CY	
9	Haim, Mario haim@fhw.tmu.de	DE	
10	Ibrus, Indrek indrek.ibrus@tlu.ee	EE	
11	Ilić, Mirjana mirjana.ili@fifak.ni.ac.rs	RS	

Management Committee - 1st Management Committee meeting (Start Date: 2022-09-22 End Date: 2022-09-22)

Nr	Participant	Country	Signature
12	Koniaris, Marios mkoniaris@gmail.com	EL	
13	Krstic, Aleksandra aleksandra.krstic@fpn.bg.ac.rs	RS	
14	Kunić, Tamara tkunic@hrstud.hr	HR	
15	Lind, Fabienne fabienne.lind@univie.ac.at	AT	
16	Marquart, Franziska fm@hum.ku.dk	DK	
17	Mazak, Jaromir jaromir.mazak@stem.cz	CZ	
18	Milioni, Dimitra dimi.milioni@gmail.com	CY	
19	Milojevic, Ana ana.milojevic@fpn.bg.ac.rs	RS	
20	Nicholls, Tom T.E.Nicholls@liverpool.ac.uk	UK	
21	Peeters, Christophe christophe.peeters@cost.eu	XE	
22	Popescu, Marina marina.popescu.1@googlemail.com	RO	
23	SAYGIN, YUCEL ysaygin@sabanciuniv.edu	TR	
24	Sjevaag, Helle helle.sjevaag@uis.no	NO	
25	Springer, Nina nina.springer@uni-muenster.de	DE	
26	Strappavea, Carlo strappa@fbk.eu	IT	

Management Committee - 1st Management Committee meeting (Start Date: 2022-09-22 End Date: 2022-09-22)

Nr	Participant	Country	Signature
27	Szabó, Gabriella szabo.gabriella@tk.mta.hu	HU	
28	Tomanic Trivundza, Ilija ilija.tomanic@fdv.uni-lj.si	SI	
29	Touleb, Samia samia.touleb@uib.no	NO	
30	Trilling, Damian d.c.trilling@uva.nl	NL	
31	Van den Bulck, Hilde hilde.vandenbulck@uantwerpen.be	BE	
32	van der Torre, Leendert (Leon) leon.vandertorre@uni.lu	LU	
33	Vesic, Srđjan vesic@cril.fr	FR	
34	Veys, Bart bart.veys@cost.eu	XI	
35	Weibers, Kasper kasperweibers@gmail.com	NL	
36	Zelenkauskaitė, Asta az358@dispoz.lt	LT	

ANNEX B: WORKING GROUP APPLICATIONS

<i>Accepted as is</i>		WG(s)
Adamczewska	Kinga	2+3
Baden	Christian	1+4
Balcytiene	Aukse	1+4
Bechmann	Anja	2
Ben-David	Anat	2
Bielenia-Grajewska	Magdalena	1+3
Boomgaarden	Hajo	3
Brown	Maria	4
Buitelaar	Paul	2
Canavilhas	João	1+4
Chan	Chung-hong	2
Ciunova-Shuleska	Anita	1
Corbu	Nicoleta	1+2
Costa	Bruno Daniel Ferreira	1+4
Cunha	Carlos	1
De Liddo	Anna	2
Djouvas	Constantinos	2+3
Durmus Ozturk	Serap	1+2
Dylgjeri	Ardita	1+4
Erisen	Cengiz	2+3
Garcia Corral	Paulina	1+2
Gogo	Valmora	1
Gugu	Edlira	2+4
Guzek	Damian	1
Haim	Mario	4
Harro-Loit	Halliki	1
Herrero	Beatriz	4
Hess	Agnieszka	1+2

Hunger	Sophia	2
Hyzen	Aaron	1+2
Ibrus	Indrek	1+2
Ilić	Mirjana	1+2
Juraite	Kristina	1+2
Kazazi	Ledia	1+3
Koniaris	Marios	2+3
Kroon	Anne	2
Krstic	Aleksandra	1+4
Kunić	Tamara	1+4
Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk	Barbara	1+2
Lind	Fabienne	2
Lipiński	Artur	1+2
Loecherbach	Felicia	2
Majo-Vazquez	Silvia	4
Marincea	Adina	3
Marquart	Franziska	1
Mazak	Jaromir	3
Mema	Besjana	3+4
Micevski	Igor	1
Milić	Kristina	1+2
Milioni	Dimitra	1
Milojevic	Ana	1+2
Möller	Judith	1+4
Negrea Busuioc	Elena	1
Nicholls	Tom	2
Ortega	Felix	1+2
Ozkan	Gokhan	3
Özmen	Şule Yüksel	1
Palamidovska-Sterjadovska	Nikolina	1

Pigozzi	Gabriella	2
Popescu	Marina	1+3
Quandt	Thorsten	1
Rinke	Eike Mark	1
Sakja	Arta	1+2
Salgado	Susana	1
Santana Pereira	José	1
Saygin	Yucel	2+3
Schoonvelde	Martijn	2
Sjøvaag	Helle	4
Slavkovik	Marija	1
Springer	Nina	1+3
Stepinska	Agnieszka	1
Strappavara	Carlo	1+2
Szabó	Gabriella	1+2
Tomanic Trivundza	Ilija	2
Trilling	Damian	2
Urman	Aleksandra	2+4
Utka	Andrius	3
Van den Bulck	Hilde	1+2
van der Velden	Mariken	4
Vesic	Srdjan	2
Vochocova	Lenka	1+4
Wagner	Ben	2
Waldherr	Annie	1
Weber	Matthew	3
Welbers	Kasper	2
Wessler	Hartmut	1
Yarchi	Moran	3
Yun	Bruno	2

Hendawy	Mennatullah
Yılmaz	Murat