

Modelling and quantifying mortality and longevity risk

Module A.2 on Single population stochastic mortality models

Katrien Antonio & Michel Vellekoop

September 18-19, 2025

Actuarial Summer School, Faculty of Economic Sciences, University of Warsaw

Learning outcomes

Motivation

Collecting and analyzing lifetime data

The age-period survival likelihood

Single population stochastic mortality models: the Lee & Carter model

Single population stochastic mortality models: a generic class of models

Model selection tools

Wrap-up

In this module you will learn

- how to reason along cohort (or: dynamic) instead of period thinking
- how actuarial lifetime data can be available at different levels of granularity
- how to build up the survival likelihood from individual lifetime data
- the Lee & Carter model: specification, intuition, calibration and time dynamics
- from Lee & Carter to a generic class of single population stochastic mortality models.

Motivation

In Module A.1 we gave a recap of the EPV expressions for basic life insurance and annuity products.

Clearly, the long-term horizon is distinctive for the valuation of life contingent risks.

Reflecting on possible evolutions in **mortality rates** is essential when valuing these types of risks.

Hereto, we will

- apply **cohort** thinking instead of the **period** thinking developed in Module A.1
- develop and use best estimates + scenarios for **future mortality rates**.

Survival probabilities: period vs cohort

Consider (x) , a policyholder aged x in year t , with future lifetime $T_{x,t}$.

Period thinking:

$${}_k p_{x,t} = \Pr(T_{x,t} \geq k) = p_{x,t} \cdot p_{x+1,t} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x+k-1,t}$$

Cohort thinking:

$${}_k p_{x,t} = \Pr(T_{x,t} \geq k) = p_{x,t} \cdot p_{x+1,t+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x+k-1,t+k-1}$$

Need for mortality projections and scenarios (beyond most recent t) in the cohort approach!

The **period life expectancy** for an x year old in year t is

$$E^{\text{per}}[T_{x,t}] = \frac{1 - \exp(-\mu_{x,t})}{\mu_{x,t}} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \exp(-\mu_{x+j,t}) \right) \frac{1 - \exp(-\mu_{x+k,t})}{\mu_{x+k,t}},$$

cfr. the expression derived in Module A.1, under piecewise constant force of mortality, and $p_{x,t} = \exp(-\mu_{x,t})$.

The **cohort life expectancy** for an x year old in year t is

$$E^{\text{coh}}[T_{x,t}] = \frac{1 - \exp(-\mu_{x,t})}{\mu_{x,t}} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \exp(-\mu_{x+j,t+j}) \right) \frac{1 - \exp(-\mu_{x+k,t+k})}{\mu_{x+k,t+k}}.$$

Collecting and analyzing lifetime data

Throughout the modules and tutorials we will consider actuarial lifetime data being collected and processed in different ways:

- (M.A.1; T1) in (period) life tables, with entries (e.g.) λ_x , d_x , q_x
- (M.A.2-M.B.1; T1-2) number of deaths and corresponding exposure-to-risk, collected at population level per age x and year t combination \Rightarrow to estimate $\mu_{x,t}$
- (M.B.3-M.C.2; T3) idem, but now collected at a finer level of granularity, e.g., weeks instead of years
- (M.C.1) as detailed event data on policyholders or pension plan members (\sim seriatim data).

M is for Module and T for Tutorial.

The age-period survival likelihood

- ▶ Given integer age x and calendar year or period t , we assume:

$$\mu_{x+\tau, t+\tau} = \mu_{x, t} \quad 0 \leq \tau < 1,$$

the **piecewise constant** force of mortality but now in the age-period setting.

- ▶ Using this assumption and $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$, we find:

$${}_{\epsilon}p_{x, t} = \exp \left(- \int_0^{\epsilon} \mu_{x+\tau, t+\tau} d\tau \right) = \exp (-\epsilon \cdot \mu_{x, t}).$$

- ▶ Our goal is to put together a suitable **likelihood function to estimate** $\mu_{x, t}$, for every age x and period t .

- ▶ Consider n individuals alive with age in $[x, x + 1)$, followed throughout period $[t, t + 1)$.
- ▶ Denote for every individual $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\delta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } i \text{ dies at age } x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let τ_i be the fraction of the period lived by individual i , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = d_{x,t} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i = E_{x,t},$$

with $d_{x,t}$ the number of deaths at age x in period $[t, t + 1)$ and $E_{x,t}$ the corresponding (central) exposure to risk.

