

Title: Framework for reliable, real-time facial expression recognition for low resolution images

JavaScript is disabled on your browser. Please enable JavaScript to use all the features on this page.

[Skip to main content](#)[Skip to article](#)

ScienceDirect

* Journals & Books

* Help

* Search

Gergo Gyori

IT University of Copenhagen

* View ****PDF****

* Download full issue

[Search ScienceDirect](#)

Outline

1. 2. Abstract

3. 4. Keywords

5. 1\. Introduction

6. 2\. Related work

7. 3\. Pyramid of local binary pattern

8. 4\. Psycho-visual experiment

9. 5\. Expression recognition framework

10. 6\. Experiment and results

11. 7\. Conclusions and future work

12. Acknowledgment

13. References

[Show full outline](#)

Cited by (121)

Figures (5)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Tables (3)

1. Table 1

2. Table 2

3. Table 3

Pattern Recognition Letters

Volume 34, Issue 10, 15 July 2013, Pages 1159-1168

Framework for reliable, real-time facial expression recognition for low resolution images

Author links open overlay panelRizwan Ahmed Khan a b, Alexandre Meyer a b, Hubert Konik a c, Saïda Bouakaz a b

[Show more](#)

[Outline](#)

[Add to Mendeley](#)

[Share](#)

[Cite](#)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2013.03.022>[Get rights and content](#)

Highlights

* ?

Facial expressions can be analyzed automatically by mimicking human visual system.

* ?

Proposed descriptor has strong discriminative ability.

* ?

Proposed framework is robust for low resolution images and spontaneous expressions.

* ?

Proposed framework generalizes well on unseen data.

* ?

The proposed framework can be used for real-time applications.

Abstract

Automatic recognition of facial expressions is a challenging problem specially for low spatial resolution facial images. It has many potential applications in human-computer interactions, social robots, deceit detection, interactive video and behavior monitoring. In this study we present a novel framework that can recognize facial expressions very efficiently and with high accuracy even for very low resolution facial images. The proposed framework is memory and time efficient as it extracts texture features in a pyramidal fashion only from the perceptual salient regions of the face. We tested the framework on different databases, which includes Cohn-Kanade (CK+) posed facial expression database, spontaneous expressions of MMI facial expression database and FG-NET facial expressions and emotions database (FEED) and obtained very good results. Moreover, our proposed framework exceeds state-of-the-art methods for expression recognition on low resolution images.

* Previous article in issue

* Next article in issue

Keywords

Facial expression recognition

Low resolution images

Local binary pattern

Image pyramid

Salient facial regions

1\ Introduction

Communication in any form i.e. verbal or non-verbal is vital to complete various routine tasks and plays a significant role in daily life. Facial expression is the most effective form of non-verbal communication and it provides a clue about emotional state, mindset and intention (Ekman, 2001). Human visual system (HVS) decodes and analyzes facial expressions in real time despite having limited neural resources. As an explanation for such performance, it has been proposed that only some visual inputs are selected by considering 'salient regions' (Zhaoping, 2006), where 'salient' means most noticeable or most important.

For computer vision community it is a difficult task to automatically recognize facial expressions in real-time with high reliability. Variability in pose, illumination and the way people show expressions across cultures are some of the parameters that make this task difficult. Low resolution input images makes this task even harder. Smart meeting, video conferencing and visual surveillance are some of the real world applications that require facial expression recognition system that works adequately on low resolution images. Another problem that hinders the development of such system for real world application is the lack of databases with natural displays of expressions (Valstar and Pantic, 2010). There are number of publicly available benchmark databases with posed displays of the six basic emotions (Ekman, 1971) exist but there is no equivalent of this for spontaneous basic emotions. While, it has been proved that Spontaneous facial expressions differ substantially from posed expressions (Bartlett et al., 2002). In this work, we

propose a facial expression recognition system that caters for illumination changes and works equally well for low resolution as well as for good quality/high resolution images. We have tested our proposed system on spontaneous facial expressions as well and recorded encouraging results. We propose a novel descriptor for facial features analysis, pyramid of local binary pattern (PLBP) (refer Section 3). PLBP is a spatial representation of local binary pattern (LBP) (Ojala et al., 1996) and it represents stimuli by its local texture (LBP) and the spatial layout of the texture. We combined pyramidal approach with LBP descriptor for facial feature analysis as this approach has already been proved to be very effective in a variety of image processing tasks (Hadjidemetriou et al., 2004). Thus, the proposed descriptor is a simple and computationally efficient extension of LBP image representation, and it shows significantly improved performance for facial expression recognition tasks for low resolution images. We base our framework for automatic facial expression recognition (FER) on human visual system (HVS) (refer Section 5), so it extracts PLBP features only from the salient regions of the face. To determine which facial region(s) are the most important or salient according to HVS, we conducted a psycho-visual experiment using an eye-tracker (refer Section 4). We considered six universal facial expressions for psycho-visual experimental study as these expressions are proved to be consistent across cultures (Ekman, 1971). These six expressions are anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness and surprise. The novelty of the proposed framework is that, it is illumination invariant, reliable on low resolution images and works adequately for both i.e. posed and spontaneous expressions. Generally, facial expression recognition system consists of three steps: face detection, feature extraction and expression classification. The same has been shown in Fig. 1. In our framework we tracked face/salient facial regions using Viola-Jones object detection algorithm (Viola and Jones, 2001) as it is the most cited and considered the fastest and most accurate pattern recognition method for face detection (Kolsch and Turk, 2004). The second step in the framework is feature extraction, which is the area where this study contributes. The optimal features should minimize within-class variations of expressions, while maximize between class variations. If inadequate features are used, even the best classifier could fail to achieve accurate recognition (Shan et al., 2009). Section 3 presents the novel method for facial features extraction which is based on human visual system (HVS). To study and understand HVS we performed psycho-visual experiment. Psycho-visual experimental study is briefly described in Section 4. Expression classification or recognition is the last step in the pipeline. In literature two different ways are prevalent to recognize expressions i.e. direct recognition of prototypic expressions or recognition of expressions through facial action coding system (FACS) action units (AUs) (Ekman and Friesen, 1978). In our proposed framework, which is described in Section 5 we directly classify six universal prototypic expressions (Ekman, 1971). The performance of the framework is evaluated for five different classifiers (from different families i.e. classification tree, instance based learning, SVM, etc.) and results are presented in Section 6. Next section presents the brief literature review for facial features extraction methods.

1. Download: Download high-res image (83KB)

2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 1. Basic structure of facial expression recognition system pipeline.

2\.. Related work

In the literature, various methods are employed to extract facial features and these methods can be categorized either as appearance-based methods or

geometric feature-based methods.

