Lab Assignment 3

Due Monday, 25 November 2019 at 12 noon (before lecture)

Read all questions carefully before answering. You may work in small groups of no more than 3 individuals and turn in a single assignment (and everyone in the group will receive the same grade). Work through the entire assignment individually first, then come together to discuss and collaborate. Please type your responses, **show your work, and please keep answers brief.**

Directions:

Use the dataset frmgham_recoded.Rdata and code provided herein to explore the relationship between smoking status at baseline and time to death in the Framingham cohort.

```
load("frmgham_recoded.Rdata")
library(survival)

#CREATE A SINGLE-RECORD DATASET (retain 1st observation)
frmgham_recoded <- frmgham_recoded[which(frmgham_recoded$period == 1),]</pre>
```

The relevant variables for this analysis are:

- time_yrs (time of entry into study)
- timedth yrs (time of death)
- death (indicator of death [=1] or censored [=0])
- cursmoke (indicator of current smoking status: yes (1) vs. no(0))
- age (age in years)
- sex (variable denoting male (1) or female (2); use 1 as referent category)
- educ (educational status, nominal categories 1-4; use 1 as referent category)

Adapting the code presented in the lecture, and the additional piece below, complete the following tasks:

Describing survival data (Questions 1-2, part of 7)

- Referring to the code from the lecture notes, plot the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function for each smoking category (using the variable cursmoke) for these data.
- Using the code below, calculate the number of events and number of person-years in each exposure group:

Proportional hazards modeling (Questions 3-8)

- Referring to the code from the lecture notes, use the logrank test to determine if there are any differences in survival between the smoking groups.
- **Using a Cox proportional hazards regression model,** estimate the association between current smoking status (at baseline) and time to death. Estimate 2 models:
 - An unadjusted model (only including smoking status), and
 - An adjusted model that also includes age (continuous), sex (binary) and education (4-category) in this model. (For nominal categorical variables, you may need to use the factor() operator in the formula as demonstrated in class.)
- Estimate a model with **an interaction between inear follow-up time** and <u>all</u> of the covariates in the model (*Hint: you will need to use the 'tt()' function within the 'coxph' command.*)

2

Questions:

- 1. Using the Kaplan-Meier plots, graphically assess the relationship between baseline smoking status and time to death. **Briefly interpret what you see.** In 1-2 sentences describe the limitations of this approach. [include the graph, labeled **Figure 1**] (10 points)
- 2. **Referring to the code from lecture**, are you able to calculate the overall median survival time in this case? If so, provide an estimate of this quantity, if not, describe why and provide an estimate of a percentile of survival time (of your choice). Interpret the quantity that you estimated. **(20 points)**
- 3. Answer the following questions about the log-rank test: (10 points total)
 - i) Describe the specific null and alternative hypotheses that the logrank test is considering here.
 - ii) What do you conclude from this test (use 5% significance criteria)? List a limitation of the inference that you obtain from the log-rank test?
- 4. Answer the following questions about the Cox models estimated above: (20 points total)
 - i) Why do we use specialized methods for survival analysis (instead of linear or logistic regression, for example) (Hint: see readings from Vittinghoff *et al.* (2012) text.)?
 - ii) What are the advantages of the Cox model over other survival analysis methods? What is a potential disadvantage of the Cox model?
 - iii) What assumptions, if any, does the standard Cox proportional hazards model make?
 - iv) Compare the test of the smoking-mortality association between the log-rank test and the likelihood ratio test from the <u>unadjusted</u> Cox proportional hazards model. What do you observe? Between these two analytic approaches, which one would you prefer, and why?
- 5. Write the equation for the log-hazard function for the *adjusted* model you estimated. **Clearly define all functions, terms (covariates), and parameters in the model. (20 points)**
- 6. Complete the following table. How would you interpret the parameter estimate that compares smokers to non-smokers in the **adjusted model**? What measure of association common in epidemiologic research does this correspond to? (10 points)

Table 1: Crude and adjusted hazard ratio (HR) estimates of the association between baseline smoking status and mortality. Framingham Cohort Study. 1948-1972, Framingham, MA.

Smoker	Events	Follow-up Time (yrs)	crude HR (95% CI)	adj. HR (95% CI)
No				
Yes				

7. Based on the model that included covariate-by-time interactions, is there evidence for a violation of the proportional hazards assumption in any of the variables? Indicate how you arrived at your conclusion. In 1-2 sentences describe in general how you would account for any violations in the proportional hazards assumption (ignoring whether or not there were significant differences here). (10 points)