► The **contribution** of individual i to the **survival likelihood** becomes:

- $\tau_i p_{x,t} = \exp(-\tau_i \cdot \mu_{x,t})$ if the individual **survives**
- $\tau_i p_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x+\tau_i,t+\tau_i} = \exp(-\tau_i \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \cdot \mu_{x,t}$ if the individual **dies**.

► Combined, we get:

$$\exp(-\tau_i \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \cdot (\mu_{x,t})^{\delta_i}.$$

► Assuming **independence** between individuals, $\mu_{x,t}$ can be estimated from

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_{x,t}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(-\tau_i \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \cdot (\mu_{x,t})^{\delta_i} = \exp(-E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \cdot (\mu_{x,t})^{d_{x,t}}.$$

- ▶ This likelihood reminds us of a **Poisson assumption** for the **number of deaths r.v.** $D_{x,t}$ (see Brouhns, Denuit & Vermunt, 2002, IME)

$$D_{x,t} \sim \text{POI}(E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t}),$$



because

$$P(D_{x,t} = d_{x,t}) = \frac{(E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t})^{d_{x,t}}}{d_{x,t}!} \cdot \exp(-E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \propto \exp(-E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t}) \cdot (\mu_{x,t})^{d_{x,t}}.$$

- ▶ The **maximum likelihood** estimate of $\hat{\mu}_{x,t}$ then becomes:

$$\hat{\mu}_{x,t} = \frac{d_{x,t}}{E_{x,t}} = m_{x,t},$$

the **central death rate** introduced in Module 1.



Be careful: different data sources may collect observations on $d_{x,t}$ and $E_{x,t}$ in different ways!

On the left: exact age or period age (as used by HMD). On the right: completed age or cohort age (as used, e.g., by CBS or StatBel). More details in Devriendt et al. (EAJ, 2017).

Single population stochastic mortality models: the Lee & Carter model

Our goal is to design a **stochastic mortality projection model** for a given population.

Examples of **requirements** imposed on such a model:

- capture trends over both ages as well as periods
- be able to generate future scenarios of mortality rates so that best estimates and intervals can be obtained
- be robust, time-consistent and biologically reasonable
- have good performance on in sample statistical measures as well as out-of-time back-tests
- (as industry standard or academic research) be reproducible and fully transparent.

More detailed discussion in Antonio, Devriendt et al. (2017, EAJ), KAG 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020 and 2022.

- ▶ We start from the seminal contribution by Lee & Carter (1992, JASA) who specify $m_{x,t}$, the central death rate, as:

$$\ln m_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t + \epsilon_{x,t}.$$

The dependence on age is governed by the sequences of $\beta_x^{(1)}$'s and $\beta_x^{(2)}$'s, where $x \in \{1, \dots, X\}$. The dependence on time by the κ_t 's where $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$.

The error terms $\epsilon_{x,t}$ (with mean 0 and variance σ_ϵ^2) reflect influences not captured by the model.

- ▶ Useful readings: Lee-Carter model and Girosi & King (2007).

- ▶ Identifiability issue? (See Nielsen & Nielsen, 2010.)
- ▶ Consider the set of parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\beta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_X^{(1)}, \beta_1^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_X^{(2)}, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_T).$$

- ▶ These are not identified without additional constraints, because for any scalar c and $d \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\ln m_{x,t}] &= \beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t \\ &= (\beta_x^{(1)} - \beta_x^{(2)} c) + \frac{\beta_x^{(2)}}{d} \cdot d(\kappa_t + c) \\ &= \tilde{\beta}_x^{(1)} + \tilde{\beta}_x^{(2)} \cdot \tilde{\kappa}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the parametrizations via $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ are equivalent.

► Solution?

We impose an **identification scheme** (or: **constraints**) when estimating the parameters.

