PL0 Static Semantics Cheat Sheet

Kenton Lam

Friday July 3, 2020

Abstract

A quick reference on static semantics of the PLO programming language. This is meant to be read alongside PLO-SSemantics.pdf. Written by Kenton Lam.

Abstract Syntax

```
program ::= block
             block ::= blk(ds,s)
              ds \ ::= \ id \not\rightarrow d
               d ::= const(c)
                         type(t)
                         var(t)
                         proc(block)
               c ::= n \mid id \mid op(-\_,c)
               t \ ::= \ id \, | \, [c \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} c]
                 s ::= assign(lv,e)
                           write(e)
                           read(lv)
                           call(id)
                           if(e,s,s)
                           while(e,s)
                           list(seq s)
    \mathsf{Iv} ::= \mathsf{id}
     e \quad ::= \quad n \mid lv \mid op(unary,e) \mid op(binary,(e,e))
unary ::= -_
binary ::= -+-|---|-*-|-/-|-=-|-\neq -|
                -<-|\ -\le -|\ -\ge -
```

Notation

- Abstract syntax is written in sans-serif font. For example the expression y+1 in code is written as y+1 here. This corresponds to expression nodes and statement nodes.
- Semantic constructs are written in *italics*. For example, the type ref(T). These are most commonly types or other static checker constructs like the symbol table.

- The use of arrows is very precise:
 - \rightarrow denotes functions,
 - \mapsto denotes mapping values,
 - $-\stackrel{e}{\rightarrow}$ denotes evaluates to, and
 - $-\to$ with a vertical line in the centre denotes a mapping type (we will use \to here because I can't type it).
- A bullet is used to separate quantifiers from their predicate.
- = denotes equivalence in the mathematical sense (a strong statement).

With the above in mind, we define a few more high-level pieces.

- A mapping M of $a \rightarrow b$ has some operations defined:
 - dom(M) returns the set of a keys in the mapping,
 - -M(a) returns the b value mapped to by the key a, and
 - $-M_1 \oplus M_2$ returns a mapping containing the entries of both M_1 and M_2 , with entries in M_2 overriding M_1 if both are present.
- syms is defined as a mapping id $\rightarrow SymEntry$ of AST identifiers to symbol table entries, so dom(syms) is the set of defined identifiers. A symbol table entry is a sum type defined as

$$SymEntry ::= ConstEntry(T, \mathbb{Z}) \mid TypeEntry(T)$$
$$\mid VarEntry(T) \mid ProcEntry(block).$$

• $syms \vdash e : T$ means in the context of the symbol table syms, the expression e is well-typed and has the type T.

Declarations

There are four forms of declarations: constants, types, variables, and procedures. The notation $syms \vdash WFDeclaration(d)$ means that d is a well-formed declaration in the context of syms. Furthermore,

$$entry(syms, d) = ent$$

is used to assign ent to the symbol table entry of ${\sf d}$. This formally assigns a SymEntry to a particular declaration form ${\sf d}$. Perhaps more rigorously, the statement

$$entry(syms, var(t)) = VarEntry(ref(T))$$

means that a declaration of the form var(t) should have a corresponding VarEntry table when interpreted in the context of syms.

The declaration rules use pattern matching in their consequents, which means only expressions of a certain form can be well-formed declarations. This prevents us from declaring a type of, say, 1+10.

Types

These rules concern the definition of types in PL0 program (e.g. type aliases and subrange types).

We introduce a function typeof such that typeof(e) = T means the given expression is (i.e. defines) the type T. This is at a higher level of abstraction than e: T which means e is a value of type T.

Blocks

These are perhaps the most complex because they must consider everything discussed already, as well as locally declared types, variables, and scope.

Note that a well-formed block cannot define an identifier more than once. This is represented (theoretically) by ds being a mapping. A block defines a new scope in which its local declarations shadow its parents identifiers if they have the same name. In doing so, it constructs symbol table entries from its declaration list.

