Radboud University

Breaking LTE on Layer Two

Introducing Attacks Against the Second Protocol Layer of LTE

Katharina Kohls

April 19th, 2021

Radboud University Nijmegen

> whois kkohls.org

Katharina Kohls

- Assistant professor at Radboud University, Netherlands
- kkohls@cs.ru.nl
- katharina.kohls
- kkohls.org
- blister_green

- PhD at Ruhr University Bochum, Germany
- (Mobile) Network Security
  - Traffic analysis attacks
  - Network infrastructure analysis

Breaking LTE on Layer Two

Breaking LTE on Layer Two

David Rupprecht
Ruhr-University Bochum
david.rupprecht@rub.de

Katharina Kohls
Ruhr-University Bochum
katharina.kohls@rub.de

Thorsten Holz
Ruhr-University Bochum
thorsten.holz@rub.de

Christina Pöpper
New York University Abu Dhabi
christina.poepper@nyu.edu

***Abstract*—Long Term Evolution (LTE) is the latest mobile communication standard and has a pivotal role in our information society: LTE combines performance goals with modern security mechanisms and serves casual use cases as well as critical infrastructure and public safety communications. Both scenarios are demanding towards a resilient and secure specification and implementation of LTE, as outages and open attack vectors potentially lead to severe risks. Previous work on LTE protocol security identified crucial attack vectors for both the physical (layer one) and network (layer three) layers. Data link layer (layer two) protocols, however, remain a blind spot in existing LTE security research.**

In this paper, we present a comprehensive layer two security analysis and identify three attack vectors. These attacks impair the confidentiality and/or privacy of LTE communication. More specifically, we first present a passive identity mapping attack that matches volatile radio identities to longer lasting network identities, enabling us to identify users within a cell and serving as a stepping stone for follow-up attacks. Second, we demonstrate how a passive attacker can abuse the resource allocation as a side channel to perform website fingerprinting that enables the attacker to learn the websites a user accessed. Finally, we present the ALTER attack that exploits the fact that LTE user data is encrypted in counter mode (AES-CTR) but not integrity protected, which allows us to modify the message payload. As a proof-of-concept demonstration, we show how an active attacker can redirect DNS requests and then perform a DNS spoofing attack. As a result, the user is redirected to a malicious website. Our experimental analysis demonstrates the real-world applicability of all three attacks and emphasizes the threat of open attack vectors on LTE layer two protocols.

I. Introduction

The latest mobile communication standard LTE represents the daily communication infrastructure for billions of people in the world and has a pivotal role in our information society. LTE is designed to combine performance goals such as high transmission rates and low latency with a series of security features like formally proven mutual authentication, well-established encryption algorithms such as AES, and separated security domains. Besides casual use cases, LTE also has an emerging relevance for critical infrastructures and public safety communications [1]. Both scenarios are demanding towards a resilient and secure specification and implementation of LTE, as outages and open attack vectors potentially lead to severe risks. While the LTE specification considers a diverse set of security features, it can hardly predict all potential attacks, and it is even harder to cover sets of restrictions in real-world implementations.

Consequently, recent academic and non-academic work identified various potential vulnerabilities on different layers of the LTE protocol stack. On the network layer (layer three), passive or active attackers can either localize a user or deny the service and thus downgrade the phone to the insecure GSM network [2]–[4]. On the physical layer (layer one), LTE can be the target of jamming attacks that aim to deny the service [5]–[8]. As a matter of fact, the previous research efforts focused only on layer one or layer three protocols and—to the best of our knowledge—no security analysis of data link layer (layer two) protocols exists to date. This leads to a situation of uncertainty about potential security and privacy threats that arise from the specification or implementation flaws of the data link layer and its three protocols: Medium Access Control (MAC), Radio Link Control (RLC), and Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP).