For example, with Lee & Carter:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_x \beta_x^{(2)} &= 1 \\ \sum_t \kappa_t &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The Lee & Carter stochastic mortality model

17



Interpretation - under the given constraints and ignoring the error terms

Let's try to give some intuition for the age and period-specific parameters:

$$\beta_x^{(1)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \ln m_{x,t}.$$

$$\kappa_t = \sum_x \left(\ln m_{x,t} - \beta_x^{(1)} \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln m_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(2)} \frac{d}{dt} \kappa_t.$$



The Lee & Carter stochastic mortality model

18


Interpretation - under the given constraints and ignoring the error terms

Let's try to give some intuition for the age and period-specific parameters:

$$\beta_x^{(1)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \ln m_{x,t}.$$

$$\kappa_t = \sum_x \left(\ln m_{x,t} - \beta_x^{(1)} \right).$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln m_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(2)} \frac{d}{dt} \kappa_t.$$



The Lee & Carter model

Poisson likelihood and calibration strategy

- To calibrate the $\beta_x^{(1)}$, $\beta_x^{(2)}$ and κ_t parameters, recall our finding from the [age–period survival likelihood](#) derivation (see Brouhns, Denuit & Vermunt, 2002, IME):

$$D_{x,t} \sim \text{Poi}(E_{x,t} \cdot \mu_{x,t}),$$

which holds for all ages x and periods t under consideration.

- We now [impose the Lee & Carter specification on \$\mu_{x,t}\$](#) , i.e.

$$\mu_{x,t} = \exp(\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t),$$

subject to a set of constraints, e.g., $\sum_x \beta_x^{(2)} = 1$ and $\sum_t \kappa_t = 0$.

All together, we estimate the $\beta_x^{(1)}$, $\beta_x^{(2)}$ (for $x \in \{1, \dots, X\}$) and the κ_t (for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$) parameters from the following **Poisson likelihood**:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, \kappa | \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{E}) &= \prod_t \prod_x P(D_{xt} = d_{xt}) \\ &\Downarrow \\ L(\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, \kappa | \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{E}) &= \sum_t \sum_x \left[d_{xt} (\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t) - E_{xt} \exp(\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t) \right] + c,\end{aligned}$$

with c a constant that does not depend on the parameters in $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, κ .

The Lee & Carter model

Poisson likelihood approach

Advantages:

- The **integer character** of $D_{x,t}$ is recognized.
- The **Poisson law** allows to get rid of the assumption of homoscedasticity.
- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation** can be used.
- The following **balance property** holds:


$$\sum_t d_{xt} = \sum_t E_{xt} \exp(\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t),$$

because $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_x^{(1)}} \log \mathcal{L}(\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, \kappa) = 0$.

- ▶ We take the **derivative** of the POI log-likelihood function wrt $\beta_x^{(1)}$, $\beta_x^{(2)}$ and κ_t ($\forall x, t$) and put these equal to zero.
- ▶ We solve these equations using univariate **Newton–Raphson** steps:

starting from x_0 the n th Newton–Raphson iteration step obtains x_{n+1} from x_n :

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$



- We aim to estimate the $\beta_x^{(1)}$, $\beta_x^{(2)}$ and κ_t by maximizing the following **log-likelihood**:

$$L(\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, \kappa) = \sum_t \sum_x \left[d_{xt} (\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t) - E_{xt} \exp(\beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \cdot \kappa_t) \right] + c.$$

- Hereto, we use the following **Newton-Raphson** steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k+1)} &= \hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k)} - \frac{\sum_t [d_{xt} - e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k)})]}{-\sum_t e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k)})} \\ \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k+1)} &= \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k)} - \frac{\sum_x [d_{xt} - e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k+1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k)})] \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)}}{-\sum_x e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k+1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k)}) (\hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)})^2} \\ \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k+1)} &= \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} - \frac{\sum_t [d_{xt} - e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k+1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k+1)})] \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k+1)}}{-\sum_t e_{xt} \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)(k+1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)(k)} \hat{\kappa}_t^{(k+1)}) (\hat{\kappa}_t^{(k+1)})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lee & Carter model

Calibration strategy - more details

- If the estimates for $\beta_x^{(1)}$, $\beta_x^{(2)}$ and κ_t do not satisfy the **identifiability constraints**, we transform the calibrated parameters as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\beta_x^{(1)}} &\leftarrow \widehat{\beta_x^{(1)}} + \widehat{\beta_x^{(2)}} \bar{\kappa} \\ \widetilde{\kappa_t} &\leftarrow (\widehat{\kappa_t} - \bar{\kappa}) \widehat{\beta_{\bullet}^{(2)}} \\ \widetilde{\beta_x^{(2)}} &\leftarrow \widehat{\beta_x^{(2)}} / \widehat{\beta_{\bullet}^{(2)}},\end{aligned}$$

with:

- $\widehat{\beta_{\bullet}^{(2)}}$ the **sum** (over x) of the $\widehat{\beta_x^{(2)}}$'s
- $\bar{\kappa}$ the **average** (over t) of the $\widehat{\kappa_t}$'s.


