

Feb. 17

Judicial Council

February 13, 1967

FEB 15 1967

Jessica Holland  
Student Mail

Dear Jessica,

On January 10, 1967, it was reported to me as Chairman of the Judicial Council that you participated in the sit-in demonstration against the C.I.A.'s employment recruitment on the Columbia campus on February 8, 1967. According to a statement issued by President Kirk on the matter, "this action appears to have been a clear violation of existing university regulations." As you know, Barnard College is an institution affiliated with Columbia University.

According to Judicial Council procedure, I met with President Park and a faculty member of the Council, in this instance Professor Woodbridge, to determine whether sufficient grounds existed to warrant consideration of the case by the whole Council. We decided to bring the case before the whole Council; tentatively the meeting will be held on Friday, February 17, at 2:00 in room 417 Lehman.

*Note: 2* Among your rights under the circumstances are the following:

1. You may decide, if you wish, to remain anonymous for the purposes of the hearing, and to submit instead a letter to the Council stating your position and anything which you feel would be relevant to the case. Otherwise you may appear in person to state your position.

2. You may bring witnesses in your behalf. However I must ask you to notify me if you decide to do so.

Let me know how you decide to appear before the Council, and whether you will be free on Friday if you decide to appear in person. I can be reached at the Barnard dormitories or through Student Mail.

Sincerely,

Margaret Emery  
Chairman, Barnard Judicial Council

cc: President Park  
Professor Woodbridge  
Dean Schmitter  
Dean Boorse

BARNARD COLLEGE

FEB 13 1967

To Miss Park

FROM Mrs Meyers

SUBJECT Set-in

DATE 2/13/67

Jessica Ellen Holland 1968  
740 West End Avenue  
Apartment 3  
tel. 662-3832

Dr. Jesse E. Holland  
230 Beach 142 Street  
Neponsit, L.I., N.Y. 11694  
tel. GR 4-7048

Miss Park to  
Notify Sarah & Laver  
2820 of decision

BARNARD COLLEGE

To Miss Park  
From Mrs. Meyers  
Subject Sit-in Date 2/10/67

Basis on which the students were at fault:

- failure to show i.d.
- failure to heed request of a University officer
- blocking door
- interfering with a University function

FEB 10 1967

Judicial Council

February 28, 1967

Miss Jessica Holland  
Student Mail

MAR 9 1967

Dear Miss Holland,

The Judicial Council of Barnard College has met to consider the case of your involvement in a sit-in demonstration at 606 Dodge Hall on February 8, 1967, in which students and administrators of Columbia University were effectively denied passage through a doorway. ~~they had a right to go through.~~ <sup>RIGHTFUL</sup> The Council has found you guilty of coercing members of the university community by so demonstrating, and of refusing to heed the warning of a university official that you should not so sit-in. We understand that your coercion did not cause physical or material damage, or involve physical force.

*As a result of the Council's deliberations I have been authorized to*  
Therefore, I hereby censure you in the name of the Judicial Council, for your actions, and warn you that if you are in the future found guilty of a like infringement of University regulations, you will be suspended or expelled as determined by the established procedures of Judicial Council.

The Council felt that you had taken the liberty of deciding for your fellow students where they should or should not go. The University, in permitting picketing, recognizes your right to have an opinion in this regard, but refuses to permit you to force your opinion upon others in the University. We feel that this refusal is the very essence of the regulations: that they permit freedom of expression to such an extent that the University will prevent by disciplinary action any infringement of this freedom.

The fact that only three students had planned to attend interviews does not decrease the seriousness of your offence. The Council felt that what was at stake was the larger issue of the implications inherent in your actions for the rights of the whole University community.

We hope that you will appreciate the seriousness of our decision, but more important than that, our intention to impress upon you the reasons for and importance of the University regulations. This decision stands effective pending only the approval of President Park.

Sincerely yours,

Margaret Emery  
Chairman, Barnard Judicial Council

cc: President Park