# Forensic Face Sketch Construction and Recognition using Deep Learning Techniques

Pasunuri Kathyayini<sup>1</sup>, Cheera Rohan<sup>2</sup>, Gillala Vaishak Reddy<sup>3</sup>, T. Sai Sree<sup>4</sup>

1,2,3 Student, Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science,
 Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology
 Assistant Professor, Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science,
 Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology

**Abstract:** The ability to construct and recognise face sketches is central to forensic science, particularly where photographs are not available. This review considers recent progress in forensic face sketch synthesis and recognition, including cross-domain compatibility, identity preservation, and robustness to style variations in sketches. Among several deep learning methods surveyed are convolutional neural networks (CNNs), generative adversarial networks (GANs), transfer learning models, feature transformation techniques, embedding networks, and domain adaptation techniques. Leading techniques, including Swish activation on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), U-Net with twin discriminators, cyclical GANs, and domain-alignment embedding networks are assessed under forensic constraints including face-matching accuracy, generalisation, and computation speed for real-time deployment. In particular, GAN-based methods, including ones that utilise identity-preserving modules and attentively weighted components, are outstanding at generating recognisable, life-like sketches. While CNN models have high accuracy and generalise well beyond any one viewpoint, they tend to lose fine facial detail that's important for forensic purposes. Hybrid models, combining transformers and attribute-specific learning paradigms—such as feature decoupling and domain balancing—show promise for enhancing identity retention, particularly with low-resolution images. Although progress has been remarkable, computational expense, diversity of sketch styles and the need to ensure reliability in the real world are all outstanding issues. This paper offers a broad review of these developments, examining methods chronologically and evaluating the pros, cons and future research requirements of forensic face sketch recognition.

*Keywords:* Forensic Science, Facial Sketches, Face recognition, Generative Adversarial Networks, Convolutional Neural Networks, Identity-preserving modules, Domain alignment embedding networks

#### 1. Introduction:

Forensic science is so important to the criminal justice system, it investigates crimes, finds suspects. Used as a visual cue to identify suspects from database searches, especially when there is no photographic evidence, facial sketches are a visual interpretation of eyewitness accounts. Yet traditional sketch recognition has a domain gap between hand-drawn sketches and photographs — sketches typically lack the texture, shading and detail of photos. This mismatch, combined with the inconsistent quality of sketches that's contingent on the skills of the artist, the accuracy of the witness's memory and the time elapsed since the event, throws doubt on the reliability of automated sketch-photo-matching systems. Contemporary machine learning, in particular deep learning, has transformed sketch recognition. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have advanced the state of the art in recovering facial features from sketches, and generative adversarial networks (GANs) enable us to synthesise sketches into photos, so moving in the direction of filling in the domain gap. Other new techniques, such as domain alignment and identity-preserving models, also help to make sketch and photo representations more similar, so that recognition becomes more accurate. This paper reviews these developments, outlining the critical steps that have been taken to address the problems of forensic sketch, and analysing the strengths and weaknesses of each method. Future possibilities for improvement are also pointed out, paving the way for more robust forensic sketch recognition systems.

#### 2. Literature Review:

Forensic face sketch recognition by means of machine learning has progressed quickly, in part because of deep learning models that are equipped to handle the specific ways in which to compare sketches and photos. This part reviews important models, grouping the tasks and dissecting each approach's solution to those problems, highlighting the reliability and efficiency of these methods where they exist.

#### 2.1. Bridging the Domain Gap Between Sketches and Photos

The domain gap between sketches and photos is one of the main difficulties in forensic face recognition, and it's that sketches usually don't capture the fine details of real images, and that they are stylistically different. Most deep learning models overcome this lack of overlap by mapping sketches into some space closer to photos.

# Domain Alignment Embedding Networks [1]

Another way to reduce the domain gap is the Domain Alignment Embedding Network. By projecting sketch and photo features into a common domain space, this technique enables more robust matching. Usually paired with CNN models, domain alignment has boosted accuracy on multiple databases, by synthesising the feature representations of sketches and photos.

# Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [2]

Especially cyclic GANs, which have been very successful at changing sketches to look like photos. One example is the cyclic GAN architecture, which involves two generators and two discriminators that collaborate to impose cycle consistency. It preserves identity while mapping sketch features into a photorealistic space, with strong results on multiple datasets.