In this paper, we perform a security analysis of LTE on layer two and analyze these protocols for potential vulnerabilities. As a result, we introduce two passive attacks and one active attack that impair the confidentiality and privacy of LTE communication. Table I shows an overview of the attacks and their properties. We first focus on a passive adversary who can remain stealthy during an attack, i. e., being successful does not depend on any active interference with the network entities or protocols. Our first passive attack, the *identity mapping* attack, allows an adversary to map the user's temporary network identity (TMSI) to the temporary *radio* identity (RNTI). More specifically, we demonstrate how an attacker can precisely localize and identify a user within the cell, distinguish multiple transmission streams, and use this information as a stepping stone for subsequent attacks. One example for this is our second attack vector, the *website fingerprinting* attack. Website fingerprinting is known from other contexts like Tor [9], where traffic analysis reveals the browsing behavior of users despite Tor's onion encryption. In the context of LTE, we demonstrate a comparable information leak in the resource allocation: even though transmissions are encrypted, we can access plaintext information up to the PDCP and learn the transmission characteristics for individual users. This information is sufficient to distinguish accessed websites and de-anonymize a connection that is perceived to be secure due to encryption. Both attacks already harm user privacy separately, but they can be combined to an even stronger version of website fingerprinting, while solely depending on passive (downlink) sniffing.

We further introduce an active attack called ALTER that exploits the missing integrity protection of LTE user data to perform a chosen-ciphertext attack. Our attack is based on the

Today:

Attacks and what you need to understand them

https://alter-attack.net

Today's Agenda

Three attacks against LTE L2:

(1) Website Fingerprinting

(2) Identity mapping

(3) User Data Redirection



Background: Protocol Stack

Attack 1: Website Fingerprinting

Attack 2: Identity Mapping

Attack 3: User Data Redirection

Summary

Background: Protocol Stack

LTE Protocol Stack and L2

- **PDCP**: Transport of data with ciphering and integrity protection (RRC) and transport of IP packets.
- **RLC**: Transport PDCP data in different modes.
- **MAC**: Logical channels for RLC for multiplexing into into the physical transmission. Scheduling of within and between UEs.



Where is that in my phone?



Application Processor

- The OS implements the network stack
- Standard Ethernet connection
  like WiFi

Baseband Processor

- The Baseband implements the modem
- Mobile data connection

Application Processor

Website request:

- Browser sends request
- Goes down the network stack
- Data link and physical layer are *Ethernet*-specific

Baseband Processor → 'A'

Baseband receives IP packet:

- Encapsulate in LTE-specific PDCP
- Hand down further
- Transmit via *air interface*



Forwarding to the Internet

Website Request

- The eNodeB connects to the core network
- From there the requests reaches the internet
- The LTE stack represents the "Data link layer"



Combining Stacks



Combined Stacks (in words)

(1) **Application Processor**

- Browser prepares website request
- Go down the stack
- On the network layer, *Baseband* takes over

(2) **Baseband Processor**

- Receives the IP packet
- Encapsulates it in PDCP
- Hand down, send via air interface

(3) **Base Station**

- Receive request
- Hand up the stack

(4) **Core Network**

- Process packets
- Hand over to Internet

(5) **Internet stack takes over again**

- Go up the stack
- Server receives request

Attack 1: Website Fingerprinting

Required Background

- General concept of website fingerprinting (WF)
- Internet connection through LTE
- LTE metadata
- Basic attack setup and trace inspection

Website Fingerprinting: The Concept



Website Fingerprinting: The Concept

Standard Internet Connection:

- User connects to a website
- IP address of user is sensitive
- Together with website they reveal Internet usage
- Attacker can monitor and learn sensitive data
- **Protection:** Encrypt transmissions

Metadata of Encrypted Traffic



Metadata of Encrypted Traffic

Encryption protects the content. Transmissions still reveal metadata:

- Measure the timing between packets
- Measure the sizes of packets
- Count packets
- Check the transmission direction

How do we get this metadata?