Appearance-based methods. One of the widely studied method to extract appearance information is based on Gabor wavelets (Littlewort et al., 2006, Tian, 2004, Donato et al., 1999). Generally, the drawback of using Gabor filters is that it produces extremely large number of features and it is both time and memory intensive to convolve face images with a bank of Gabor filters to extract multi-scale and multi-orientational coefficients. Another promising approach to extract appearance information is by using Haar-like features, see Yang et al. (2010). Recently, texture descriptors and classification methods i.e. local binary pattern (LBP) (Ojala et al., 1996) and local phase quantization (LPQ) (Ojansivu and Heikkilä, 2008) are also studied to extract appearance-based facial features. Zhao and Pietikäinen (2007) proposed to model texture using volume local binary patterns (VLBP) an extension to LBP, for expression recognition.

Geometric-based methods. Geometric feature-based methods (Zhang and Ji, 2005, Pantic and Patras, 2006, Valstar et al., 2005, Bai et al., 2009) extracts shapes and locations of facial components information to form a feature vector. The problem with using geometric feature-based methods is that they usually require accurate and reliable facial feature detection and tracking which is difficult to achieve in many real world applications where illumination changes with time and images are recorded in very low resolution. Generally, we have found that all the reviewed methods for automatic facial expression recognition are computationally expensive and usually requires dimensionally large feature vector to complete the task. This explains their inability for real-time applications. Secondly, in literature, very few studies exist that tackles the issue of expressions recognition from low resolution images, this adds to lack of applicability of expression recognition system for real world applications. Lastly, all of the reviewed methods, spend computational time on whole face image or divides the facial image based on some mathematical or geometrical heuristic for features extraction. We argue that the task of expression analysis and recognition could be done in more conducive manner, if only some regions are selected for further processing (i.e. salient regions) as it happens in human visual system. Thus, our contributions in this study are:

* 1.

We propose a novel descriptor for facial expression analysis i.e. pyramid of local binary pattern (PLBP), which outperforms state-of-the-art methods for expression recognition on low resolution images (spatially degraded images). It also performs better than other state-of-the-art methods for good resolution images (with no degradation).

* 2.

As the proposed framework is based on human visual system it algorithmically processes only salient facial regions which reduces the length of feature vector. This reduction in feature vector length makes the proposed framework suitable for real-time applications due to minimized computational complexity.

3\ Pyramid of local binary pattern

The proposed framework creates a novel feature space by extracting proposed PLBP (pyramid of local binary pattern) features only from the visually salient facial region (see Section 4 for psycho-visual experiment). PLBP is a pyramidal-based spatial representation of local binary pattern (LBP) descriptor. PLBP represents stimuli by their local texture (LBP) and the spatial layout of the texture. The spatial layout is acquired by tiling the image into regions at multiple resolutions. The idea is illustrated in Fig. 2. If only the coarsest level is used, then the descriptor reduces to a global

LBP histogram. Comparing to the multi-resolution LBP of Ojala et al. (2002), our descriptor selects samples in a more uniformly distributed manner, whereas Ojala's LBP takes samples centered around a point leading to missing some information in the case of face (which is different than a repetitive texture).

1. Download: Download high-res image (187KB)

2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 2. Pyramid of local binary pattern. First row: stimuli at two different pyramid levels, second row: histograms of LBP at two respective levels, and third row: final descriptor.

LBP features were initially proposed for texture analysis (Ojala et al., 1996), but recently they have been successfully used for facial expression analysis (Zhao and Pietikäinen, 2007, Shan et al., 2009). The most important property of LBP features are their tolerance against illumination changes and their computational simplicity (Ojala and Pietikäinen, 1999, Ojala et al., 1996, Ojala et al., 2002). The operator labels the pixels of an image by thresholding the 3×3 neighborhood of each pixel with the center value and considering the result as a binary number. Then the histogram of the labels can be used as a texture descriptor. Formally, LBP operator takes the form: (1) $LBP(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^7 s((I_n - I_c) \geq 0)$ where in this case $_n_$ runs over the eight neighbors of the central pixel c , I_c and I_n are the gray level values at $_c_$ and $_n_$ and $s(u)$ is 1 if $u \geq 0$ or 0 otherwise.

Later, the LBP operator is extended to use neighborhood of different sizes (Ojala et al., 2002) as the original operator uses 3×3 neighborhood. Using circular neighborhoods and bilinearly interpolating the pixel values allow any radius and number of pixels in the neighborhood. The LBP operator with $_P_$ sampling points on a circular neighborhood of radius $_R_$ is given by: (2) $LBPP, R = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} s((g_p - g_c) \geq 0)$ where, g_c is the gray value of the central pixel, g_p is the value of its neighbors, $_P_$ is the total number of involved neighbors and $_R_$ is the radius of the neighborhood.

Another extension to the original operator is the definition of $_uniform\ patterns_$, which can be used to reduce the length of the feature vector and implement a simple rotation-invariant descriptor. A local binary pattern is called uniform if the binary pattern contains at most two bitwise transitions from 0 to 1 or vice versa when the bit pattern is traversed circularly.

Accumulating the patterns which have more than two transitions into a single bin yields an LBP operator, denoted $LBPP, Ru2$ patterns. These binary patterns can be used to represent texture primitives such as spot, flat area, edge and corner.

We extend LBP operator so that the stimuli can be represented by its local texture and the spatial layout of the texture. We call this extended LBP operator as pyramid of local binary pattern or PLBP. PLBP creates the spatial pyramid by dividing the stimuli into finer spatial sub-regions by iteratively doubling the number of divisions in each dimension. It can be observed from Fig. 2 that the pyramid at level $_l_$ has 2^l sub-regions along each dimension (R_0, \dots, R_{m-1}). Histograms of LBP features at the same levels are concatenated. Then, their concatenation at different pyramid levels gives final PLBP descriptor (as shown in Fig. 2). It can be defined

as: (3) $H_{i,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} I_{l,n,m,k} \{f_l(x, y) = i\} \{f_l(x, y) = j\}$ where $l=0, \dots, L-1$, $i=0, \dots, N-1$, $j=0, \dots, M-1$, $k=0, \dots, K-1$. $_n_$ is the number of different labels produced by the LBP operator and (4) $I(A) = 1$ if A is true 0 otherwise. While, the dimensionality of the descriptor can be calculated by: (5) $N \times M \times K \times L$. Where, in our experiment (see Section 6) $L=1$ and $_N_ = 59$ as we created pyramid up to level 1 and extracted 59 LBP features using $LBP8, 2u2$ operator, which denotes a uniform LBP operator with eight sampling pixels in a

local neighborhood region of radius 2. This pattern reduces the histogram from 256 to 59 bins. In our experiment we obtained 295 dimensional feature vector from one facial region i.e. mouth region (59 dimensions/sub-region), since we executed the experiment with the pyramid of level 1 (the same is shown in Fig. 2).

