# Lab-4 assignment using Arrhythmia genetic association

Kasra Vand

2024-09-19

### Introduction

This document analyzes the arrhythmia dataset to demonstrate conditional probability and positive predictive value (PPV) calculations. We'll use two categorical variables: var\_class (SNP vs. Other) and clinical\_significance (Pathogenic vs. Non-pathogenic).

## **Data Preparation and Analysis**

First, we'll read the data, categorize our variables, and calculate initial probabilities.

```
# Read the data
arrhythmia <- read.csv("arrhythmia.csv")</pre>
# Categorize clinical_significance
arrhythmia$clinical bin <- ifelse(</pre>
  arrhythmia$clinical_significance %in% c("pathogenic", "likely pathogenic"),
                                    "Pathogenic", "Non-pathogenic")
# Categorize var_class
arrhythmia$var_class_bin <- ifelse(arrhythmia$var_class == "SNP", "SNP", "Other")
# Create a contingency table
cont_table <- table(arrhythmia$var_class_bin, arrhythmia$clinical_bin)</pre>
print(cont_table)
##
##
           Non-pathogenic Pathogenic
##
     Other
                        27
     SNP
                       359
                                    55
# Calculate probabilities
total <- sum(cont table)</pre>
p snp <- sum(cont table["SNP",]) / total
p_other <- sum(cont_table["Other",]) / total</pre>
p_pathogenic <- sum(cont_table[,"Pathogenic"]) / total</pre>
p_non_pathogenic <- sum(cont_table[,"Non-pathogenic"]) / total</pre>
# Print probabilities
cat("Probability of SNP:", p_snp, "\n")
## Probability of SNP: 0.7961538
cat("Probability of Other:", p_other, "\n")
## Probability of Other: 0.2038462
```

```
cat("Probability of Pathogenic:", p_pathogenic, "\n")

## Probability of Pathogenic: 0.2576923
cat("Probability of Non-pathogenic:", p_non_pathogenic, "\n")

## Probability of Non-pathogenic: 0.7423077
```

#### Data Simulation

Now, we'll use the probabilities calculated from our original data to simulate a larger dataset. This allows us to demonstrate the conditional probability concepts.

```
# Parameters
population_size <- 10000
p_snp <- 0.8870056
# Simulate var_class
var_class_sim <- sample(c("SNP", "Other"), size = population_size,</pre>
                         prob = c(p_snp, 1 - p_snp), replace = TRUE)
# Simulate clinical_significance
clinical_sim <- vector("character", population_size)</pre>
# Note; here the probabilities are set based on the previous data analysis
for(k in 1:population_size) {
  if(var_class_sim[k] == "SNP") {
    clinical_sim[k] <- sample(c("Pathogenic", "Non-pathogenic"), size = 1,</pre>
                               prob = c(0.1428571, 0.8571429))
  } else {
    clinical_sim[k] <- sample(c("Pathogenic", "Non-pathogenic"), size = 1,</pre>
                               prob = c(0.7058824, 0.2941176))
 }
# Create simulated data frame
sim_data <- data.frame(var_class = var_class_sim, clinical_significance = clinical_sim)</pre>
# View results
table(sim_data$var_class, sim_data$clinical_significance)
##
##
           Non-pathogenic Pathogenic
##
     Other
                       338
                                  797
##
     SNP
                      7613
                                 1252
```

## **Probability Calculations**

Finally, we'll calculate prevalence, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV using our simulated data.

```
# Calculate PPV and NPV
ppv <- (sensitivity * prevalence) /</pre>
       (sensitivity * prevalence + (1 - specificity) * (1 - prevalence))
npv <- (specificity * (1 - prevalence)) /</pre>
       ((1 - sensitivity) * prevalence + specificity * (1 - prevalence))
# Print results
cat("Prevalence:", prevalence, "\n")
## Prevalence: 0.2049
cat("Sensitivity:", sensitivity, "\n")
## Sensitivity: 0.6110298
cat("Specificity:", specificity, "\n")
## Specificity: 0.04251038
cat("PPV:", ppv, "\n")
## PPV: 0.1412296
cat("NPV:", npv, "\n")
## NPV: 0.2977974
```

#### Conclusion

This analysis demonstrates the use of conditional probability and PPV calculations on the arrhythmia dataset. We categorized var\_class and clinical\_significance, simulated a larger dataset based on the original probabilities, and calculated key metrics including prevalence, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV.

The results provide insights into the relationship between genetic variations (SNP vs. Other) and their clinical significance in the context of arrhythmia. I actually think that these metrics can be valuable for understanding the predictive power of genetic markers for pathogenic conditions related to arrhythmia.