"Governments of the Industrial World, you weary giants of flesh and steel, I come from Cyberspace, the new home of Mind. On behalf of the future, I ask you of the past to leave us alone. You are not welcome among us. You have no sovereignty where we gather. We have no elected government, nor are we likely to have one, so I address you with no greater authority than that with which liberty itself always speaks. I declare the global social space we are building to be naturally independent of the tyrannies you seek to impose on us. You have no moral right to rule us, nor do you possess any methods of enforcement we have true reason to fear. Governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed. You have neither solicited nor received ours. We did not invite you. You do not know us, nor do you know our world. Cyberspace does not lie within your borders. Do not think that you can build it, as though it were a public construction project. You cannot. It is an act of nature, and it grows itself through our collective actions. You have not engaged in our great and gathering conversation, nor did you create the wealth of our marketplaces. You do not know our culture, our ethics, or the unwritten codes that already provide our society more order than could be obtained by any of your impositions. You claim there are problems among us that you need to solve. You use this claim as an excuse to invade our precincts. Many of these problems don't exist. Where there are real conflicts, where there are wrongs, we will identify them and address them by our means. We are forming our own Social Contract. This governance will arise according to the conditions of our world, not yours. Our world is different" (Barlow 1996, quoted in Ludlow 2001: 1-2).

For various reasons this declaration has received a lot of criticism. Besides its libertarian character, Bennahum (2001) argues for the text being naive and delusional in the sense that we don't actually inhabit cyberspace, but the real world, composed of real places, real governments and legislation. Nevertheless, Ludlow (2001: 4) gives credit to Barlow arguing that people's virtual reality with its virtual identity in many instances might matter just as much as those of the real world.

All of these aspects indicate in one way or another the erosion of nation states. Timothy May observed the global flow of data and its implication for borders far before data would become the major recourse of our century: "National borders are becoming more transparent than ever to