3.1. Novelty of the proposed descriptor

There exist some methods in literature that uses pyramid of LBP for different applications and they look similar to our proposed descriptor, i.e. Wang et al., 2011, Guo et al., 2010, Moore and Bowden, 2011. Our proposition is novel and there exist differences in the methodology that creates differences in the extracted information. Method for face recognition proposed in Wang et al. (2011) creates pyramid before applying LBP operator by down sampling original image i.e. scale-space representation, whereas we propose to create the spatial pyramid by dividing the stimuli into finer spatial sub-regions by iteratively doubling the number of divisions in each dimension. Secondly, our approach reduces memory consumption (do not requires to store same image in different resolutions) and is computationally more efficient. Guo et al. (2010) proposed approach for face and palmprint recognition based on multiscale LBP. Their proposed method seems similar to our method for expression recognition but how multiscale analysis is achieved deviates our approach. Approach proposed in Guo et al. (2010) achieves multiscale analysis using different values of P and R , where $LBP(P,R)$ denotes a neighborhood of P equally spaced sampling points on a circle of radius R (discussed earlier). Same approach has been applied by Moore and Bowden (2011) for facial features analysis. Generally the drawback of using such approach is that it increases the size of the feature histogram and increases the computational cost. Moore and Bowden (2011) reports dimensionality of feature vector as high as 30,208 for multiscale face expression analysis as compared to our proposition which creates 590 dimensional feature vector (see Section 5) for the same task. We achieve the task of multiscale analysis much more efficiently than any other earlier proposed methods. By the virtue of efficient multiscale analysis our framework can be used for real time applications (see Table 1 for the time and memory consumption comparison) which is not the case with other methods.

Table 1. Comparison of time and memory consumption.

Empty Cell| Shan et al. (2009)(a)| Shan et al. (2009)(b)| Bartlett et al. (2003)| PLBP

---|---|---|---|---

Memory (feature dimension)| 2478| 42,650| 92,160| 590

Time (feature extraction time)| 0.03 s| 30 s| ?| 0.01 s

As mentioned earlier, we base our framework for facial expression recognition on human visual system (HVS), which selects only few facial regions (salient) to extract information. In order to determine the saliency of facial region(s) for a particular expression, we conducted psycho-visual experiment with the help of an eye-tracker. Next section briefly explains the psycho-visual experimental study.

4\.. Psycho-visual experiment

The aim of our experiment was to record the eye movement data of human observers in free viewing conditions. The data were analyzed in order to find which components of face are salient for specific displayed expression.

4.1. Participants, apparatus and stimuli

Eye movements of fifteen human observers were recorded using video based eye-tracker (EyelinkII system, SR Research), as the subjects watched the collection of 54 videos selected from the extended Cohn?Kanade (CK+) database

(Lucey et al., 2010), showing one of the six universal facial expressions (Ekman, 1971). Observers include both male and female aging from 20 to 45 years with normal or corrected to normal vision. All the observers were naïve to the purpose of an experiment.

4.2. Eye movement recording

Eye position was tracked at 500 Hz with an average noise less than 0.01° . Head mounted eye-tracker allows flexibility to perform the experiment in free viewing conditions as the system is designed to compensate for small head movements.

4.3. Psycho-visual experiment results

In order to statistically quantify which region is perceptually more attractive for specific expression, we have calculated the average percentage of trial time observers have fixated their gazes at specific region(s) in a particular time period. As the stimuli used for the experiment is dynamic i.e. video sequences, it would have been incorrect to average all the fixations recorded during trial time (run length of the video) for the data analysis as this could lead to biased analysis of the data. To meaningfully observe and analyze the gaze trend across one video sequence we have divided each video sequence in three mutually exclusive time periods. The first time period correspond to initial frames of the video sequence i.e. neutral face. The last time period encapsulates the frames where the expression is shown with full intensity (apex frames). The second time period is a encapsulation of the frames which has a transition of facial expression i.e. transition from neutral face to the beginning of the desired expression (i.e. neutral to the onset of the expression). Then the fixations recorded for a particular time period are averaged across fifteen observers. For drawing the conclusions we considered second and third time periods as they have the most significant information in terms of specific displayed expression. Conclusions drawn are summarized in Fig. 3. Refer Khan et al. (2012a) for the detailed explanation of the psycho-visual experimental study.

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(235KB\)](#)

2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 3. Summary of the facial regions that emerged as salient for six universal expressions. Salient regions are mentioned according to their importance (for example facial expression of 'fear' has two salient regions but mouth is the most important region according to HVS).

Conclusions drawn from this psycho-visual experimental study suggests that for some expressions (i.e. happiness, sadness and surprise) only one facial region is salient while for other expressions two facial regions are salient or contain most discriminative information. We argue that the task of expression analysis and recognition could be done in more conducive manner, if only same perceptual salient regions are selected for further processing as it happens in human visual system. By processing only perceptual salient regions the proposed framework (refer Section 5) reduces the feature vector dimensionality. This reduction in feature vector length makes the proposed framework suitable for real-time applications due to minimized computational complexity.

Machine learning research community has also proposed a mathematical model called feature subset selection (FSS), to reduce feature vector dimensionality. The objective of FSS is to reduce the number of features used to characterize a dataset so as to improve a learning algorithm performance on a given task (Aha and Bankert, 1994), and it has recently been used for facial expression recognition application (Dornaika et al., 2011). Most FSS methods involve evaluating different feature subsets, employ some criterion such as

probability of error (Jain and Zongker, 1997). One difficulty with this approach when applied to real problems with large feature dimensionality, is the high computational complexity involved in searching the exponential space of feature subsets (Guo and Dyer, 2003). Jain and Zongker (1997) evaluated different search algorithms for FSS and found that the sequential forward floating selection (SFFS) algorithm proposed by Pudil et al. (1994) performed best. However, SFFS is very time consuming when the number of features is large. For example, Vailaya (2000) used the SFFS method to select 67 features from 600 for a two-class problem and reported that SFFS required 12 days of computation time.

As the proposed framework is based on psycho-visual experimental study and the notion of saliency, it does not employ FSS technique to reduce feature vector dimensionality. Rather reduction in feature vector dimensionality is inherited due to the notion of saliency. Proposed framework (Section 5) extracts PLBP features only from the perceptual salient facial region(s) which contains highly discriminative information as suggested by Itti et al. (1998) and proved by the recorded results (refer Table 1, Table 2). Specifically Table 1 proves that the dimensionality of the proposed descriptor is very low as compared to other state-of-the-art descriptor. Thus, making it suitable for real-time applications. In the perspective of this study, FSS still can be utilized to reduce the dimensionality of proposed descriptor even further (i.e. to reduce dimensionality from hundreds to tens) on the cost of increasing computational complexity.

Table 2. Comparison with the state-of-the-art methods for posed expressions.