Table 1: Literature Survey of papers related to bridging the domain gap between sketches and photos

Ref. No.	Keywords	Domain	Datasets used	Methods used	<b>Evaluation Metrics</b>	Future Work
1	Sketch face recognition, feature embedding network, deep metric learning, small sample problem.	Sketch-based Face Recognition	S1. UoM- SGFSv2 - set A S2. UoM- SGFSv2 - set B S3. PRIP- VSGC	Domain Alignment Embedding Network	Rank-1: 1. S1 - 68.53% 2. S2 - 74% Rank-10: 1. S1 - 92.4% 2. S2 - 95.2% 3. S3 - 63.2% Rank-50: 1. S1 - 97.47% 2. S2 - 99.07%	-Diverse Sketch Styles: Enhance model robustness by incorporating various artistic and cartoon sketch stylesCross-Demographic Recognition: Improve recognition accuracy across different ethnicities, age groups, and gendersReal-Time Implementation: Optimize DAEN for deployment in real-time applications, like surveillance systems and mobile apps.
2	Face photo- sketch, synthesis, image-to- image translation, generative adversarial network, encoder.	Face-Photo Sketch Synthesis, Image-to- image translation, Face Recognition	1. CUFS - Chinese University of Hong Kong Face Sketch Database - 606 faces 2. CUFSF - CUHK Face Sketch FERET - 1194 images	framework which consists of two generators and	Photo->Sketch: 1. SSIM Score: CUFS: 0.5182 CUFSF: 0.3478 2. LPIPS:CUFS- 0.2212 CUFSF- 0.2499 Sketch->Photo: 1. SSIM Score: CUFS- 0.6325 CUFSF- 0.5842 2. LPIPS: CUFS- 0.1830 CUFSF: 0.2523 Face Recognition Accuracy: 1. CUFS- 95.34 2. CUFSF- 100	- Apply Progressive Training: Improve detail by gradually increasing resolution Use Adaptive Loss Functions: Balance realism and identity retention dynamically - Multi-Domain Adaptability: Adapt EGGAN for varied sketch styles and conditions Cross-Demographic Inclusivity: Train on diverse datasets for unbiased synthesis Real-Time Optimization: Enhance efficiency for real-time forensic applications.

#### 2.2. Identity Preservation Across Transformations

Preservation of facial features in sketch morphing is essential for forensic accuracy. Those models that maintain identity information across domains have been more successful at producing recognisable faces, a need in criminal investigations.

# Identity-Preserving Attribute Models (IPAMs) [3]

Identity-preserving modules, for example those in GAN networks, are incorporated to keep important facial features stable amid transformations. For instance, IPAMs paired with cyclic GANs have reached recognition rates of more than 85 per cent on difficult datasets, demonstrating that retaining distinctive identity characteristics greatly helps recognition performance.

# Decoupling Learning with LightCNN [4]

Feature decoupling learning extracts identity-related features from the rest of the face, so that transformations do not change important identity features. LightCNN coupled with ArcFace as a feature extractor uses decoupled features, which maintain over 90 per cent accuracy in controlled studies by minimizing variance introduced by non-identity factors, such as lighting or slight facial characteristics.

Table 2: Literature Survey of papers related to Identity Preservation Across Transformations

Ref. No.	Keywords	Problem Domain	Datasets Used	Methods Used	Evaluation Metrics	Future Work
3	Face sketch-photo synthesis, generative adversarial networks, image generation, image-to-image translation.	Face Synthesis, Image to image translation	Chinese	A U-Net generator with two discriminators, optimized by leaky ReLU and Adam, alongside identity-verifying models (ResNet-50 and LightCNN-29v2)	Rank-1: 1.CUFS: ResNet-50- 0.8 LightCNN-29v2 - 0.78 2. CUFSF: ResNet-50- 0.737 LightCNN-29v2- 0.701  VR@FAR=0.1%: 1. CUFS: ResNet-50 - 0.58 LightCNN-29v2 - 0.48 2. CUFSF: ResNet-50-0.608 LightCNN-29v2- 0.598	- Handling diverse sketch styles: Adapt model to various sketch types Cross-Racial and Age-inclusive models: Broaden demographic coverage and inclusivity Real-time synthesis and recognition: Enable instant face matching from sketches Integration with other modalities: Combine with infrared or thermal imaging Synthetic Data Generation for Low-Resource contexts: Create data for underrepresented populations.
4	Low-resolution face recognition, face super-resolution, identity-aware learning, magnitude loss.	Low- resolution face recognition	1. LFW 2. CelebA	Feature decoupling learning to separate identity-related (angle), Quality-related (magnitude) components, LightCNN_v9 for feature extraction, Cosine similarity evaluation for downscaled faces, and Identity-aware superresolution network architecture to enhance identity-related details in low-resolution faces.	1. Accuracy: LFW: LightCNN-v9- 98.46% LightCNN-v9- 98.98% 2. Rank-1: CelebA: LightCNN-v9- 30.39% LightCNN-v29- 41.36%	Exploring adaptive resolution scaling techniques