- Can either be measured (timing, packet counts)
- Is part of the header information (size)
- Or is visible in the connection (direction)

The amount of metadata depends on the protocols, physical link, and optional security measures

Classification Attack

Preparation

- Attacker pre-records requests and responses to n websites
- Repeats each website several times
- Results in a labeled data set

Classification Attack

- Record traffic of victim
- Compare trace with pre-recorded data
- Highest similarity $\rightarrow$ guess



Trace Data Set

abc.net.au	bootstrapcdn.com	daum.net	flightaware.com	ietf.org	men	1-492-client.csv	1-907-exit.csv	2-422-client.csv	3-110-client.csv	3-53-client.csv	3-977-client.csv
aboutads.info	boston.com	debian.org	flipkart.com	ifeng.com	mes	1-492-exit.csv	1-908-client.csv	2-422-exit.csv	3-110-exit.csv	3-53-exit.csv	3-977-exit.csv
abs-cbn.com	bravesites.com	dell.com	forbes.com	ign.com	met	1-493-client.csv	1-908-exit.csv	2-423-client.csv	3-111-client.csv	3-540-client.csv	3-978-client.csv
academia.edu	breitbart.com	denverpost.com	fortune.com	ikea.com	met	1-493-exit.csv	1-909-client.csv	2-423-exit.csv	3-111-exit.csv	3-540-exit.csv	3-978-exit.csv
addthis.com	britannica.com	detik.com	foxnews.com	ilovepdf.com	mgi	1-494-client.csv	1-909-exit.csv	2-424-client.csv	3-112-client.csv	3-541-client.csv	3-979-client.csv
addtoany.com	businessinsider.com	deviantart.com	free.fr	imdb.com	mia	1-494-exit.csv	1-90-client.csv	2-424-exit.csv	3-112-exit.csv	3-541-exit.csv	3-97-client.csv
adp.com	bustle.com	dictionary.com	freepik.com	imgur.com	mic	1-495-client.csv	1-90-exit.csv	2-425-client.csv	3-113-client.csv	3-542-client.csv	3-97-exit.csv
adsrvr.org	buzzfeed.com	digg.com	ft.com	imore.com	mir	1-495-exit.csv	1-910-client.csv	2-425-exit.csv	3-113-exit.csv	3-542-exit.csv	3-980-client.csv
akismet.com	buzzfeednews.com	digicert.com	gamespot.com	indeed.com	mit	1-496-client.csv	1-910-exit.csv	2-426-client.csv	3-114-client.csv	3-543-client.csv	3-980-exit.csv
alibaba.com	cafemom.com	discordapp.com	gentius.com	independent.co.uk	mlb	1-496-exit.csv	1-911-client.csv	2-426-exit.csv	3-114-exit.csv	3-543-exit.csv	3-981-client.csv
aliexpress.com	ca.gov	dell.com	getpocket.com	instagram.com	moa	1-497-client.csv	1-911-exit.csv	2-427-client.csv	3-115-client.csv	3-544-client.csv	3-981-exit.csv
allegro.pl	cam.ac.uk	domainmarket.com	gfycat.com	instructables.com	mon	1-497-exit.csv	1-912-client.csv	2-427-exit.csv	3-115-exit.csv	3-544-exit.csv	3-982-client.csv
altervista.org	cambridge.org	dotomi.com	giphy.com	instructure.com	moz	1-498-client.csv	1-912-exit.csv	2-428-client.csv	3-116-client.csv	3-545-client.csv	3-982-exit.csv
amazonaws.com	canva.com	douban.com	github.com	intel.com	msn	1-498-exit.csv	1-913-client.csv	2-428-exit.csv	3-116-exit.csv	3-545-exit.csv	3-983-client.csv
amazon.com	carfax.com	doubleclick.net	github.io	intuit.com	mys	1-499-client.csv	1-913-exit.csv	2-429-client.csv	3-117-client.csv	3-546-client.csv	3-983-exit.csv