Empty Cell	Sequence num.	Class num.	Performance measure	Recog. rate (%)
---	---	---	---	---
Littlewort et al. (2006)	313	7	Leave-one-out	93.3
Zhao and Pietikäinen (2007)	374	6	2-Fold	95.19
Zhao and Pietikäinen (2007)	374	6	10-Fold	96.26
Kotsia et al. (2008)	374	6	5-Fold	94.5
Tian (2004)	375	6	?	93.8
Yang et al. (2010)(a)	352	6	66% split	92.3
Yang et al. (2010)(b)	352	6	66% split	80
Ours	**309**	**6**	**10-Fold**	**96.7**
Ours	**309**	**6**	**2-Fold**	**95.2**

5\ Expression recognition framework

Feature selection along with the region(s) from where these features are going to be extracted is one of the most important step to recognize expressions. As the proposed framework draws its inspiration from the human visual system (HVS), it extracts proposed features i.e. PLBP, only from the perceptual salient facial region(s) which were determined through psycho-visual experiment. Schematic overview of the framework is presented in Fig. 4. Steps of the proposed framework are as follows:

- * 1.
- The framework first localizes salient facial regions using Viola&Jones object detection algorithm (Viola and Jones, 2001). We selected this algorithm as it is the most cited and considered the fastest and most accurate pattern recognition method for face and facial region detection (Kolsch and Turk, 2004).
- * 2.
- Then, the framework extracts PLBP features from the mouth region, feature vector of 295 dimensions (f1,?,f295). The classification (?Classifier-a? in Fig. 4) is carried out on the basis of extracted features in order to make two groups of facial expressions. First group comprises of those expressions that

has one perceptual salient region i.e. happiness, sadness and surprise while the second group is composed of those expressions that have two or more perceptual salient regions i.e. anger, fear and disgust (see Section 4.3). Purpose of making two groups of expressions is to reduce feature extraction computational time.

* 3.

If the stimuli is classified in the first group, then it is classified either as happiness, sadness or surprise by the ?Classifier-b? using already extracted PLBP features from the mouth region.

* 4.

If the stimuli is classified in the second group, then the framework extracts PLBP features from the eyes region and concatenates them with the already extracted PLBP features from the mouth region, feature vector of 590 dimensions ($f_1, ?, f_{295} + f_1, ?, f_{295}$). Then, the concatenated feature vector is fed to the classifier (?Classifier-c?) for the final classification. It is worth mentioning here that for the expression of ?disgust? nose region emerged as one of the salient regions but the framework do not explicitly extracts features from this region. This is due to the fact that, the region of nose that emerged as salient is the upper nose (wrinkles) area which is connected and already included in the localization of the eyes region, refer Fig. 3.

1. Download: Download high-res image (182KB)

2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 4. Schematic overview of the framework.

6\.. Experiment and results

We performed person-independent facial expression recognition using proposed PLBP features.1 We performed four experiments to test different scenarios.

* 1.

First experiment was performed on the extended Cohn?Kanade (CK+) database (Lucey et al., 2010). This database contains 593 sequences of posed universal expressions.

* 2.

Second experiment was performed to test the performance of the proposed framework on low resolution image sequences.

* 3.

Third experiment tests the robustness of the proposed framework when generalizing on a new dataset.

* 4.

Fourth experiment was performed on the MMI facial expression database (parts IV and V of the database) (Valstar and Pantic, 2010) which contains spontaneous/natural expressions.

For the first two experiments we used all the 309 sequences from the CK+ database which have FACS coded expression label (Ekman and Friesen, 1978). The experiment was carried out on the frames which covers the status of onset to apex of the expression, as done by Yang et al. (2010). Region of interest was obtained automatically by using Viola?Jones object detection algorithm (Viola and Jones, 2001) and processed to obtain PLBP feature vector. We extracted LBP features only from the salient region(s) using LBP8,2u2 operator which denotes a uniform LBP operator with eight sampling pixels in a local neighborhood region of radius 2. Only exception was in the second experiment, when we adopted LBP4,1u2 operator when the spatial facial resolution gets smaller than 36×48 .

In our framework we created image pyramid up to level 1, so in turn got five sub-regions from one facial region i.e. mouth region (see Fig. 2). In total we obtained 295 dimensional feature vector (59 dimensions/sub-region). As

mentioned earlier we adopted LBP4,1u2 operator when the spatial facial resolution was 18×24 . In this case we obtained 75 dimensional feature vector (15 dimensions/sub-region).

We recorded correct classification accuracy in the range of 95% for image pyramid level 1. We decided not to test framework with further image pyramid levels as it would double the size of feature vector and thus increase the feature extraction time and likely would add few percents in the accuracy of the framework which will be insignificant for a framework holistically.

6.1. First experiment: posed expressions

This experiment measures the performance of the proposed framework on the classical database i.e. extended Cohn+Kanade (CK+) database (Lucey et al., 2010). Most of the methods in literature report their performance on this database, so this experiment could be considered as the benchmark experiment for facial expression recognition framework.

The performance of the framework was evaluated for five different classifiers:

* 1.

Support vector machine (SVM) with $\gamma=0.001$ kernel and $C=1$

* 2.

C4.5 decision tree (DT) with reduced-error pruning

* 3.

Random forest (RF) of 10 trees

* 4.

2 Nearest neighbor (2NN) based on Euclidean distance

* 5.

Naive Bayes (NB) classifier

Above mentioned classifiers are briefly described below.

Support vector machine (SVM). SVM performs an implicit mapping of data into a higher dimensional feature space, and then finds a linear separating hyperplane with the maximal margin to separate data in this higher dimensional space (Vapnik, 1995). Given a training set of labeled examples $\{(x_i, y_i), i=1, \dots, l\}$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, a new test example x is classified by the following function:
$$f(x) = \text{sgn} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l y_i K(x_i, x) + b \right)$$
 where y_i are Lagrange multipliers of a dual optimization problem that describe the separating hyperplane, $K(.,.)$ is a kernel function, and b is the threshold parameter of the hyperplane. We used Chi-Square kernel as it is best suited for histograms. It is given by:
$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_i (x_i - y_i)^2}{\sum_i (x_i + y_i)^2}$$

Classification Trees. A classification tree is a classifier composed by nodes and branches which break the set of samples into a set of covering decision rules. In each node, a single test is made to obtain the partition. The starting node is called the root of the tree. In the final nodes or leaves, a decision about the classification of the case is made. In this work, we have used C4.5 paradigm (Quinlan, 1993). Random forest (RFs) are collections of decision trees (DTs) that have been constructed randomly. RFs generally performs better than DT on unseen data.

Instance Based Learning. k -NN classifiers are instance-based algorithms taking a conceptually straightforward approach to approximate real or discrete valued target functions. The learning process consists in simply storing the presented data. All instances correspond to points in an n -dimensional space and the nearest neighbors of a given query are defined in terms of the standard Euclidean distance. The probability of a query q belonging to a class c can be calculated as follows:
$$p(c|q) = \frac{\sum_{k \in K_c} \frac{1}{d(k, q)^k}}{\sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{d(k, q)^k}}$$
 where K_c is the set of nearest neighbors, K the class of q and $d(k, q)$ the Euclidean distance of k from q .