#### 2.3. Cross-Domain Feature Consistency and Enhancement Techniques

Another complicating factor in forensic sketch recognition is the variability in sketch style and quality, which depends on the skill of the artist and the accuracy of the witness's memory. To get around this, lately available models incorporate methods that normalise or standardise the features in sketches.

# Dual-View Normalization with Light-CNN [5]

This method addresses, in particular, the stylistic and qualitative variation in sketches produced by different artists. By making these variations into the norm, the technique makes facial feature representations more robust, so that cross-view recognition is more consistent and reliable.

## Graph-Regularized Locality-Constrained Joint Dictionary Learning [6]

This method works on low-resolution images containing limited facial features. By combining graph regularization and residual learning, it also improves the texture and structure of these details in the images so that identity cues that are easy to miss by standard methods are better preserved.

Table 3: Literature Survey of papers related to Cross-Domain Feature Consistency & Enhancement Techniques

Ref. No.	Keywords	Problem Domain	Datasets Used	Methods Used	Evaluation Metrics	Future Work
5	Face recognition, face normalization, face synthesis.	Face Recognit ion	1. Multi-PIE - 75,000 images of 337 people 2. CASIA- WebFace - 494,414 images of 10,575 people 3. IJB-A - 5396 images and 20,412 video frames of 500 subjects 4. IJB-C - 3134 still images and 117542 frames from natural scene video of 3531 different individuals	Dual-View Normalization with Light-CNN and ArcFace as Feature Extractors	VR@FAR=0.1:  1. IJB-A: Light-CNN: 95.7 ArcFace: 97,2 2. IJB-C: Light-CNN: 92.4 ArcFace: 96.5 VR@FAR=0.01 1. IJB-A: Light CNN: 91.3 ArcFace: 94.2 2. IJB-C: Light-CNN: 87.96 ArcFace: 92.76 Identification @Rank-1: 1. IJB-A: Light-CNN: 96.8 ArcFace: 97.4 @Rank-5: 1. IJB-A: Light-CNN: 98.7 ArcFace: 98.8	- Attribute-Based Normalization: Use unsupervised clustering to tailor normalization Multi-View Expansion: Incorporate more views beyond dual poses Self-Supervised Learning: Improve generalization using unlabeled data.
6	Face sketch synthesis, residual learning, joint dictionary learning, local geometry structure, rare characteristics	Face Sketch Synthesi s	1. CUHK 2. AR 3. XM2VTS	Graph-regularized locality-constrained joint dictionary learning algorithm and residual learning algorithm	SSIM: 1. CUHK: RL- 0.6104 Dict+RL- 0.6228 2. AR RL- 0.6212 Dict+RL- 0.6256 3. XM2VTS: RL- 0.4908 Dict+RL- 0.5089	Apply a parsing model to differentiate between facial and non-facial regions.     Utilize distinct prediction models for improved synthesis accuracy.     Implement a luminance recapping algorithm to standardize lighting conditions.

#### 2.4. Adapting to Complex Real-World Scenarios

For forensic face recognition systems to be reliable in the field, they must be resilient to complex scenarios involving inconsistent lighting, occlusions, and partial faces. Hybrid models and multi-feature fusion techniques have shown great promise in learning to accommodate these variables.

# Histogram of Oriented Gradient and Gabor Wavelet Fusion with Canonical Correlation Analysis [7]

Combining HOG and GW features using CCA, matches are refines under different lighting conditions, as well as occlusions. Also, Patches of Interest (PoI) are employed to deal with partial faces, increasing accuracy by working on the most recognizable areas. This multi-feature fusion model performs at a level of 75-80 % accuracy

### Instance-Level Heterogeneous Domain Adaptation (IHDA) [8]

IHDA models carry out instance-level domain adaptation to correct for variations in the quality of the sketch and photo at the instance level, so that the matches are more accurate in difficult conditions. With reported accuracies reaching as high as 80 per cent in cross-domain tasks, IHDA is highly effective at normalising away variations and bringing instances into alignment, which makes it particularly useful in large forensic databases.

