

ii. `rmse_train <- sqrt(mean(sampregdata_mod$residuals^2))`

iii. `summary(test_pred)`

iv. Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.

v. -25.315 -1.433 4.610 4.562 10.885 40.197

vi. `summary(rmse_train)`

vii. Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.

viii. 5.353 5.353 5.353 5.353 5.353 5.353

ix. So the train is a constant and the test is a range of 60 ish values.

2. Next, create a model with all four x's, again using the same 60/40 split. Evaluate the model in terms of the fit of parameters and the errors. Is the x with the strongest fit the same as the one with the highest correlation?

a. `split_pct <- 0.6`

b. `n <- length(sampregdata$y)*split_pct # train size`

c. `row_samp <- sample(1:length(sampregdata$y), n, replace = FALSE)`

d. `train <- sampregdata[row_samp,]`

e. `test <- sampregdata[-row_samp,]`

f. `sampregdata_mod <- lm(data = train, y ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4)`

g. `test_pred <- predict(sampregdata_mod, test)`

h. `test_error <- test$y - test_pred`

i. `rmse_train <- sqrt(mean(sampregdata_mod$residuals^2))`

j. `rmse_test <- sqrt(mean(test_error^2))`

k. `rmse_train`

l. `rmse_test`

m. `[1] 5.352711`

n. `[1] 5.376122`

o.