Naive Bayes classifiers. The naive-Bayes (NB) classifier uses the Bayes theorem to predict the class for each case, assuming that the predictive genes are independent given the category. To classify a new sample characterized by d genes $X=(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$, the NB classifier applies the following rule: $CN-B = \arg \max_{c_j} \prod_{i=1}^d p(x_i | c_j)$ where $CN-B$ denotes the class label predicted by the naive-Bayes classifier and the possible classes of the problem are grouped in $C=\{c_1, \dots, c_l\}$.

6.1.1. Results

The framework achieved average recognition rate of 96.7%, 97.9%, 96.2%, 94.7% and 90.2% for SVM, 2 nearest neighbor (2NN), random forest (RF), C4.5 decision tree (DT) and naive-Bayes (NB) respectively using 10-fold cross validation technique. One of the most interesting aspects of our approach is that it gives excellent results for a simple 2NN classifier which is a non-parametric method. This points to the fact that framework do not need computationally expensive methods such as SVM, random forests or decision trees to obtain good results. In general, the proposed framework achieved high expression recognition accuracies irrespective of the classifiers, proves the descriptive strength of the extracted features (feature minimizes within-class variations of expressions, while maximizes between class variations). For comparison and reporting results, we have used the classification results obtained by the SVM as it is the most cited method for classification in the literature.

6.1.2. Comparisons

We chose to compare average recognition performance of our framework with the framework proposed by Shan et al. (2009) with different SVM kernels. Our choice was based on the fact that both have common underlying descriptor i.e. local binary pattern (LBP), secondly framework proposed by Shan et al. (2009) is highly cited in the literature. Our framework obtained average recognition percentage of 93.5% for SVM linear kernel while for the same kernel Shan et al. (2009) have reported 91.5%. For SVM with polynomial kernel and SVM with RBF kernel our framework achieved recognition accuracy of 94.7% and 94.9% respectively, as compared to 91.5% and 92.6%.

In terms of time and memory cost of feature extraction process, we have measured and compared our descriptor with the frameworks proposed by Shan et al. (2009) and Bartlett et al. (2003). The results are presented in Table 1. Shan et al. (2009) have reported their results for two set of features i.e. LBP and Gabor. In Table 1 ?Shan et al. (2009)(a)? corresponds to results obtained with LBP features, while ?Shan et al. (2009)(b)? corresponds to Gabor feature result. Table 1 shows the effectiveness of the proposed descriptor for facial feature analysis i.e. PLBP, for real-time applications as it is memory efficient and its extraction time is much lower than other compared descriptor (see Section 5 for the dimensionality calculation). In Table 1 feature dimension reported are stored in a data type ?float? and float occupies four bytes. The proposed framework is compared with the other state-of-the-art frameworks using same database (i.e. Cohn?Kanade database) and the results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 shows the comparison of the achieved average recognition rate of the proposed framework with the state-of-the-art methods using same database (i.e. Cohn?Kanade database). Results from Yang et al. (2010) are presented for the two configurations. ?Yang et al. (2010)(a)? shows the result when the method was evaluated for the last three frames from the sequence while ?Yang et al. (2010)(b)? presents the reported result for the frames which encompasses the status from onset to apex of the expression. It can be observed from Table 2 that the proposed framework is comparable to any other state-of-the-art method in terms of expression recognition accuracy. The method discussed in ?Yang et

al. (2010)(b)? is directly comparable to our method (frames which covers the status of onset to apex of the expression). In this configuration, our framework is better in terms of average recognition accuracy.

In general, Table 1, Table 2 show that the framework is better than the state-of-the-art frameworks in terms of average expression recognition performance, time and memory costs of feature extraction processes. These results show that the system could be used with the high degree of confidence for real-time applications as its unoptimized Matlab implementation runs at more than 30 frames/second.

6.2. Second experiment: low resolution image sequences

Most of the existing state-of-the-art systems for expressions recognition report their results on high resolution images without reporting results on low resolution images. As mentioned earlier there are many real world applications that require expression recognition system to work amicably on low resolution images. Smart meeting, video conferencing and visual surveillance are some examples of such applications. To compare with Tian?s work (Tian, 2004), we tested our proposed framework on low resolution images of four different facial resolutions (144×192 , 72×96 , 36×48 , 18×24) based on Cohn?Kanade database. Tian?s work can be considered as the pioneering work for low resolution image facial expression recognition. Fig. 5 shows the images at different spatial resolution along with the average recognition accuracy achieved by the different methods. Low resolution image sequences were obtained by down sampling the original sequences. All the other experimental parameters i.e. descriptor, number of sequences and region of interest, were same as mentioned earlier in the Section 6.

1. Download: Download high-res image (189KB)
2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 5. Robustness of different methods for facial expression recognition with decreasing image resolution. PHOG[ICIP] corresponds to framework proposed by Khan et al. (2012b), Gabor[CVPRW] corresponds to Tian?s work (Tian, 2004), LBP[JIVC] and Gabor[JIVC] corresponds to results reported by Shan et al. (2009)

Fig. 5 reports the recognition results of the proposed framework with the state-of-the-art methods on four different low facial resolution images. Reported results of our proposed method i.e. are obtained using support vector machine (SVM) with $\gamma = 2$ kernel and $C=1$. In Fig. 5 recognition curve for our proposed method is shown as PLBP-SVM, recognition curves of LBP (Shan et al., 2009) and Gabor (Shan et al., 2009) are shown as LBP[JIVC] and Gabor[JIVC] respectively, curve for Tian?s work (Tian, 2004) is shown as Gabor[CVPRW] while Khan et al. (2012b) proposed system?s curve is shown as PHOG[ICIP]. Results reports in LBP (Shan et al., 2009) and Gabor (Shan et al., 2009), the different facial image resolution are 110×150 , 55×75 , 27×37 and 14×19 which are comparable to the resolutions of 144×192 , 72×96 , 36×48 , 18×24 pixels in our experiment. Referenced figure shows the supremacy of the proposed framework for low resolution images. Specially for the smallest tested facial image resolution (18×24) our framework performs much better than any other compared state-of-the-art method.

Results from the first and second experiment show that the proposed framework for facial expression recognition works amicably on classical dataset (CK dataset) and its performance is not effected significantly for low resolution images. Secondly, the framework has a very low memory requirement and thus it can be utilized for real-time applications.

