

模式识别作业3

张祎扬 181840326 匡亚明学院本科生

1.讲义6习题3

$$(a) \kappa_2(X) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(X)}{\lambda_{\min}(X)}} = \frac{\sigma_{\max}(X)}{\sigma_{\min}(X)} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}$$

(b) 假设 A 精确, b 有误差 δb

$$\begin{aligned} A(\delta x + x) &= b + \delta b \\ \text{because } Ax &= b, \text{ so } \delta x = A^{-1} \delta b \\ \text{because } \|\delta x\| &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\| \text{ and } \|b\| = \|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\| \\ \text{so } \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \kappa_2(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} \end{aligned}$$

说明右端项的相对误差 $\frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$ 在解中可能放大了 $\kappa_2(A)$ 倍.

假设 b 精确, A 有误差 δA

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) &= b \quad \delta x = -A^{-1} \delta A(x + \delta x) \\ \text{so } \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \kappa_2(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \end{aligned}$$

说明矩阵 A 的相对误差 $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$ 在解中可能放大了 $\kappa_2(A)$ 倍.

所以如果 $\kappa_2(A)$ 很大, 说明该线性系统是病态的.

(c) 正交矩阵有性质 $A^T A = I$, 所以 $\kappa_2(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A^T A)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(I)}{\lambda_{\min}(I)}} = 1$. 条件数较小, 所以正交矩阵是良态的.

2.讲义6习题6

(a) ORL人脸数据集共包含40个不同人的400张图像, 此数据集下包含40个目录, 每个目录下有10张图像, 每个目录表示一个不同的人。所有的图像是以PGM格式存储, 灰度图, 图像大小宽度为92, 高度为112。对每一个目录下的图像, 这些图像是在不同的时间、不同的光照、不同的面部表情(睁眼/闭眼, 微笑/不微笑)和面部细节(戴眼镜/不戴眼镜)环境下采集的。所有的图像是在较暗的均匀背景下拍摄的, 拍摄的是正脸(有些带有略微的侧偏)。

参考原文链接: <https://blog.csdn.net/fengbingchun/java/article/details/79008891>

(b) 已下载和学习

(c) PCA的识别效果比FLD强一些, 因为PCA的目的是最大化方差, 不考虑类别, 所以PCA所求得的特征值也相对较大。FLD考虑类别, 目标是尽可能分开, 并不是简单地最大化方差, 效果不如PCA。

(d)不断增加eigenfaces,发现当eigenfaces的数量大概为320左右, 重构的人脸与原始输入图像难以区分。

3.讲义7习题1

(a)已了解

(b)

i.

```
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 svmguide1.txt model
...*...
optimization finished, #iter = 5371
nu = 0.606150
obj = -1061.528918, rho = -0.495266
nSV = 3053, nBSV = 722
Total nSV = 3053
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-predict svmguide1.t model output
Accuracy = 66.925% (2677/4000) (classification)
```

使用默认参数的准确率是66.925%

ii.

```
x zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-scale -l -1 -u 1 -s range1 svmguide1.txt > svmguide1.scale
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-scale -r range1 svmguide1.t > svmguide1.t.scale
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-train svmguide1.scale
*
optimization finished, #iter = 496
nu = 0.202599
obj = -507.307046, rho = 2.627039
nSV = 630, nBSV = 621
Total nSV = 630
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-predict svmguide1.t.scale svmguide1.scale.model svmguide1.t.predict
Accuracy = 96.15% (3846/4000) (classification)
```

测试集的准确率是96.15%

iii.

```
optimization finished, #iter = 3509115
nu = 0.121917
obj = -376.234540, rho = 5.887607
nSV = 381, nBSV = 375
Total nSV = 381
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-predict svmguide1.t model output
Accuracy = 95.675% (3827/4000) (classification)
```

使用线性核的准确率为95.675%

iv.

```
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 -c 1000 svmguide1.txt model
...*...
optimization finished, #iter = 6383
nu = 0.000721
obj = -1114.038221, rho = -0.407723
nSV = 3001, nBSV = 0
Total nSV = 3001
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ./svm-predict svmguide1.t model output
Accuracy = 70.475% (2819/4000) (classification)
```

使用C=1000以及RBF核的准确率是70.475%

v.超参数C=2, $\gamma = 2$, 准确率是96.875%

从这些实验中, 我学到了超参数的选择, 内核函数的选择, 以及是否对特征进行规范化对模型的准确率有很大的影响。因此, 如果想要尽可能地提高模型的预测准确率, 那么选择合适的参数和方法就显得尤为重要。