With the calibrated $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$ and κ , we obtain


$$\hat{\mu}_{xt} = \exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)} \cdot \hat{\kappa}_t)$$


and also

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{q}_{xt} = 1 - \hat{p}_{xt} &= 1 - \exp(-\hat{\mu}_{xt}) \\ &= 1 - \exp\{-\exp(\hat{\beta}_x^{(1)} + \hat{\beta}_x^{(2)} \cdot \hat{\kappa}_t)\},\end{aligned}$$

under the assumption of piecewise constant force of mortality.







The Lee & Carter model

Projecting the time series of calibrated κ_t

- ▶ Use ARIMA toolbox to find a **suitable time series model** for (the calibrated) κ_t .
- ▶ With the Lee-Carter model, typically the **random walk with drift** is used, i.e. ARIMA(0,1,0).
- ▶ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\kappa}_t &= \hat{\kappa}_{t-1} + \theta + \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon_t &\sim N(0, \sigma^2),\end{aligned}$$

where θ is the **drift** parameter, ϵ_t are the i.i.d. error terms and σ^2 their common variance.

More details on the time series dynamics

- Consider the RWD for the calibrated κ_t

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_t &= \kappa_{t-1} + \theta + \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon_t &\sim N(0, \sigma^2).\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that h time units into the future:

$$\kappa_{t+h} = \kappa_t + h \cdot \theta + \sum_{s=0}^{h-1} \epsilon_s$$




with

$$E(\kappa_{t+h}) = \kappa_t + h \cdot \theta \text{ and } \text{Var}(\kappa_{t+h}) = h \cdot \sigma^2.$$

The Lee & Carter model


31

Projecting the time series of calibrated κ_t , Belgian males



The Lee & Carter model


Projections of $q_{x,t}$ for $x \in \{20, 40, 60, 80\}$, Belgian males, 1970 - 2019



The Lee & Carter model

33

Sensitivity wrt calibration period - Van Berkum, Antonio & Vellekoop (2016, SAJ)



Single population stochastic mortality models: a generic class of models

Single population mortality models

Generic framework

A generic class of models ('LifeMetrics models', cfr. work of prof. Andrew Cairns):

$$\log \mu_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} \kappa_t^{(1)} \gamma_{t-x}^{(1)} + \dots + \beta_x^{(N)} \kappa_t^{(N)} \gamma_{t-x}^{(N)}$$

or

$$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} \kappa_t^{(1)} \gamma_{t-x}^{(1)} + \dots + \beta_x^{(N)} \kappa_t^{(N)} \gamma_{t-x}^{(N)}$$

where

- $\beta_x^{(k)}$ = age effect for component k
- $\kappa_t^{(k)}$ = period effect for component k
- $\gamma_{t-x}^{(k)}$ = cohort effect for component k .

M1	Lee & Carter (1992)	$\log \mu_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \kappa_t^{(2)}$
M2	Renshaw & Haberman (2006)	$\log \mu_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \beta_x^{(2)} \kappa_t^{(2)} + \beta_x^{(3)} \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M3	Currie (2006)	$\log \mu_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + n_a^{-1} \kappa_t^{(2)} + n_a^{-1} \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M5	Cairns-Blake-Dowd (CBD, 2006)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \kappa_t^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} (x - \bar{x})$
M6	CBD(2), with cohort effect	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \kappa_t^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} (x - \bar{x}) + \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M7	CBD(3), quadratic age	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \kappa_t^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} (x - \bar{x}) + \kappa_t^{(3)} ((x - \bar{x})^2 - \hat{\sigma}_x^2) + \gamma_{t-x}$
M8	CBD(4), cohort effect \searrow over time	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \kappa_t^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} (x - \bar{x}) + \gamma_{t-x} (x_c - x)$

With n_a number of ages considered, x_c and $\hat{\sigma}_x^2$ the mean and variance of the ages in the considered age range.

Be careful: **CBD models (M5-M8)** specifically designed **for pensioner ages**.

