Cross-Review Summary: Min-Heap vs Max-Heap Comparison

Pair 4: Heap Data Structures

Student A: Orazbek Ulan - Min-Heap Implementation

Student B: Zhetpyspaev Adilbek - Max-Heap Implementation

1. Algorithm Comparison Overview

Structural Comparison

| Aspect | Min-Heap | Мах-Неар |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Root Property | Minimum element at root | Maximum element at root |
| Required Operation | decrease-key | increase-key |
| Additional Operation | merge | - |
| Primary Use Case | Priority queues (min priority) | Priority queues (max priority) |

Both implementations use **array-based representation** with standard parent/child indexing:

Parent: (i-1)/2Left child: 2i+1Right child: 2i+2

2. Time Complexity Comparison

| Operation | Min-Heap | Мах-Неар | Winner |
|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Insert | Θ(log n) | Θ(log n) | Tie ✓ |

| Extract | Θ(log n) | Θ(log n) | Tie ✓ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Peek | Θ(1) | Θ(1) | Tie ✓ |
| Decrease/Increase-Key | Θ(log n) | Θ(log n) | Tie ✓ |
| Build-Heap | Θ(n) | Θ(n) | Tie ✓ |
| Merge | Θ(n+m) | N/A | Min-Heap ✓ |

Conclusion: Theoretical complexities are **identical** for common operations.

3. Space Complexity Comparison

| Component | Min-Heap | Мах-Неар |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Primary Storage | O(n) | O(n) |
| Position Map | O(n) HashMap | None |
| Total Space | O(n) | O(n) |
| Trade-off | More memory, faster key operations | Less memory, slower key lookup |

Winner: Max-Heap uses less memory, but Min-Heap has better API usability.

4. Implementation Quality Comparison

Min-Heap Strengths ✓

- Value-based decrease-key (user-friendly API)
- Position tracking with HashMap (O(1) contains check)
- **Dynamic capacity** with automatic resizing
- **Merge operation** implemented
- Comprehensive benchmarking (all operations)

Duplicate detection for data integrity

Max-Heap Strengths ✓

- **Lower memory footprint** (no HashMap)
- Simpler implementation (fewer data structures)
- **CSV export** for metrics
- **Clean, readable code**

Min-Heap Weaknesses 🗥

- Higher memory usage due to HashMap
- More complex code structure

Max-Heap Weaknesses 🔨

- X Index-based increase-key (API design flaw)
- X Fixed capacity (no dynamic resizing)
- X heapSort modifies original array (side effects)
- X No duplicate detection
- X Limited benchmarking (only heapSort tested)

5. Performance Comparison (Empirical)

Benchmark Results

Test Configuration: Random data, Java 16, averaged over 5 runs

| Size | Min-Heap Insert (ms) | Max-Heap Sort (ms) | Ratio |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 100 | ~0.05 | 0.127 | 2.5× |
| 1,000 | ~0.30 | 0.248 | 0.8× |
| 10,000 | ~4.50 | 1.366 | 0.3× |
| 100,000 | ~60.00 | 9.975 | 0.17× |

Note: Direct comparison difficult due to different operations tested.

Comparisons per Operation

| Size | Min-Heap (insert) | Max-Heap (heapSort) |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 100 | ~6.5/op | ~10.4/op |
| 10,000 | ~13.2/op | ~23.5/op |
| 100,000 | ~16.8/op | ~30.2/op |

Analysis: Both follow O(log n) pattern, Max-Heap has higher constants due to heapSort overhead.

6. Optimization Recommendations

For Min-Heap:

- 1. **Optional**: Provide lightweight version without HashMap for memory-constrained scenarios
- 2. Improve documentation with complexity guarantees
- 3. Add more edge case tests

For Max-Heap (Critical):

- 1. Implement value-based increase-key with position tracking
- 2. Add dynamic resizing for scalability
- 3. Fix heapSort side effects (use array copy)
- 4. Add comprehensive benchmarks for all operations
- 5. Add duplicate detection

Conclusion

Both implementations demonstrate **solid understanding** of heap data structures with correct algorithmic complexity.

Min-Heap excels in **usability and feature completeness**, making it more suitable for production use.

Max-Heap excels in **simplicity and memory efficiency**, making it good for educational purposes and memory-constrained environments.

Recommendation: Combine best aspects - Min-Heap's API design with Max-Heap's simplicity.