AUTONOMOUS POOLS

I now would like to elaborate on the two major components that I just brushed over in the user scenario. In a general scope these components, by nature, cryptographically employ the concepts of 'community' and 'individuality' , that I first mentioned in the introductory part of the chapter, while constantly checking in with the physical space.

The first one of those decentralized components is the Autonomous Question Pool (AQP) which is essentially a publicly curated question pool. The evaluative questions that the user is presented with are the product of this pool. As mentioned in the user scenario these questions within AQP are catergorized in 3 different sets. The first set of questions relates to the physical space(s) and their spatial qualities, the second set is concerned with the clerk(s) that the 'administered' has interacted with, and the last one deals with the individual themselves. AQP is, as well, managed through a smart contract to provide fairness and immutability through the decentralized infrastructure. Besides functioning like a community run wall of aphorisms, this immutable platform allows participants to input their own question as they please while giving them the opportunity to vote on previously input ones aiming to favor them in the filtering process. Respectively, whether they may be about the space, the clerk, or the individual, the five questions per section will be programmatically chosen and filtered to become the current LOBALOBA questions for the evaluation of users' cases. Hence, this autonomy in the organization is intended to establish a thorough sense of interactive and participative community reinforcing the decentralized aspect as well as permitting an organic development instead of an imposed one on the users.