M9	Plat (2009)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (x - \bar{x})\kappa_t^{(3)} + (\bar{x} - x)_+\kappa_t^{(4)} + \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M10	Haberman & Renshaw (2011)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (\bar{x} - x)\kappa_t^{(3)} + (\bar{x} - x)_+\kappa_t^{(4)}$
M11	Haberman & Renshaw (2011)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (\bar{x} - x)\kappa_t^{(3)} + (\bar{x} - x)_+\kappa_t^{(4)} + b(x)\kappa_t^{(5)} + \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M12	Haberman & Renshaw (2011)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (\bar{x} - x)\kappa_t^{(3)} + (\bar{x} - x)_+\kappa_t^{(4)} + (x_c - x)\gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M13	O'Hare & Li (2011)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (\bar{x} - x)\kappa_t^{(3)} + c(x)\kappa_t^{(4)} + \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$
M14	Borger et al. (2014)	$\text{logit } q_{x,t} = \beta_x^{(1)} + \kappa_t^{(2)} + (x - \bar{x})\kappa_t^{(3)} + (x_{\text{young}} - x)_+\kappa_t^{(4)} + (x - x_{\text{old}})_+\kappa_t^{(5)} + \gamma_{t-x}^{(3)}$

Here: $b(x) = (x - \bar{x})^2 - \hat{\sigma}_x^2$ and $c(x) = (\bar{x} - x)_+ + [(\bar{x} - x)_+]^2$.

Identifiability issues with models as complex as M9.

Project multiple time dependent effects in the models M9-M14: not easy to find suitable time series specification!

Ad hoc strategy to avoid jumps or irregularities in cohort effects: only estimate cohort effects when 'enough' observations are available \Rightarrow use weights in Poisson likelihood (Plat, 2009)

Projecting cohort effects is challenging!

Some overview papers, with model comparisons:

- Haberman & Renshaw (2011, IME)
- Lovasz (2011, EAJ)
- Cairns et al. (2009, NAAJ; 2011, IME)
- van Berkum, Antonio & Vellekoop (SAJ, 2016)
- ...

Single population mortality models

CBD-X as a workhorse mortality model for adult age range

CBDX - a workhorse mortality model from the Cairns-Blake-Dowd family by Dowd, Cairns & Blake (2020, Annals of Actuarial Science):

- 'workhorse mortality model for the adult age range', hence: excluding accident hump and younger ages
- CBDX, is for Cairns-Blake-Dowd with additional non-parametric age effect:

$$\log \mu_{x,t} = \alpha_x + \sum_{i=1}^K \beta_x^{(i)} \kappa_t^{(i)} + \gamma_{t-x},$$

where $\beta_x^{(1)} = 1$, $\beta_x^{(2)} = (x - \bar{x})$ and $\beta_x^{(3)} = (x - \bar{x})^2 - \sigma_x^2$.

Single population mortality models

StMoMo package and the GAPC family of models

StMoMo - an R package for stochastic mortality modeling by Villegas, Kaishev & Millossovich (2018, JSS):

- **random** component:

$$D_{xt} \sim \text{POI}(E_{xt}^c \cdot \mu_{xt}) \quad \text{or} \quad D_{xt} \sim \text{BIN}(E_{xt}^0, q_{xt})$$


- **systematic** component:

$$\eta_{xt} = \alpha_x + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_x^{(i)} \kappa_t^{(i)} + \beta_x^{(0)} \gamma_{t-x}$$

- **link** function $g(\cdot)$ is logarithmic (with POI) or logit (with BIN).

Criteria to take into account (see Modules 3-4):

- requirements regarding **age range** and calibration period to be considered
 - **good in-sample fits** that capture trends over ages and time periods
 - **robustness and consistency**, biologically reasonable scenarios
 - **reproducible** and fully transparent
- good performance on **in-sample statistical measures** (e.g., AIC, BIC, residual plots) and **out-of-time back-tests**.



Wrap-up

After this module you are able to:

- write down the Lee & Carter model specification, give some intuition to the model's parameters, explain the identifiability issues and propose constraints to tackle these
- reflect on mortality projections with the Lee & Carter model, including a discussion of attention points such as the (long term) time series dynamics and the choice of the calibration period
- transform the scenarios for future κ_t and $\mu_{x,t}$'s into scenarios for quantities of interest to the actuary, e.g. $E[T_{x,t}]$, the EPV of a life annuity issued to x in year t , $q_{x,t}$'s.
- reflect on alternative stochastic mortality models from the LifeMetrics toolbox, for a single population.