6.3. Third experiment: generalization on the new dataset

The aim of this experiment is to study how well the proposed framework

generalizes on the new dataset. Valstar et al. (2005) have reported such data earlier to show generalization ability of their expression recognition system. Thus, this experiment helps to understand how the framework will behave when it will be used to classify expressions in real life videos. We used image sequences from CK+ dataset and FG-NET FEED (facial expressions and emotion database) (Wallhoff, 2006). FG-NET FEED contains 399 video sequences across 18 different individuals showing seven facial expressions i.e. six universal expression (Ekman, 1971) plus one neutral. In this dataset individuals were not asked to act rather expressions were captured while showing them video clips or still images i.e. natural expressions.

The experiment was carried out on the frames which covers the status of onset to apex of the expression as done in the previous experiment. This experiment was performed in two different scenarios, with the same classifier parameters as the first experiment:

* (a)

In the first scenario samples from the CK+ database were used for the training of different classifiers and samples from FG-NET FEED (Wallhoff, 2006) were used for the testing. Obtained results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Average recognition accuracy (%).

Empty Cell| SVM| C4.5 DT| RF| 2NN

---|---|---|---|---

Training on CK+ database and testing it with FG-NET FEED

Training samples| 96.7| 94.7| 96.2| 97.9

Test samples| 81.9| 74.8| 79.5| 83.1

Training on FG-NET FEED and testing it with CK+ database

Training samples| 92.3| 91.2| 90.5| 93.3

Test samples| 80.5| 77.3| 79| 84.7

* (b)

In the second scenario we used samples from the FG-NET FEED for the training and testing was carried out with the CK+ database samples. Results obtained are presented in last two rows of Table 3.

This experiment simulates the real life situation when the framework would be employed to recognize facial expressions on the unseen data. Obtained results are presented in Table 3. Reported average recognition percentages for training phase were calculated using 10-fold cross validation method. It can be observed from the result that generally average recognition accuracy drops by 15% across different classifiers during testing phase. This could be due to the fact that the two databases used for training and testing phase have inherent differences i.e. played emotions differ from the natural/spontaneous ones. Obtained results can be probably further improved by training classifiers on more than one dataset before using in real life scenario.

6.4. Fourth experiment: spontaneous expressions

Spontaneous/natural facial expressions differ substantially from posed expressions (Bartlett et al., 2002). The same has also been proved by psychophysical work (Ekman, 2001). To test the performance of the proposed framework on the spontaneous facial expressions we used 392 video segments from parts IV and V of the MMI facial expression database (Valstar and Pantic, 2010). Parts IV and V of the database contains spontaneous/naturalistic expressions recorded from 25 participants aged between 20 and 32 years in two different settings. Due to ethical concerns the database contains only the video recording of the expressions of happiness, surprise and disgust (Valstar and Pantic, 2010).

The framework achieved average recognition rate of 91%, 91.4%, 90.3% and 88% for SVM, 2-nearest neighbor, random forest and C4.5 decision tree respectively

using 10-fold cross validation technique. Algorithm of Park and Kim (2008) for spontaneous expression recognition achieved results for three expressions in the range of 56?88% for four different configurations which is less than recognition rate of our proposed algorithm, although results cannot be compared directly as they used different database.

7\ Conclusions and future work

We presented a novel descriptor and framework for automatic and reliable facial expression recognition. Framework is based on initial study of human vision and works adequately on posed as well as on spontaneous expressions. The key conclusions drawn from the study are:

* 1.

Facial expressions can be analyzed automatically by mimicking human visual system i.e. extracting features only from the salient facial regions.

* 2.

Features extracted using proposed pyramidal local binary pattern (PLBP) operator have strong discriminative ability as the recognition result for six universal expressions is not effected by the choice of classifier.

* 3.

The proposed framework is robust for low resolution images, spontaneous expressions and generalizes well on unseen data.

* 4.

The proposed framework can be used for real-time applications since its unoptimized Matlab implementation run at more than 30 frames/second on a Windows 64 bit machine with i7 processor running at 2.4 GHz having 6 GB of RAM.

In future we plan to investigate the effect of occlusion as this parameter could significantly impact the performance of the framework for real world applications. Secondly, the notion of movement could improve the performance of the proposed framework for real world applications as the experimental study conducted by Bassili (1979) suggested that dynamic information is important for facial expression recognition. Another parameter that needs to be investigated is the variations of camera angle as for many applications frontal facial pose is difficult to record. Lastly, in future we would also like to evaluate the proposed framework on streaming artifacts i.e. ringing, contouring, posterization, etc.

Acknowledgment

This research work is funded by the Région Rhône-Alpes, France through ARC 6.

Recommended articles

References

1. Aha and Bankert, 1994

D. Aha, R.L. Bankert

Feature selection for case-based classification of cloud types: an empirical comparison

Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AAAI) Workshop on Case-Based Reasoning, AAAI Press (1994), pp. 106-112

Google Scholar

2. Bai et al., 2009

Bai, Y., Guo, L., Jin, L., Huang, Q., 2009. A novel feature extraction method using pyramid histogram of orientation gradients for smile recognition. In: International Conference on Image Processing.

Google Scholar

3. Bartlett et al., 2002

Bartlett, M.S., Littlewort, G., Braathen, B., Sejnowski, T.J., Movellan, J.R., 2002. A prototype for automatic recognition of spontaneous facial actions. In:

Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

Google Scholar

4. Bartlett et al., 2003

Bartlett, M.S., Littlewort, G., Fasel, I., Movellan, J.R., 2003. Real time face detection and facial expression recognition: development and applications to human computer interaction. In: Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop, 2003.

Google Scholar

5. Bassili, 1979

J.N. Bassili

Emotion recognition: the role of facial movement and the relative importance of upper and lower areas of the face

Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 37 (1979), pp. 2049-2058

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

6. Donato et al., 1999

G. Donato, M.S. Bartlett, J.C. Hager, P. Ekman, T.J. Sejnowski

Classifying facial actions

IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 21 (1999), pp. 974-989

Google Scholar

7. Dornaika et al., 2011

F. Dornaika, E. Lazkano, B. Sierra

Improving dynamic facial expression recognition with feature subset selection

Pattern Recognition Letters, 32 (2011), pp. 740-748

View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

8. Ekman, 1971

P. Ekman

Universals and cultural differences in facial expressions of emotion

Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, Lincoln University of Nebraska Press (1971), pp. 207-283

Google Scholar

9. Ekman, 2001

P. Ekman

Telling Lies: Clues to Deceit in the Marketplace, Politics, and Marriage

(third ed.), W.W. Norton & Company, New York (2001)

Google Scholar

10. Ekman and Friesen, 1978

Ekman, P., Friesen, W., 1978. The facial action coding system: a technique for the measurement of facial movements. Consulting Psychologist.

Google Scholar

11. Guo and Dyer, 2003

G. Guo, C.R. Dyer

Simultaneous feature selection and classifier training via linear programming: a case study for face expression recognition

IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA (2003), pp. 346-352

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

12. Guo et al., 2010

Guo, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, D., Mou, X., 2010. Hierarchical multiscale lbp for face and palmprint recognition. In: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, pp. 4521-4524.