ameblo.jp	cars.com	doubleverify.com	gizmodo.com	ieo.org	mys	1-499-exit.csv	1-914-client.csv	2-429-exit.csv	3-117-exit.csv	3-547-client.csv	3-984-client.csv
americanexpress.com	casalemedia.com	douyu.com	glassdoor.com	issuu.com	mys	1-49-client.csv	1-915-client.csv	2-42-client.csv	3-118-client.csv	3-547-exit.csv	3-984-exit.csv
ampproject.org	cbc.ca	dribbble.com	globo.com	jianshu.com	nam	1-49-exit.csv	1-915-exit.csv	2-42-exit.csv	3-118-exit.csv	3-548-client.csv	3-985-client.csv
android-central.com	cbslocal.com	dropbox.com	gmail.com	jimdo.com	nas	1-4-client.csv	1-916-client.csv	2-430-client.csv	3-119-client.csv	3-548-exit.csv	3-985-exit.csv
android.com	cbsnews.com	dropcatch.com	gmw.cn	jquery.com	nat	1-4-exit.csv	1-916-exit.csv	2-430-exit.csv	3-119-exit.csv	3-549-client.csv	3-986-client.csv
answers.com	cbssports.com	drudgereport.com	gnu.org	kayak.com	nat	1-500-client.csv	1-917-client.csv	2-431-client.csv	3-11-client.csv	3-549-exit.csv	3-986-exit.csv
apache.org	cdc.gov	drugs.com	go.com	khanacademy.org	nbc	1-500-exit.csv	1-917-exit.csv	2-431-exit.csv	3-11-exit.csv	3-54-client.csv	3-987-client.csv
apnews.com	change.org	duckduckgo.com	godaddy.com	kickstarter.com	nbc	1-501-client.csv	1-918-client.csv	2-432-client.csv	3-120-client.csv	3-54-exit.csv	3-987-exit.csv
apnews.com	chase.com	dw.com	gofundme.com	kompas.com	ndt	1-501-exit.csv	1-918-exit.csv	2-432-exit.csv	3-120-exit.csv	3-550-client.csv	3-988-client.csv
apple.com	chaturbate.com	eater.com	goodreads.com	ladbible.com	nes	1-502-client.csv	1-919-client.csv	2-433-client.csv	3-121-client.csv	3-550-exit.csv	3-988-exit.csv
archive.org	cheatsheet.com	ebay.com	google.com	laratil.net	net	1-502-exit.csv	1-919-exit.csv	2-433-exit.csv	3-121-exit.csv	3-551-client.csv	3-989-client.csv
arnnet-verlehnd.de	chicagotribune.com	ebay-kleinanzeigen.de	grammarly.com	launchpad.net	new	1-503-client.csv	1-91-client.csv	2-434-client.csv	3-122-client.csv	3-551-exit.csv	3-989-exit.csv
arstechnica.com	china.com.cn	economist.com	gravatar.com	legacy.com	new	1-503-exit.csv	1-91-exit.csv	2-434-exit.csv	3-122-exit.csv	3-552-client.csv	3-98-client.csv
ask.com	choufty.ma	ed.gov	grid.id	lenovo.com	new	1-504-client.csv	1-920-client.csv	2-435-client.csv	3-123-client.csv	3-553-client.csv	3-98-exit.csv
asus.com	chron.com	eepurl.com	guardian.co.uk	letsencrypt.org	nfl	1-504-exit.csv	1-920-exit.csv	2-435-exit.csv	3-123-exit.csv	3-553-exit.csv	3-990-client.csv
att.com	citi.com	elegantthemes.com	haosi23.com	linkedin.com	ngi	1-505-client.csv	1-921-client.csv	2-436-client.csv	3-124-client.csv	3-554-client.csv	3-990-exit.csv
autodesk.com	cloudflare.com	elpais.com	harvard.edu	liputan6.com	ngi	1-505-exit.csv	1-921-exit.csv	2-436-exit.csv	3-124-exit.csv	3-555-client.csv	3-991-client.csv
avast.com	cmu.edu	elsevier.com	hbr.org	littlethings.com	nic	1-506-client.csv	1-922-client.csv	2-437-client.csv	3-125-client.csv	3-555-exit.csv	3-991-exit.csv
avito.ru	cnet.com	entrepreneur.com	hdfcbank.com	live.com	nic	1-506-exit.csv	1-922-exit.csv	2-437-exit.csv	3-125-exit.csv	3-556-client.csv	3-992-client.csv