**Table 4:** Literature Survey of papers related to adaptation to complex real-world scenarios

Ref. No.	Keywords	Problem Domain	Datase ts Used	Methods Used	<b>Evaluation Merics</b>	Future Work
]	Forensic, Face sketch, recognition, histogram of gradient, facial region	Face Recognit ion	1. PRIP- HDC	HOG with utilizing a pre- selected facial region of both sketches and the photos using Adobe Photoshop CS6 to remove the occlusion on the sketch dataset and photo dataset.	Accuracy @Rank-1: 6.38% (Existing- 2.13%)	Use deep learning models (e.g., GANs or U-Nets) to automatically detect occlusions and inpaint missing facial areas, providing cleaner inputs for recognition models, thus imporving accuracy
; ; ; ;	Domain adaptation, cross-modal image retrieval, sketch, person reidentification.	Sketch- to-photo retrieval	Source: 1. UT- Zap50 K 2. CelebF aces 3. Market -1501  Target: 4. QMUL -Shoes 5. IIIT- D Viewed Sketch 6. PKU- Sketch	Instance-level Heterogeneous Domain Adaptation (IHDA) framework	Sketch-to-photo retrieval: Rank-1:  1. UT-Zap50K- 68.7  2. CelebFaces- 95.7  3. Market-1501- 85.6 Rank-5: 3.Market-1501- 94.8 Rank-10: 1. UT-Zap50K- 95.7  3. Market-1501- 98.0 Rank-20: 3.Market-1501- 100 Photo-to-sketch retrieval: Rank-1: 1. UT-Zap50K- 69.6 2. CelebFaces- 96.2 3. Market-1501- 88.2 Rank-10: 1. UT-Zap50K- 97.4 2. CelebFaces- 98.6 3. Market-1501- 100 Rank-20: 1. UT-Zap50K- 99.1 2. CelebFaces- 99.2 3. Market-1501- 100	1. Explore effective methods to leverage rich-labeled datasets for limited-labeled tasks.  2. Investigate the IHDA framework's applicability in scenarios beyond instance-level retrieval tasks.  3. Develop strategies to identify shared attributes for category-level retrieval tasks across datasets like Sketchy, PACS, and M3SDA.

#### 2.5 CNN-Based Techniques for Forensic Face Sketch Recognition

CNNs have dominated the field of forensic face sketch verification, given their ability to extract powerful features. They are also quite effective at dealing with the subtle changes found in forensic sketches, which include age, style and resolution.

# A Fresh Approach to Matching Forensic Composite Sketches to Digital Photographs [9]

This method uses a six-layer convolutional neural network (CNN) with Swish activation functions to achieve higher recognition accuracy in sketches of different quality and age. On the E-PRIP and Composite Sketch with Age Variation (CSA) datasets, the model reached accuracies of 78.26 per cent and 69.57 per cent respectively. The approach emphasises the value of shallow CNNs and dataset augmentation in enhancing the ability to match sketches and digital images in forensic applications.

#### Forensic Face Photo-Sketch Recognition via a Deep Learning Architecture [10]

This technique uses a 3D Morphable model to capture facial feature changes by using a deep convolutional neural network (DCNN) with transfer learning. By combining synthetic with original sketch distance measures, the model improves identity matching. Evaluated on CUFS and Color FERET, this method shows good performance in photo-sketch matching, achieving improvements in mean rank retrieval with the best results in terms of mean rank, suggesting it as a potential approach for forensic photo-sketch recognition.

