The InK Browser was easy to navigate.
4 responses

- 🔵 Strongly Disagree
- 🔴 Disagree
- 🟠 Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 🟢 Agree
- 🟣 Strongly Agree

The InK Browser's windows were easy to understand in terms of functionality.
4 responses

- 🔵 Strongly Disagree
- 🔴 Disagree
- 🟠 Neither Agree not Disagree
- 🟢 Agree
- 🟣 Strongly Agree

The interface of the InK Browser was intuitive.
4 responses

- 🔵 Strongly Disagree
- 🔴 Disagree
- 🟠 Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 🟢 Agree
- 🟣 Strongly Agree

Multitasking was supported when using the InK Browser.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

25%

75%

I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use this tool.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

50%

25%

25%

I would use this tool again if I had to deal with structured data.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

100%

I believe the tool needs a proper tutorial prior to using it.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

75%
25%

I got familiar with the tool quickly.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

50%
50%

The transitions between the windows was very responsive.
4 responses

- ● Strongly Disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neither Agree nor Disagree
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly Agree

25%
50%
25%

* MISC (5 comments)
 - It would be convenient to have a pop-up or some easily viewable place that tells the user how to use the tool.
 - It would be convenient to have a pop-up or some easily viewable place that tells the user how to use the tool.
 - That was easy to understand and navigate
 - It's easy to figure it out, everything is mostly clear
 - it is straightforward.

* DATA EXTRACTION (5 comments)
 - It would be convenient to have a pop-up appear with a description of a window when hovering over it in the drop down menu.
 - Having multiple videos playing and then pausing before the ItemList is populated results in the ItemList never populating.
 - - Item list is extremely slow to populate. , - The item description was difficult to read due to formatting and had many unusual characters. - Many Topics in the ItemList did not have item descriptions. I would recommend filtering out those topics to avoid clutter in the ItemList window.
 - Labeled windows is very helpful. Perhaps some brief descriptions could be useful.
 - The tool has merit, but the extraction of actual words and population of relevant descriptions remains limited.

* TUTORIAL (4 comments)
 - always a tutorial could be a good guid before using a new system, you are gonna be sure about everything and you can act fast, and it can cause efficiency.
 - It is very easy to use, and the layout is intuitive. Perhaps, a basic description of the tool's purpose and instructions would be useful. I think it's possible that I would have had more difficulty using it had the administrator of the study not provided me with some instuction.
 - It's rather intuitive. A brief written guide or brief directions from someone who's used it before should suffice.
 - I don't think a "proper" tutorial is necessary, but a brief, informal one could help.

* MULTITASKING (1 comments)
 - I'm not sure what multitasking would reference in this context. The tool seems to just be extracting words from the video and displaying descriptions of them.

* FUNCTIONALITY (1 comments)

- The transitions between windows are smooth and responsive, but it takes quite some time after pausing the video before the words are populated in the topics window.

* INTERFACE (1 comments)
 - * This is a very interesting project and cool tool. The UI is very intuitive and easy to use. There are some issues with the actual functionality outlined below.
* It seems to be using a visual processing mechanism, but there seems to be rather significant issues with words being misspelled.
* Increasing the size of the dataset from which descriptions are obtained would allow for less sparse population of data for words.
* There seem to be cases where the message "No module details available for __" appear for words in which data will be populated in other cases. I noticed this with the term "metadata".
* Seems like it tries to read logos but not with much success. Also, it doesn't extract years and dollar amounts (potentially it only works for letters). Doesn't seem to read subtitles (not that it should per say, just an observation).
* It resolved the word "ad" to a description on "metadata" which is odd.
* Doesn't seem like the resolution of the video affects the extraction capabilities, which is probably good.