bandcamp.com	cnn.com	eonline.com	healthgrades.com	livejasmin.com	nih	1-507-client.csv	1-923-client.csv	2-438-client.csv	3-126-client.csv	3-556-exit.csv	3-992-exit.csv
bankofamerica.com	columbia.edu	epa.gov	healthline.com	livejournal.com	nin	1-507-exit.csv	1-923-exit.csv	2-438-exit.csv	3-126-exit.csv	3-557-client.csv	3-993-client.csv
barnesandnoble.com	comicbook.com	epicgames.com	history101.com	livescience.com	noa	1-508-client.csv	1-924-client.csv	2-439-client.csv	3-127-client.csv	3-557-exit.csv	3-993-exit.csv
battle.net	constantcontact.com	espn.com	hola.org	loc.gov	npr	1-508-exit.csv	1-924-exit.csv	2-439-exit.csv	3-127-exit.csv	3-558-client.csv	3-994-client.csv
bbb.org	consumerreports.org	etsy.com	hollywoodreporter.com	lonelyplanet.com	nps	1-509-client.csv	1-925-client.csv	2-43-client.csv	3-128-client.csv	3-558-exit.csv	3-994-exit.csv
bbc.com	coolimba.com	ettoday.net	homedepot.com	looper.com	ntp	1-509-exit.csv	1-925-exit.csv	2-43-exit.csv	3-128-exit.csv	3-559-client.csv	3-995-client.csv
bbc.co.uk	cornell.edu	europa.eu	hometalk.com	ltn.com.tw	nyp	1-50-client.csv	1-926-client.csv	2-440-client.csv	3-129-client.csv	3-559-exit.csv	3-995-exit.csv
berkeley.edu	cosmopolitan.com	eventbrite.com	hootsuite.com	magiquiz.com	nyt	1-50-exit.csv	1-926-exit.csv	2-440-exit.csv	3-129-exit.csv	3-55-client.csv	3-996-client.csv
bet365.com	courser.org	evernote.com	hotels.com	mailchimp.com	off	1-510-client.csv	1-927-client.csv	2-441-client.csv	3-12-client.csv	3-55-exit.csv	3-996-exit.csv
bet9ja.com	cpanel.com	exelator.com	hotstar.com	mail.ru	oke	1-510-exit.csv	1-927-exit.csv	2-441-exit.csv	3-12-exit.csv	3-560-client.csv	3-997-client.csv
bidswitch.net	craigslist.org	facebook.com	howstuffworks.com	manoramaonline.com	ok.	1-511-client.csv	1-928-client.csv	2-442-client.csv	3-130-client.csv	3-560-exit.csv	3-997-exit.csv
bilibili.com	crashlytics.com	fandom.com	howtogeek.com	mapquest.com	onl	1-511-exit.csv	1-928-exit.csv	2-442-exit.csv	3-130-exit.csv	3-561-client.csv	3-998-exit.csv
bing.com	creativecommons.org	fantasypros.com	hp.com	mashable.com	onl	1-512-client.csv	1-929-client.csv	2-443-client.csv	3-131-client.csv	3-562-client.csv	3-999-client.csv
bit.ly	criteo.com	fastcompany.com	huanqiu.com	mathtag.com	ope	1-512-exit.csv	1-929-exit.csv	2-443-exit.csv	3-131-exit.csv	3-562-exit.csv	3-99-client.csv
blackboard.com	csdn.net	fastly.net	hubspot.com	mayoclinic.org	ope	1-513-client.csv	1-92-client.csv	2-444-client.csv	3-132-client.csv	3-563-client.csv	3-99-exit.csv
blogger.com	custhelp.com	fc2.com	huffpost.com	mediafire.com	ora	1-513-exit.csv	1-92-exit.csv	2-444-exit.csv	3-132-exit.csv	3-563-exit.csv	3-9-client.csv
bloomberg.com	dailycaller.com	fedex.com	hugedomains.com	medicalnewstoday.com	ora	1-514-client.csv	1-930-client.csv	2-445-client.csv	3-133-client.csv	3-564-client.csv	3-9-exit.csv
bobshideout.com	dailydot.com	finance101.com	hulu.com	medicinenet.com	otv	1-514-exit.csv	1-930-exit.csv	2-445-exit.csv	3-133-exit.csv	3-564-exit.csv	
bonappetit.com	dailykos.com	findagrave.com	ibm.com	medium.com	oup	1-515-client.csv	1-931-client.csv	2-446-client.csv	3-134-client.csv	3-565-client.csv	