Table 5: Literature Survey of papers related to CNN-Based Techniques for Forensic Face Sketch Recognition

Ref.	Keywords	Problem	Datasets	Methods Used	Evaluation	Future Work
No.		Domain	Used		Merics	
9	Convolution	Forensic	1. E-PRIP	Swish Activation Function	Accuracy:	- Experiment with
	Neural Network	Composi	dataset (123	with 6-layer CNN	1. E-PRIP -	Lightweight CNN Models:
	(CNN),	te Face	composite		78.26%	Test multiple compact CNN
	Dropout, E-	Sketch	sketches and		2. CSA - 69.57%	architectures to
	PRIP Dataset,	Matchin	its respective		Precision:	improve efficiency.
	Exponential	g with	digital		1. E-PRIP -	- Combine Datasets:
	Linear Unit,	Digital	images)		63.64%	Merge additional datasets to
	Face Sketch	Images	2. Composite		2. CSA - 54.55%	increase image
	Recognition,		Sketch with		Recall (TPR):	count, enhancing model
	Leaky Rectified		Age		1. E-PRIP -	performance.
	Linear Unit,		Variation		87.50%	- Test on Larger Datasets:
	Sigmoid, Swish		dataset		2. CSA - 75%	Increase dataset size to improve
	Activation		collected from		F1-score:	deep network
	Function		IIIT, Delhi		1. E-PRIP -	performance.
			(3529		73.68%	- Advanced Neural Networks:
			sketches and		2. CSA - 63.16%	Explore using more
			digital images		FPR:	sophisticated neural network
			from 150		1. E-PRIP -	models for training on larger
			individuals)		0.2667	datasets to enhance
			·		2. CSA - 0.3333	sketch and digital image
						matching accuracy.
10	Augmentation,	FacePhot	1. CUFS	<b>DEEPS:</b> uses a 3-D	Mean Rank	1. Developing a more flexible
	convolutional	o-Sketch	2. XM2VTS	Morphable model to generate	Retrieval Rate:	3-D Morphable model for better
	neural network,	Recognit	3. AR	variations of facial features		facial feature variation.
	deep learning,	ion	4. CUHK	and attributes for face images	1. DEEPS:	2. Applying proposed methods
	fusion, hand-		5. CUFSF	<b>DEEPS-M:</b> enhances identity	325.02	to additional human face
	drawn sketch,		6. Color	determination by fusing	2. DEEPS-M:	recognition tasks.
	morphological		FERET	distance measures from	312.11	
	model.		7. PRIP-	synthetic and original sketches		
			HDC(Testing)	for improved photo matching.		

Models like these represent tremendous strides in overcoming challenges in forensic face sketching. GAN-type methods, domain-alignment techniques, and identity-preserving modules show great success in minimizing domain gaps, and accuracies typically fall between 75 and above 90 per cent depending on the dataset used and the complexity of the sketches involved. Style and resolution are handled by dual normalisation and super-resolution models, which allow recognition accuracy to remain high under diverse conditions. Other models that were included in the study, and that have demonstrated marked effects, are illustrated in the table below:

Table 6: Literature Survey of papers related to Face Recognition

Ref. No.	Paper Title	Keywords	Problem Domain	<b>Datasets Used</b>	Methods Used	<b>Evaluation Merics</b>	Future Work
11	SP-Net: A Novel Framework to Identify Composite Sketch	Composite sketch, hand-drawn sketches, convolutional neural network, contrastive loss.	Facial Recognition, Composite sketch identification	E-PRIP CUHK FEI CASPEAL MGDB AR FERET SCface	A coupled deep convolutional neural network, Sketch-Photo Net (SP-Net), incorporating VGG-Face as a base, Siamese network architecture, contrastive loss function, and elastic learning with dimensionality reduction	1. E-PRIP rank-1 (28.3%) rank-5 (53.1%) rank-10 (80.0%).	1. Adapting this approach to hand-drawn sketch recognition and composites generated through the Identikit software
12	Toward Realistic Face Photo—Sketch Synthesis via Composition- Aided GANs	Deep learning, face parsing, face photo–sketch synthesis, generative adversarial network (GAN), image-to-image translation.	Face-sketch photo synthesis, Image-to- image translation	1. CUFS 2. CUFSF	1. CA-GAN: generates the sketch portrait based on the face photo and composition masks: 2. SCA-GAN: refine the generated sketch portraits	1. Avg. performance on FID Criterion: CA-GAN: 32.7 SCA-GAN: 30.5  2. Avg. performance on FSIM Criterion: CA-GAN: 81.1 SCA-GAN: 82.0  3. Avg. performance on NLDA Criterion: CA-GAN: 99.2 SCA-GAN: 99.7	1. Using dimensionality reduction before computing FID might be a solution to th indeterminate reliability of FID as the dimension of deep features is dramatically higher than the number of photo/sketch samples
13	Multi-Task Explainable Quality Networks for Large-Scale Forensic Facial Recognition	Face image quality, explainable AI, multi- task learning, forensics.	Forensic Facial recognition	1. UTKFace 2. LFW 3. XQLFW 4. SCFace 5. ForenFace	1. XQNEt- ConvNet 2. XQNet- EfficientNet		Optimizing XQNet for edge devices, improving robustness for low-quality images, expanding explainability to reduce bias, and generalizing across diverse datasets and forensic tasks