Google Scholar

13. Hadjidemetriou et al., 2004

E. Hadjidemetriou, M. Grossberg, S. Nayar

Multiresolution histograms and their use for recognition

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 26 (2004), pp. 831-847

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

14. Itti et al., 1998

L. Itti, C. Koch, E. Niebur

A model of saliency-based visual attention for rapid scene analysis

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 20 (1998), pp. 1254-1259

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

15. Jain and Zongker, 1997

A. Jain, D. Zongker

Feature selection: evaluation, application, and small sample performance

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 19 (1997), pp. 153-158

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

16. Khan et al., 2012a

Khan, R.A., Meyer, A., Konik, H., Bouakaz, S., 2012a. Exploring human visual

system: study to aid the development of automatic facial expression

recognition framework. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop.

[Google Scholar](#)

17. Khan et al., 2012b

Khan, R.A., Meyer, A., Konik, H., Bouakaz, S., 2012b. Human vision inspired

framework for facial expressions recognition. In: IEEE (Ed.), IEEE

International Conference on Image Processing.

[Google Scholar](#)

18. Kolsch and Turk, 2004

Kolsch, M., Turk, M., 2004. Analysis of rotational robustness of hand

detection with a viola-jones detector. In: 17th International Conference on

Pattern Recognition, vol. 3, pp. 107 ? 110.

[Google Scholar](#)

19. Kotsia et al., 2008

I. Kotsia, S. Zafeiriou, I. Pitas

Texture and shape information fusion for facial expression and facial action

unit recognition

Pattern Recognition, 41 (2008), pp. 833-851

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

20. Littlewort et al., 2006

G. Littlewort, M.S. Bartlett, I. Fasel, J. Susskind, J. Movellan

Dynamics of facial expression extracted automatically from video

Image and Vision Computing, 24 (2006), pp. 615-625

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

21. Lucey et al., 2010

Lucey, P., Cohn, J.F., Kanade, T., Saragih, J., Ambadar, Z., Matthews, I.,

2010. The extended Cohn?Kanade dataset (CK+): a complete facial expression

dataset for action unit and emotion-specified expression. In: IEEE Conference

on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop.

[Google Scholar](#)

22. Moore and Bowden, 2011

S. Moore, R. Bowden

Local binary patterns for multi-view facial expression recognition

Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 115 (2011), pp. 541-558

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

23. Ojala and Pietikäinen, 1999

T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen

Unsupervised texture segmentation using feature distributions

Pattern Recognition, 32 (1999), pp. 477-486

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

24. Ojala et al., 1996

T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen, D. Harwood

A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on featured distribution

Pattern Recognition, 29 (1996), pp. 51-59

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[Google Scholar](#)

25. Ojala et al., 2002

T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen, T. Mäenpää

Multiresolution gray-scale and rotation invariant texture classification with local binary patterns

IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 24 (2002), pp.

971-987

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

26. Ojansivu and Heikkilä, 2008

Ojansivu, V., Heikkilä, J., 2008. Blur insensitive texture classification

using local phase quantization. In: International conference on Image and Signal Processing.

[Google Scholar](#)

27. Pantic and Patras, 2006

M. Pantic, I. Patras

Dynamics of facial expression: recognition of facial actions and their temporal segments from face profile image sequences

IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 36 (2006), pp. 433-449

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

28. Park and Kim, 2008

Park, S., Kim, D., 2008. Spontaneous facial expression classification with facial motion vector. In: IEEE Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition.

[Google Scholar](#)

29. Pudil et al., 1994

P. Pudil, J. Novovičová, J. Kittler

Floating search methods in feature selection

Pattern Recognition Letters, 15 (1994), pp. 1119-1125

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

30. Quinlan, 1993

J.R. Quinlan

C4.5: Programs for Machine Learning

Morgan Kaufmann (1993)

[Google Scholar](#)

31. Shan et al., 2009

C. Shan, S. Gong, P.W. McOwan

Facial expression recognition based on local binary patterns: a comprehensive study

Image and Vision Computing, 27 (2009), pp. 803-816

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

32. Tian, 2004

Tian, Y., 2004. Evaluation of face resolution for expression analysis. In:

Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop.

[Google Scholar](#)

33. Vailaya, 2000

Vailaya, A., 2000. Semantic classification in image database. Ph.D. thesis. Michigan State University.

Google Scholar

34. Valstar and Pantic, 2010

Valstar, M., Pantic, M., 2010. Induced disgust, happiness and surprise: an addition to the MMI facial expression database. In: International Language Resources and Evaluation Conference.

Google Scholar

35. Valstar et al., 2005

Valstar, M., Patras, I., Pantic, M., 2005. Facial action unit detection using probabilistic actively learned support vector machines on tracked facial point data. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop, pp. 76?84.

Google Scholar

36. Vapnik, 1995

V.N. Vapnik

The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory

Springer-Verlag, New York (1995)

Google Scholar

37. Viola and Jones, 2001

Viola, P., Jones, M., 2001. Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.

Google Scholar

38. Wallhoff, 2006

Wallhoff, F., 2006. Facial expressions and emotion database. www.mmk.ei.tum.de/?waf/fgnet/feedtum.html.

Google Scholar

39. Wang et al., 2011

Wang, W., Chen, W., Xu, D., 2011. Pyramid-based multi-scale lbp features for face recognition. In: International Conference on Multimedia and Signal Processing (CMSP), pp. 151?155.

Google Scholar

40. Yang et al., 2010

Yang, P., Liu, Q., Metaxas, D.N., 2010. Exploring facial expressions with compositional features. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.

Google Scholar

41. Zhang and Ji, 2005

Y. Zhang, Q. Ji

Active and dynamic information fusion for facial expression understanding from image sequences

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 27 (2005), pp. 699-714

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

42. Zhao and Pietikäinen, 2007

G. Zhao, M. Pietikäinen

Dynamic texture recognition using local binary patterns with an application to facial expressions

IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 29 (2007), pp. 915-928

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

43. Zhaoping, 2006

L. Zhaoping

Theoretical understanding of the early visual processes by data compression and data selection

Network: Computation in Neural Systems, 17 (2006), pp. 301-334

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

Cited by (121)

* ### A novel combinatorial optimization based feature selection method for network intrusion detection 2021, Computers and Security

Citation Excerpt :

RF can perform classification and regression tasks. The performance of RF classifier is generally better than decision tree on unseen data Khan et al. (2013). In this section we will discuss the experiments performed to assess proposed feature selection algorithm and their results.

