

Problem Set 4:

Stochastic Point Processes

WEEK 7, SOSE 2022
Computational Neuroscience

BENOIT SCHOLTES
& STEFAN ROTTER

Problem 1: The Poisson Process 12 points

Recent lectures have shown the utility of using point processes to model and understand processes in the brain, such as spike trains. The Poisson point process is a completely random point process in that each point is stochastically independent to all other points.

Problem 1.1: Counting vs interval statistics 4 points

There are different ways in which one can analyse or view point processes. One such way is via counting statistics, where the number of events (points) within non-overlapping intervals of length t are counted over the process, i.e. the number of spikes in 10 ms time intervals along a spike train. The counts are denoted as $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ and the distribution of the counts gives the probabilities of the counts (for intervals of length t) for the process $\mathbb{P}[N(t) = k]$. For a Poisson process with stationary intensity λ , the expected number of points in an interval of length t is $\mathbb{E}[N(t)] = \lambda t$. What is the distribution of spike counts for an interval of length t for the stationary Poisson process?

One can also look at point processes by looking at the statistics of the elapsed times between adjacent events (i.e. inter-spike interval density). Derive this distribution for the Poisson process with $\mathbb{E}[N(t)] = \lambda t$.

Hint: To do so, take these steps:

1. *If a spike of a Poissonian neuron occurs at $t_0 = 0$, and the next at some unknown t_1 , what is then the relation between the probability $\mathbb{P}[N(t) = 0]$ from the counting statistics that there is no spike in interval $A = (0, t]$ for some random t , and the probability $\mathbb{P}[t_1 > t]$ from the interval statistics that elapsed time to the next spike $t_1 - t_0 = t_1$ is longer than t ?*
2. *What is $\mathbb{P}[N(t) = 0]$ from the distribution you just found above.*
3. *What is the relation between $\mathbb{P}[t_1 > t]$ and the inter-spike interval density $f(t)$?*
4. *Substitute both of these into the relation you found in step one and solve for $f(t)$ (use the fundamental theorem of calculus!).*

Problem 1.2: Doubly stochastic process 4 points

The Poisson point process considered above was stationary in that the rate λ did not change in time. Neurons however have firing rates which change in time $\lambda(t)$, depending on their input, etc. If the rate parameter $\lambda(t)$ is itself taken to be a random variable, then the resulting spike train is a *doubly stochastic* Poisson point process, in that the probability of

counting some number of spikes $N(t)$ is dependent/*conditional* on the rate $\lambda(t)$ at that time: $\mathbb{P}[N(t) = k | \lambda(t)]$. Here, the rate $\lambda(t)$ of the process changes *stochastically* in continuous time, different to the nonstationary Poisson process where $\lambda(t)$ changes *deterministically* in time.

In the stationary Poisson process, we saw that the expected number of spikes observed in an interval of length t is $\mathbb{E}[N(t)] = \lambda t$. In the lecture, you saw that for the nonstationary Poisson process, the number of spikes N expected during an interval of length $(0, t]$ is just the integral of the rate during the interval $\mathbb{E}[N(0 \rightarrow t) | \lambda(t)] = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds \equiv \Lambda$. What is then the expected number of spikes during an interval $(0, t]$ in the doubly stochastic Poisson process? What about the variance of the doubly stochastic process?

Hint: What is the conditional spike count distribution for the doubly stochastic process (conditional on the summed rate Λ during the count interval)?

Also, use the laws of total expectation and total variance. These define the unconditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and variance $\text{Var}[X]$ of a random variable X in terms of its conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ and variance $\text{Var}[X|Y]$. The laws are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]], \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Var}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}[X|Y]] + \text{Var}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]].\end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the laws can be found here¹ and here², respectively, and may help to understand them.

Problem 2: Renewal Processes 4 points

You saw in Lecture 5 that neuron spike trains might not be well modelled by a stationary Poisson point process, motivating the need for nonstationary Poisson processes and expanding to renewal processes in general. The key objects of interest in renewal theory are the interval density (or holding times) $f(t)$ used already above, the survivor function $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathbb{P}[T > t]$ giving the probability that the next spike occurs at some time T which is later than some specified time t , and the hazard function $h(t)$ defined as the likelihood that a neuron which hasn't already spiked for a time t will spike at that time.

Problem 2.1: Interval density vs. hazard function 4 points

One problem of using the stationary Poisson process for spike trains is that it allows and frequently produces spikes very close in time, in contention with the observed refractory period of neurons. One way to rectify this is by imposing a dead time after each spike during which no spikes can occur. This immediately violates the independence of points, such that the process is longer Poissonian. We can, however, impose that the process is “Poissonian” *after* the dead time, in that there is then vanishing dependence on the previous spike. What we obtain for the interval density distribution for a neuron with dead time D is

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq D, \\ \frac{\lambda}{a} e^{-\lambda t} & \text{if } t > D, \end{cases}$$

¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_total_expectation

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_total_variance

where λ is not the firing rate of the neuron but rather the rate *exclusive* of the dead times, and a is required to normalise the pdf such that $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt = 1$. What is the expression for a ?

Calculate the survivor function $\mathcal{F}(t)$ and the hazard function $h(t)$ of this renewal process.

Problem 2.2: The waiting time paradox

4 points

Let's see how stochastic point processes can be applied to the macroscopic world. Assume you having a working bus system where a bus comes every 15 minutes at a certain bus stop without fail. You've had complaints from commuters, however, that the average waiting time for buses is too long and that they'd like it shortened. The problem is that you don't have the budget to buy more buses. The best idea in your mind would be to allow buses to make their rounds as quickly as possible, no longer sticking to the strict 15 minute timetable. Due to your naivety and desire for simplification, you assume that these buses arriving at any given bus stop represent a Poisson process with rate of $\lambda = 1/15 \text{ min}^{-1}$ (since you still have the same number of buses). Will the mean waiting time be reduced under this Poissonian system?

Hint: You should find the following integral useful

$$\int_0^\infty xe^{-ax} dx = \frac{1}{a^2}.$$