14	Domain Balancing: Face Recognition on Long-Tailed Domains	Long-tailed domains, Domain balancing, Residual Balancing Mapping, Domain Frequency Indicator Domain Balancing Margin	Face- Recognition	Training: 1. CASIA-Webface 2. MS-Celeb-1 Testing: 1. LFW 2. CPLFW 3.CALFW 4. AgeDB 5. RFW 6. CACD 7. MegaFace	1. Domain Balancing mechanism	1. Rank-1: 96.35% 2. VA@FAR=10 <sup>-6</sup> : 96.56%	1. Developing a dynamic domain adaptation approach that continuously learns and adapts to new or underrepresented domains in real-time
15	Canonical Correlation Analysis Feature Fusion with Patch of Interest: A Dynamic Local Feature Matching for Face Sketch Image Retrieval	Identity of Interest, Patch of Interest, Sketch-to- Photo, Face Sketch, Forensic, Image Retrieval, CCA Fusion, Score Fusion, Deep Learning.	Face Sketch Image Retreival	1. CUFS 2. CUFSF 3. IIIT-Delhi Semi-Forensic Sketch Database 4. PRIP-HDC (Forensic Sketches)	1. Uses HOG and GW features fused via CCA to shortlist the top k similar photos. 2. Another method fortackling illumination problem is to use CCA Fusion with image represented by Difference of Gaussian Oriented Gradient Histogram (Do-GOGH) 3. The second block refines matches using local features on Patches of Interest (PoI).		1. Use attention mechanisms to dynamically select and weigh the most informative facial regions for matching, increasing robustness to variations in sketches and photos.  2. Apply cross-modal embedding techniques, like contrastive or triplet loss, to better align sketch and photo representations, reducing modality gaps caused by differences in texture, lighting, and detail.
16	Image Retrieval Using Convolutional Autoencoder, InfoGAN, and Vision Transformer Unsupervised Models	Feature extraction, InfoGAN, sketched-real image retrieval, object matching, spatial distance measurement, vision transformer.	Content- Based Image Retrieval, Facial Sketched- Real Image Retrieval	1. ESRIR	1. Convolutional Autoencoder 2. Information Maximizing GANs 3. Vision Transformer	ESRIR: 1. CA- 0.93 2. InfoGAN- 1.272 3. ViT- 1.183 QuickDraw- Extended: 1. CA- 0.64 2. InfoGAN- 0.534 3. ViT- 0.81 256_Object Categories: 1. CA- 0.22 2. InfoGAN- 0.533 3. ViT- 0.497	- Explore distance metrics beyond Euclidean distance for retrieval systems Use combined algorithms like capsule networks with existing methods to evaluate efficacy Investigate various AI methods on different datasets for improved performance.

# 3. Comparative Analysis:

In this section, we present a comparative analysis of the models discussed in the literature review, categorizing them based on the primary challenges they address in forensic face sketch recognition. This comparison in Table-7 offers clues about the strengths, weaknesses and performance of each model, revealing trade-offs between accuracy, computational cost and applicability in real-world forensic settings.

Table-7: Comparative Analysis of Forensic Face Sketch Recognition Models Based on Primary Challenges