Show abstract

The advancements in communication technologies and ubiquitous accessibility to a wide array of services has opened many challenges. Growing numbers of cyberattacks show that current security solutions and technologies do not provide effective safeguard against modern attacks. Intrusion is one of the main issue that has gone viral and can compromise the security of a network of any size. Intrusion Detection / Prevention Systems (IDS / IPS) are used to monitor, inspect and possibly block attacks. However, traditional intrusion detection techniques like signature or anomaly (network behavior) based approaches are prone to many weaknesses. Advancements in machine learning algorithms, data mining and soft computing techniques have shown potential to be used in IDS. All of these technologies, specially machine learning algorithms have to deal with the issue of high dimensionality of data /network traffic data as high dimensional data makes data sparse in hyper-space which restricts different algorithms scaling and generalization capabilities.

Secondly, the problem magnitude also grows exponentially when IDS needs to make decision in a real time environment. One of the solution is to tackle this issue is to use feature selection techniques to reduce dimensionality of data. Feature selection is a process of selecting the optimal subset of features from a large feature-set to improve classification accuracy, performance and cost of extracting features. In this paper, we proposed a wrapper-based feature selection method called ?Tabu Search - Random Forest (TS-RF)?. Tabu search is used as a search method while random forest is used as a learning algorithm for Network Intrusion Detection Systems (NIDS). The proposed model is tested on the UNSW-NB15 dataset. The obtained results compared with other feature selection approaches. Results show that TS-RF improves classification accuracy while reducing number of features and false positive rate simultaneously.

* ### A survey of emotion recognition methods with emphasis on E-Learning environments 2019, Journal of Network and Computer Applications

Citation Excerpt :

In other words, the pyramidal approach is combined with LBP descriptor to analysis the face images. Similar to other facial emotion recognition methods, the framework in (Khan et al., 2013) consists of three stages: face detection, feature extraction and classification. The face and salient facial regions are detected using Viola-Jones object detection algorithm, which is the most cited, fastest and the most accurate method for face detection (Viola and Jones, 2001).

Show abstract

Emotions play an important role in the learning process. Considering the learner's emotions is essential for electronic learning (e-learning) systems. Some researchers have proposed that system should induce and conduct the

learner's emotions to the suitable state. But, at first, the learner's emotions have to be recognized by the system. There are different methods in the context of human emotions recognition. The emotions can be recognized by asking from the user, tracking implicit parameters, voice recognition, facial expression recognition, vital signals and gesture recognition. Moreover, hybrid methods have been also proposed which use two or more of these methods through fusing multi-modal emotional cues. In the e-learning systems, the system's user is the learner. For some reasons, which have been discussed in this study, some of the user emotions recognition methods are more suitable in the e-learning systems and some of them are inappropriate. In this work, different emotion theories are reviewed. Then, various emotions recognition methods have been represented and their advantages and disadvantages of them have been discussed for utilizing in the e-learning systems. According to the findings of this research, the multi-modal emotion recognition systems through information fusion as facial expressions, body gestures and user's messages provide better efficiency than the single-modal ones.

* #### Handwritten Optical Character Recognition (OCR): A Comprehensive Systematic Literature Review (SLR)

2020, IEEE Access

* #### Emotion Modelling for Social Robotics Applications: A Review

2018, Journal of Bionic Engineering

* #### A brief review of facial emotion recognition based on visual information

2018, Sensors (Switzerland)

* #### Facial expression recognition and histograms of oriented gradients: a comprehensive study

2015, SpringerPlus

View all citing articles on Scopus

1

Video showing the result of the proposed framework on good quality image sequences is available at: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RPeXBdS_pd8.

View Abstract

Copyright © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Recommended articles

* #### Emotional facial expressions evoke faster orienting responses, but weaker emotional responses at neural and behavioural levels compared to scenes: A simultaneous EEG and facial EMG study

NeuroImage, Volume 124, Part A, 2016, pp. 931-946

Aimee Mavratzakis, ?, Peter Walla

View PDF

* #### Optimization of machine learning algorithm of emotion recognition in terms of human facial expressions

Procedia Computer Science, Volume 169, 2020, pp. 244-248

Ekaterina Ivanova, Georgii Borzunov

View PDF

* #### A novel database for automatic processing of Persian handwritten bank checks

Pattern Recognition, Volume 74, 2018, pp. 253-265

Younes Akbari, ?, Chawki Djeddi

View PDF

* #### Facial expression recognition with Convolutional Neural Networks: Coping with few data and the training sample order

Pattern Recognition, Volume 61, 2017, pp. 610-628

André Teixeira Lopes, ?, Thiago Oliveira-Santos

View PDF

* #### A novel combinatorial optimization based feature selection method for network intrusion detection

Computers & Security, Volume 102, 2021, Article 102164

Anjum Nazir, Rizwan Ahmed Khan

[View PDF](#)

* ### Extended deep neural network for facial emotion recognition

Pattern Recognition Letters, Volume 120, 2019, pp. 69-74

Deepak Kumar Jain, ?, Paramjit Sehdev

[View PDF](#)

Show 3 more articles

Article Metrics

Citations

* Citation Indexes121

* Patent Family Citations1

Captures

* Readers108

Social Media

* Shares, Likes & Comments42

[View details](#)

* [About ScienceDirect](#)

* [Remote access](#)

* [Shopping cart](#)

* [Advertise](#)

* [Contact and support](#)

* [Terms and conditions](#)

* [Privacy policy](#)

Cookies are used by this site. [Cookie Settings](#)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2024 Elsevier B.V., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the Creative Commons licensing terms apply.

[Cookie Preference Center](#)

We use cookies which are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyse, improve and personalise our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy](#) and the list of [Google Ad-Tech Vendors](#).

You may choose not to allow some types of cookies. However, blocking some types may impact your experience of our site and the services we are able to offer. See the different category headings below to find out more or change your settings.

[Allow all](#)

[Manage Consent Preferences](#)

[Strictly Necessary Cookies](#)

[Always active](#)

These cookies are necessary for the website to function and cannot be switched off in our systems. They are usually only set in response to actions made by you which amount to a request for services, such as setting your privacy preferences, logging in or filling in forms. You can set your browser to block or alert you about these cookies, but some parts of the site will not then work. These cookies do not store any personally identifiable information.

[Cookie Details List?](#)

[Functional Cookies](#)

[Functional Cookies](#)

These cookies enable the website to provide enhanced functionality and personalisation. They may be set by us or by third party providers whose services we have added to our pages. If you do not allow these cookies then some or all of these services may not function properly.

[Cookie Details List?](#)

Performance Cookies

Performance Cookies

These cookies allow us to count visits and traffic sources so we can measure and improve the performance of our site. They help us to know which pages are the most and least popular and see how visitors move around the site.

Cookie Details List?

Targeting Cookies

Targeting Cookies

These cookies may be set through our site by our advertising partners. They may be used by those companies to build a profile of your interests and show you relevant adverts on other sites. If you do not allow these cookies, you will experience less targeted advertising.

Cookie Details List?

Back Button

Cookie List

Search Icon

Filter Icon

Clear

checkbox label label

Apply Cancel

Consent Leg.Interest

checkbox label label

checkbox label label

checkbox label label

Confirm my choices