State of the Art

Attack Techniques

- Machine learning:
  Make sense of metadata
- Deep learning:
  Automatic feature generation

Evaluation

- Pre-recorded data sets are always too small
- Scientific evaluation is unrealistic

Not relevant for the exam!



Website Fingerprinting on LTE



Mobile Data Connection

- Radio connection between UE and eNodeB
- eNodeB connects to core network
- Forwards website request

How do we get the attack traffic?

- **Option 1**: Malicious eNodeB records all traffic
  What happens when the eNodeB is malicious?
- **Option 2**: Wireless sniffer monitors radio connection
  What's the difference to wire tapping?

Website Request over LTE

Option 1: **eNodeB**

- Access to L1-L3
- LTE encryption

Option 2: **Sniffer**

- Access to air interface
- Only transmissions



Recall: Metadata

- timing
- count
- direction
- ...

Metadata in LTE?

Metadata of Encrypted Traffic



9

Metadata in LTE

Where do we get the metadata?

- The PDCP sub-layer gives us the *data*
- The MAC sub-layer gives us *identifiers*

Challenge:

- Physical transmission applies *encoding*
- Option 1: We directly get decoded information in the eNodeB
- Option 2: We must decode the recordings first



LTE Metadata: Raw Information

Raw Information

Uncompressed information from traffic

f_1	`rnti`	RNTI
f_2	`seq`	PDCP Sequence Number
f_3	`len`	PDCP Packet Length
f_4	`abs`	Absolute Timestamp
f_5	`rel`	Relative Timestamp



Demo: Finding the RNTI



RNTI

stands for Radio Network *Temporary* Identifier. They are used to differentiate between multiple connected UEs.

Why do we need the RNTI?

- MAC sub-layer manages active radio connections
- Every active connection has its own RNTI
- There are many different types of RNTI
- For now we just treat this as a unique and temporary identifier

Demo: Finding PDCP Traffic



Demo: Finding PDCP Traffic

What did you recognize?

- With the right decoding we see TCP packets.
  To make life easier the encryption is disabled.
- `Context (RNTI=70)`
  This is the same RNTI as in the initial MAC packet.
- `PDCP-LTE (SN=2)(52 bytes data)`
  `PDCP-LTE (SN=3)(52 bytes data)`
  There are multiple PDCP packets inside one TCP packet.

PDCP

transport the control plane and user plane data and can apply features like header compression, ciphering, or integrity protection.

Why do we look at PDCP packets?

- They transport the main data
- It's the data we see on the air interface or in the eNodeB
- We can derive several traffic features that relate to the transmission

LTE Metadata: Compressed Information



Compressed Information

Aggregated in windows of 500ms length

f_1	`win`	Window Index
f_2	`cnt`	Num. Packets in Window
f_3	`iat`	Avg. Inter-Arrival Time
f_4	`byt`	Tot. Data in Window
f_5	`seq`	Avg. Sequence Number

Demo: Malicious eNodeB

If you want to follow along or repeat this later:

- With SDR: Ettus USRP B2x0/B205mini/X3x0, LimeSDR, bladeRF
- Clone, install dependencies, build
- Without SDR: Docker version, integrated channel model

Setting this up is annoying!