Challenge	Model	Technique	Strengths	Limitations	Accuracy
Domain Gap Reduction	Cyclic GAN Framework	Two generators, two discriminators	Maintains identity, effective at sketch-to- photo synthesis	Requires large dataset, high computational cost	80–85%
	Domain Alignment Embedding Network	Embedding feature alignment	Robust feature harmonization across sketch and photo domains	Moderate performance in highly stylized sketches	78–82%
	Dual-View Normalization with LightCNN	Dual-view normalization with ArcFace	Consistency across artist styles	Sensitive to extreme variations in sketch quality	~80%
Identity Preservation	Identity- Preserving GANs (IPAM)	Identity attributes with GANs	Retains core identity traits during transformation	High training cost, limited adaptability to diverse databases	~85%
	Feature Decoupling Learning + LightCNN	Separation of identity and non-identity features	Effective separation for better identification	Reduced performance with lower-resolution sketches	90%+
Sketch Quality & Style Variability	U-Net with Dual Discriminators	Leaky ReLU, identity- verifying models	Good adaptation to variable sketch quality	Moderate recognition drop with extreme styles	~75–80%
	Dual-View Normalization with ArcFace	Normalization across views	Adapts to stylistic and quality variations	Reduced effectiveness on low-quality sketches	~80%
Low- Resolution/Blurred Sketches	Identity-Aware Super-Resolution Network	Super-resolution with identity preservation	Improves low-resolution sketch quality	Limited applicability in highly blurred sketches	~85%
	Graph- Regularized Dictionary Learning	Graph regularization and residual learning	Effective with low-detail, low-res sketches	Performance declines on high-variance datasets	70–80%
Adaptability to Real-World Conditions	HOG + Gabor Wavelet Fusion with CCA	Multi-feature fusion	Handles occlusion, lighting variability	Limited performance in low-resolution cases	75–80%
	Instance-Level Heterogeneous Domain Adaptation (IHDA)	Instance-level domain adaptation	Effective cross-domain matching in complex environments	Requires substantial training data for adaptation	80%+

# 3.1 Summary of Comparative Analysis

This comparison highlights the advantages and disadvantages of each category of model:

• GAN-style model, which are very good at domain gap reduction (accuracy up to 85% but need a lot of computation.

- Identity-preserving methods, for example, feature decoupling with LightCNN, achieve very high
  accuracy ~90 per cent) by emphasising the preservation of identity characteristics, but at the
  computational cost.
- Normalisation methods such as dual-discriminator U-Net manage the variation in sketch quality well but suffer a loss in performance when there are extreme stylistic departures.
- Super-resolution and graph-regularized models provide flexibility in the low-resolution setting, and identity-aware networks reach accuracy of 85 per cent or more on such inputs.
- Hybrid models and IHDA work well in real-world situations but need huge amounts of data to handle a variety of situations.

This comparative study provides guidance on which models to use in which forensic situations. Both methods have their own strengths and weaknesses, and will hopefully help to create better forensic sketch recognition systems in the future.

## 4. Future Work

The state of the art in sketch recognition is still subject to many difficulties, including the high computational expense of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and a tendency to miss important facial details, leading to inaccuracies in both sketch construction and recognition. To overcome these limitations, our solution is a dual-module system, with two separate, but complementary, phases. The Face Sketch Construction module relies on a CNN (or related kind of model) to help forensic artists sketch witnesses' descriptions of suspects, in an efficient way that captures the key aspects of the face. Under the Recognition module, we integrate GANs and Identity-Preserving Adversarial Matching (IPAM) to retain identity characteristics and create realistic sketches for better matching. By combining these advanced techniques, our method significantly enhances adaptability, accuracy, and computational efficiency in sketch recognition systems. This integrated approach not only improves the reliability of sketch recognition in practical forensic applications but also positions itself as a crucial advancement for law enforcement. In the end, it gives forensic artists a powerful tool that makes the whole business of sketch creation and identification a lot more efficient, and the whole business of investigation, and ultimately the whole business of justice, a lot more effective.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, this review of the literature on forensic face sketch construction and recognition identifies important progress in alleviating some of these challenges, including domain gap reduction, identity preservation, sketch quality, and robustness to real-world conditions. These methods, such as Cyclic GANs and Domain Alignment Embedding Networks, successfully alleviate domain discrepancies, and Identity-Preserving GANs (IPAM) and feature decoupling learning maintain identity-preserving consistency in recognition. Other models like the U-Net with two discriminators also increase the quality of the sketches, and Identity-Aware Super-Resolution Networks make low-res sketches clearer. This adaptability is also tackled by techniques such as HOG Gabor Wavelet Fusion and Instance-Level Heterogeneous Domain Adaptation (IHDA). Together, these developments highlight the importance of developing a dual-module system that improves both the construction and recognition accuracy of sketches and gives law enforcement more robust tools for suspect identification and forensic investigation.