(c)找到不平衡数据集svmguide3

```
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 svmguide3.txt model3
*
optimization finished, #iter = 535
nu = 0.452614
obj = -545.901031, rho = -0.985060
nSV = 570, nBSV = 552
Total nSV = 570
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-predict svmguide3.t model3 output3
Accuracy = 2.43902% (1/41) (classification)
用默认参数训练准确率极低，只有2.43902%
```

```
* zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 -w1 2 svmguide3.txt model3
*
optimization finished, #iter = 728
obj = -1014.019545, rho = -2.318946
nSV = 815, nBSV = 805
Total nSV = 815
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-predict svmguide3.t model3 output3
Accuracy = 34.1463% (14/41) (classification)
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 -w1 4 svmguide3.txt model3
*
optimization finished, #iter = 903
obj = -1576.553413, rho = -3.694247
nSV = 1055, nBSV = 1046
Total nSV = 1055
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-predict svmguide3.t model3 output3
Accuracy = 78.0488% (32/41) (classification)
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-train -s 0 -t 2 -w1 8 svmguide3.txt model3
*
WARNING: using -h 0 may be faster
*
optimization finished, #iter = 1230
obj = -1871.652637, rho = -2.116360
nSV = 1074, nBSV = 1057
Total nSV = 1074
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/libsvm-3.24 ➤ ./svm-predict svmguide3.t model3 output3
Accuracy = 100% (41/41) (classification)
```

当使用参数-wi时，赋予class 1不同的权重，可以发现随着权重的增加，准确率越来越高。2时准确率是34.1463%，4时是78.0488%，而当权重是8时准确率就已经达到了100%。由此可以看出，-wi参数在处理数据时非常有用，适当的参数值可以对准确率产生非常正面的影响。

4.讲义8习题2

(a)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p_1(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_1}{x^{\alpha+1}}dx = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{-\alpha} \right) x^{\alpha} \Big|_{x_m}^{+\infty} = \frac{c_1}{\alpha} x_m^{-\alpha} = 1$$
$$\text{so } c_1 = \alpha x_m^{\alpha}$$
$$\text{so } p_1(x) = \frac{\alpha x_m^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha+1}} [x \geq x_m]$$

所以X服从 $Pareto(x_m, \alpha)$.

(b)

$$L(\alpha, x_m) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | \alpha, x_m)$$

$$1. \text{when } \exists x_k < x_m, L(\alpha, x_m) = 0$$

$$2. \text{when } x_k \geq x_m (\forall k), L(\alpha, x_m) \neq 0$$

$$\ln L(\alpha, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln p(x_i | \alpha, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \frac{\alpha x_m^\alpha}{x_i^{\alpha+1}} = n \ln \alpha + n \alpha \ln x_m - (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

$$\text{so } \frac{\partial \ln L(\alpha, x_m)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n \alpha}{x_m} > 0$$

所以 $L(\alpha, x_m)$ 随着 x_m 单调递增, 当 x_m 取最大值时取最大值, 又因为 $x_m \leq x_k, \forall k$, 所以 $x_m = x_{\min}$.

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\alpha, x_m)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + n \ln x_m - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = 0$$

$$\text{so } \alpha = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - n \ln x_m} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \ln x_m}$$

所以当 $x_m = x_{\min}, \alpha = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \ln x_m}$ 时是最大似然估计。

(c)

$$p(\theta | x_m, k) = \text{Pareto}(x_m, k) = \frac{k x_m^k}{\theta^{k+1}} (\theta \geq x_m)$$

$$p(D | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta^n}$$

$$p(\theta | D) = \frac{p(D | \theta) * p(\theta | x_m, k)}{p(D)} = c \frac{1}{\theta^n} \frac{k x_m^k}{\theta^{k+1}}$$

$$\text{because } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c \frac{k x_m^k}{\theta^{n+k+1}} d\theta = 1, \text{ so } c = \frac{(n+k) x_m^n}{k}$$

$$\text{so } p(\theta | D) = \frac{(n+k) x_m^n}{k} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \frac{k x_m^k}{\theta^{k+1}} = \frac{(n+k) x_m^{n+k}}{\theta^{(n+k)+1}}$$

当 $\theta < x_m$ 时, $p(\theta | x_m, k) = 0$, 所以 $p(\theta | D) = 0$ 。

综上, $p(\theta | D) = \frac{(n+k) x_m^{n+k}}{\theta^{(n+k)+1}} [\theta \geq x_m]$ 也是一个 Pareto 分布 $\text{Pareto}(x_m, n+k)$ 。

5.讲义9习题6

(a)已学习

(b)

```
zhangyiyang@MacBook-Pro ~/Downloads/liblinear-2.30 ./predict mnist.t model output
Accuracy = 84.17% (8417/10000)
```