Detailed steps can be found in the work sheet, if you get stuck just ask.

Requirements and Preparations

```
# clone, install dependencies, build
# plugin SDR, antennas, ...
sudo srsepc # start EPC in terminal 1
sudo srsenb # start eNodeB in terminal 2
```

Website Fingerprinting: Summary

- **Problem**: Traffic is encrypted but metadata leaks information.
- **Metadata**: Timings, frequencies, sizes, directions, ...
- **WF**: Classification attack where pre-recorded data set is compared to attack trace.
- **WF on LTE**: Monitor traffic in eNodeB or at air interface
- **Features**: RNTI, PDCP, timing.
- **Demos**: Finding information in PCAP traces, try this at home!

Website Fingerprinting: Exam Examples

- Recall the protocol stack. Where is the air interface? Why is there an IP-layer in the stack? What's the difference between the UE stack and the eNodeB stack? What is the control plane, what is the user plane?
- What protocols are part of the second layer in the LTE stack?
- Name examples of LTE metadata information, this can be either raw information or compressed information.
- What is the tool we used to take a closer look at PCAP traces?
- In the context of WF, what is the RNTI used for? What kind of RNTI do we see in the MAC packets of the eNodeB trace?
  We'll learn more about this in the next part.

Attack 2: Identity Mapping

Required Background

- Identifiers
- Connection establishment
- Uplink and downlink sniffer

Identity Mapping Idea

ID_{temp}

33

ID_{perm}

12

ID_{temp}

48

ID_{perm}

12

Match IDs

$$ID_{temp} \rightarrow ID_{perm}$$

Temporary and Permanent Identifiers

From permanent to temporary... or from critical to uncritical

- IMSI: Lifelong identifier, does not reset
- TMSI: Semi-permanent random ID, can be reset if needed
- RNTI: Temporary ID, updated with every new session

We'll see more details about IMSIs, TMSIs, and RNTIs later in this lecture. For now this is enough.

Attack Concept

ID_{temp}

ID_{temp}

...

ID_{perm}

ID_{perm}

Monitor Communication

- Connection establishment exchanges messages between the UE and the eNodeB
- They're first addressed using the RNTI
- Later when everything is in place, they can switch to the TMSI
- Recording both, the $ID_{temp} = RNTI$ and the $ID_{perm} = TMSI$, is the goal
- **Allows to match the identifiers!**

Identity Mapping Attack



Different types of RNTI exist:

- **RA-RNTI**: Random Access RNTI. Used for PRACH Response.
- **C-RNTI**: Cell RNTI. Used for the transmission to a specific UE after RACH.
- P-RNTI: Paging RNTI. Used for Paging Message.
- SI-RNTI: System Information RNTI. Used for transmission of SIB messages
- T-CRNTI: Temporary C-RNTI. Mainly used during RACH
- SPS-C-RNTI, TPC-PUCCH-RNTI, TPC-PUSCH-RNTI, M-RNTI, CC-RNTI, G-RNTI, SC-RNTI, SL-RNTI, SC-N-RNTI, eIMTA-RNTI

RA-RNTI



Random Access Preamble

- UE determines the value of the RA-RNTI
- $RA - RNTI = 1 + t_{id} + 10 * f_{id}$
- t_{id} is the index of the first subframe of the specified PRACH
- f_{id} is the index of the specified PRACH
- Physical Random Access Channel: UE requests uplink resources from eNodeB

RA-RNTI



Random Access Preamble (Simplified)

- UE determines the value of the RA-RNTI
- **There are only ten possible RA-RNTIs**
- $RA - RNTI \in [1..10]$

C-RNTI



Random Access Response

- UE determines the value of the RA-RNTI
- **There are only ten possible RA-RNTIs**
- $RA - RNTI \in [0..9]$



RRC Connection Request

- UE requests the connection and sends its TMSI
- **Match between C-RNTI and TMSI**



RRC Connection Setup

- eNodeB setups the connection
- **Match between C-RNTI and TMSI**

TMSI and IMSI

TMSI

Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity, randomly assigned temporary identity. For security reasons, the TMSI is a placeholder for the unique IMSI of a user. It can be updated after a certain time period.