#### 6. References

- [1] Y. Guo, L. Cao, C. Chen, K. Du and C. Fu, "Domain Alignment Embedding Network for Sketch Face Recognition", IEEE Access, Vol. 9, PP. 872-882, 2021, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3047108
- [2] J. Zheng, W. Song, Y. Wu, R. Xu and F. Liu, "Feature Encoder Guided Generative Adversarial Network for Face Photo-Sketch Synthesis", *IEEE Access*, Vol. 7, PP. 154971-154985, 2019, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2949070.
- [3] Y. Lin, S. Ling, K. Fu and P. Cheng, "An Identity-Preserved Model for Face Sketch-Photo Synthesis", IEEE Signal Processing Letters, Vol. 27, PP. 1095-1099, 2020, DOI: 10.1109/LSP.2020.3005039.

- [4] Chen, Jin & Chen, Jun & Wang, Zheng & Liang, Chao & Lin, Chia-Wen, "Identity-Aware Face Super-Resolution for Low-Resolution Face Recognition", IEEE Signal Processing Letters. PP. 1-1, . (2020), DOI: 10.1109/LSP.2020.2986942.
- [5] G. -S. Hsu and C. -H. Tang, "Dual-View Normalization for Face Recognition", IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 147765-147775, 2020, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3014877.
- [6] J. Jiang, Y. Yu, Z. Wang, X. Liu and J. Ma, "Graph-Regularized Locality-Constrained Joint Dictionary and Residual Learning for Face Sketch Synthesis," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 628-641, Feb. 2019, DOI: 10.1109/TIP.2018.2870936.
- [7] N. N. Bahrum, S. Setumin, E. A. Saidon, N. A. Othman and M. F. Abdullah, "Forensic Face Sketch Recognition based on Pre-Selected Facial Regions," 2022 IEEE 12th International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering (ICCSCE), Penang, Malaysia, 2022, pp. 174-179, DOI: 10.1109/ICCSCE54767.2022.9935651.
- [8] F. Yang, Y. Wu, Z. Wang, X. Li, S. Sakti and S. Nakamura, "Instance-Level Heterogeneous Domain Adaptation for Limited-Labeled Sketch-to-Photo Retrieval," IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, vol. 23, pp. 2347-2360, 2021, DOI: 10.1109/TMM.2020.3009476.
- [9] Nagavi, Trisiladevi., "A New Framework for Matching Forensic Composite Sketches With Digital Images. International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics. 13. 1-19., 2021 DOI: 10.4018/IJDCF.20210901.oa1.
- [10] C. Galea and R. A. Farrugia, "Forensic Face Photo-Sketch Recognition Using a Deep Learning-Based Architecture," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1586-1590, Nov. 2017, DOI: 10.1109/LSP.2017.2749266.
- [11] H. Cheraghi and H. J. Lee, "SP-Net: A Novel Framework to Identify Composite Sketch," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 131749-131757, 2019, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2921382.
- [12] Yu, Jun & Xu, Xingxin & Gao, Fei & Shi, Shengjie & Wang, Meng & Tao, Dacheng & Huang, Qingming, "Toward Realistic Face Photo-Sketch Synthesis via Composition-Aided GANs", IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics. PP. 1-13., 2020, DOI:10.1109/TCYB.2020.2972944.
- [13] A. M. Rodriguez, L. Unzueta, Z. Geradts, M. Worring and U. Elordi, "Multi-Task Explainable Quality Networks for Large-Scale Forensic Facial Recognition," IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 612-623, May 2023, DOI:10.1109/JSTSP.2023.3267263.
- [14] D. Cao, X. Zhu, X. Huang, J. Guo and Z. Lei, "Domain Balancing: Face Recognition on Long-Tailed Domains," 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Seattle, WA, USA, 2020, pp. 5670-5678, DOI: 10.1109/CVPR42600.2020.00571.
- [15] Setumin, Samsul & Che Aminudin, Muhamad Faris & Suandi, Shahrel Azmin, "Canonical Correlation Analysis Feature Fusion With Patch of Interest: A Dynamic Local Feature Matching for Face Sketch Image Retrieval", IEEE Access. PP. 1-1, 2020, DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3009744.
- [16] E. S. Sabry et al., "Image Retrieval Using Convolutional Autoencoder, InfoGAN, and Vision Transformer Unsupervised Models," IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 20445-20477, 2023, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3241858.