使用默认参数的准确率是84.17%。

(c)使用数据变换之后准确率变为91.7%，提高了。

(d)将优化表示为对偶形式的拉格朗日函数，

$$L(w, b, \alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i^T x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$$

$$\min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i^T x_j) - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

当开根变换之后，优化目标的第一项变小，优化效果更好。

6.讲义10习题2

(a)d需要满足的4个条件是：

1. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$. 对称性
2. $0 \leq d(x, y) < +\infty$ 非负性
3. $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ 同一性
4. $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ 三角不等式

(b)KL散度不是一个有效的距离度量。

$$KL(A||A) = \sum_x p(x) \log_2 1 = 0$$

for the same reason, $KL(B||B) = KL(C||C) = 0$

$$KL(A||B) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{4}{3} > 0$$

$$KL(A||C) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 4 + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{4}{7} = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{16}{7} > 0$$

$$KL(B||A) = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \log_2 \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{27}{16} > 0$$

$$KL(B||C) = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 2 + \frac{3}{4} \log_2 \frac{6}{7} = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{432}{343} > 0$$

$$KL(C||A) = \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} + \frac{7}{8} \log_2 \frac{7}{4} = \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{7^7}{4^8} > 0$$

$$KL(C||B) = \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{8} \log_2 \frac{7}{6} = \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{7^7}{2 * 6^7} > 0$$

- 1.不满足对称性。 $KL(A||B) \neq KL(B||A)$.
- 2.满足非负性
- 3.满足 $KL(A||A) = KL(B||B) = KL(C||C) = 0$.
- 4.不满足。 $KL(A||B) + KL(B||C) < KL(A||C)$

(c)测试代码如下：

```
1 import math
2 a = [0.5, 0.5]
3 b = [0.25, 0.75]
4 c = [0.125, 0.875]
5 def KL(x, y):
6     sum=0
```

```

7     for i in range(0,len(x)):
8         sum += x[i]*math.log2(x[i]/y[i])
9     return sum
10 #测试交换律
11 if KL(a,b) == KL(b,a) and KL(a,c) == KL(c,a) and KL(b,c) == KL(c,b):
12     print("符合性质1对称性")
13 else:
14     print("不符合对称性")
15 #测试非负性
16 list = [a,b,c]
17 flag = 1
18 for i in range(0,len(list)):
19     for j in range(0,len(list)):
20         if KL(list[i],list[j])<0:
21             flag = 0;
22 if flag == 0:
23     print("不符合非负性")
24 else:
25     print("符合非负性")
26 #测试性质3
27 sign = 1
28 for i in range(len(list)):
29     if KL(list[i],list[i]) != 0:
30         sign = 0
31 if sign == 0:
32     print("不符合同一性")
33 else:
34     print("符合同一性")
35 #测试三角公式
36 ok = 1
37 for i in range(len(list)):
38     if KL(list[(i-1)%3],list[i]) + KL(list[i],list[(i+1)%3]) < KL(list[(i-1)%3],list[(i+1)%3]):
39         ok = 0
40 if ok == 0:
41     print("不符合三角不等式")
42 else:
43     print("符合三角不等式")

```

输出的结果如下：

```
/usr/local/bin/python3 /Users/zhangyiyang/workspace/python_practice/patternreg.py
```

不符合对称性

符合非负性

符合同一性

不符合三角不等式

7.讲义10习题6

设 $p(x)$ 是满足条件的指数分布，则 $p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} (x \geq 0)$

$$h_p(X) = - \int_0^{+\infty} p(x) \ln p(x) dx = - \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \ln(\lambda e^{-\lambda x}) dx = -\ln \lambda + 1$$

设 $q(x)$ 是任意分布

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln(p(x)) dx &= - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln(\lambda e^{-\lambda x}) dx = - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) (\ln \lambda - \lambda x) dx \\ &= -\ln \lambda \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \lambda x dx = -\ln \lambda * 1 + \lambda \int_0^{+\infty} x q(x) dx = -\ln \lambda + \lambda E(X) \\ &= -\ln \lambda + \lambda \mu = -\ln \lambda + 1 \end{aligned}$$

令 $f(x) = h_q(x) - h_p(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln(q(x)) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} p(x) \ln(p(x)) dx \\ &= - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln(q(x)) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln(p(x)) dx \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx \leq \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} - 1 \right) dx = \int_0^{+\infty} p(x) dx - \int_0^{+\infty} q(x) dx = 1 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

所以，对于任意分布 $q(x)$, $h_q(x) \leq h_p(x)$, 也就是说，参数为 $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu}$ 的指数分布是在这样约束条件的最大熵分布。