IMSI

International Mobile Subscriber Identity, uniquely identifies every mobile user. It is *not* the identifier of the SIM card, but still part of the profile.

The TMSI is used for security reasons!
It can be reset if compromised. The IMSI cannot be reset.

Identification Attack: Summary

- **Challenge**: Learn the identifier of a specific user.
- **Problem**: C-RNTI is different in every new session
- **Solution**: Try to learn the TMSI! It's temporary but is rarely updated.
- **Uplink**: Monitor the RRC Connection Request.
- **Downlink**: Monitor the RRC Connection Setup
- **Result**: Match C-RNTI to TMSI $\rightarrow$ Identity!

Identification Attack: Exam Examples

- Sketch the principle of the Identity Mapping Attack (draw the protocol, know everything in bold font)
- What is the difference between the RA-RNTI and the C-RNTI?
- What is the difference between the C-RNTI and the TMSI?
- Explain what the TMSI is. Why is the TMSI used instead of the IMSI?
- Explain what the IMSI is.

Attack 3: User Data Redirection

User Data Redirection?

DNS requests simplified:

- User wants to visit a site
- Asks the DNS Server for directions
- DNS server looks around
- Responds
- User contacts HTTP Server



Three Attack Components

(1) **Plaintext Modification**

(2) DNS Spoofing

(3) Man-in-the-Middle

Plaintext Modification



Plaintext Modification

Feature	Control Plane	User Plane
Encryption	✓	✓
Integrity Protection	✓	✗



There is no integrity protection for user plane traffic!

Data Integrity

Nobody fiddled with the data:

- Original message arrives at the recipient
- Not changed along the way



31

Known-Plaintext Attack



Known-Plaintext Attack

- PDCP encrypts IP packet
- Stream cipher: AES in counter mode
- XOR manipulation mask m
- Deterministic manipulation
- Manipulation remains undetected...
  But why?

No user plane integrity protection 👎



How can we achieve this?

Three Attack Components

(1) Plaintext Modification ✔

(2) **DNS Spoofing**

(3) Man-in-the-Middle

Manipulation Mask





Example: DNS Spoofing

Spoofing DNS Requests

- Why do we know the plaintext? Providers have standard DNS resolvers!
- Prepare a mask that flips bits like we need it
- Add the mask to create the manipulated c'
- Receiver recovers plaintext $m' \neq m$



How can we achieve this?

Three Attack Components

(1) Plaintext Modification ✔

(2) DNS Spoofing ✔

(3) **Man-in-the-Middle**

The aLTEr attack



The aLTEr Attack

Bringing it all together:

(1) UE and eNodeB conduct AKA (authentication and key agreement) → Connection is established and ready to use

(2) UE sends website request including the `destIP` of the Original DNS Server

(3) Malicious eNodeB recognizes the request and replaces it with a new `destIP` of the Malicious DNS Server

(4) Malicious DNS server responds with address of Malicious HTTP Server

(5) Malicious eNodeB recognizes response and replaces the malicious `srcIP` with the one of the intended DNS server `srcIP`

(6) UE now has spoofed response and sends website request to the Malicious HTTP Server. **Unrecognized because of missing integrity protection!**

Three Attack Components

(1) Plaintext Modification ✔

(2) DNS Spoofing ✔

(3) Man-in-the-Middle ✔

Summary

Attacks: Summary

Three L2 Attacks

(1) Website Fingerprinting
- Metadata information in LTE
- Classification attack

(2) Identity Mapping
- Temporary and permanent identifiers
- Matching them by passive sniffing

(3) User Data Redirection
- Known-plaintext attack
- Man-in-the-middle
- DNS spoofing