The function uses takes a declaration, type or constant expression and returns the identifiers used by its types. For example, $uses(id) = \{id\}$ and uses(var(t)) = uses(t).

The $entryDecl(syms, \mathsf{ds}, \mathsf{d})$ function defines a symbol table entry for the declaration d in a context of syms augmented with only the declarations from ds which are used in d . The $uses(\mathsf{d})$ prevents mutual recursion in rule 6.2 between declarations in the same declaration list. Basically, this constructs a new context and offloads the work to entry.

The earlier *entry* function returns the appropriate symbol table entry for a given declaration in a given context. Importantly, this means that types and their SymEntries are constructed in the context they're defined. Putting this together, we get the following rules for well-formed blocks.

Intuitively, the predicate of rule 6.1 does the following:

- Constructs a mapping of identifiers to the identifiers they use and computes its transitive closure (denoted by superscript +).
- Ensures that no identifier uses itself directly or indirectly, preventing recursion in the types.
- Constructs a new scope *syms'* by adding the new declarations and computing their symbol table entries using *entryDecl* (discussed above).
- Ensures that every new declaration is well-formed in the new context.
- Ensures that in the new context, the statement list is well-formed.

If all of the above hold, the block as a whole is well-formed.

A program is well-formed if its block is well-formed in the context of the predefined context.

Rules

Types of Expressions

Rule 3.1 Integer value

 $syms \vdash n : int$

Rule 3.2 Symbolic constant

 $\frac{\mathsf{id} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathit{syms})}{\mathit{syms}(\mathsf{id}) = \mathit{ConstEntry}(T, v)}$ $\frac{\mathit{syms} \vdash \mathsf{id} : T}$

Rule 3.3 Variable identifier

 $\frac{\mathsf{id} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathit{syms})}{\mathit{syms}(\mathsf{id}) = \mathit{VarEntry}(T)}$ $\mathit{syms} \vdash \mathsf{id} : T$

Rule 3.4 Unary negation

 $\frac{syms \vdash e : int}{syms \vdash op(-_,e) : int}$

Rule 3.5 Binary operator

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{syms} \vdash \texttt{e1} : T1 \\ \textit{syms} \vdash \texttt{e2} : T2 \\ \textit{syms} \vdash _ \bigcirc _ : T1 \times T2 \to T3 \\ \hline \textit{syms} \vdash \texttt{op}(_ \bigcirc _, (\texttt{e1},\texttt{e2})) : T3 \end{array}$

Rule 3.6 Dereference

 $\frac{\mathit{syms} \vdash \mathsf{e} : \mathit{ref}(T)}{\mathit{syms} \vdash \mathsf{e} : T}$

Rule 3.7 Widen subrange

 $\frac{syms \vdash e : subrange(T, i, j)}{syms \vdash e : T}$

Rule 3.8 Narrow subrange

 $syms \vdash \mathbf{e} : T$ $i \leq j$ $T \in \{int, boolean\}$ $syms \vdash \mathbf{e} : subrange(T, i, j)$

Well-Formed Statements

Rule 4.1 Assignment

 $syms \vdash \mathsf{lv} : ref(T)$ $syms \vdash \mathsf{e} : T$ $syms \vdash WFStatement(\mathsf{assign}(\mathsf{lv},\mathsf{e}))$

Rule 4.2 Procedure call

 $id \in dom(syms)$ syms(id) = ProcEntry(block) $syms \vdash WFStatement(call(id))$

Rule 4.3 Read

 $\begin{aligned} syms &\vdash \mathsf{IV} : ref(T) \\ (T = int \lor T = subrange(int, i, j)) \\ syms &\vdash WFStatement(\mathsf{read}(\mathsf{IV})) \end{aligned}$

Rule 4.4 Write

 $syms \vdash e : int$ $syms \vdash WFStatement(write(e))$

Rule 4.5 Conditional

 $syms \vdash e : boolean$ $syms \vdash WFStatement(s1)$ $syms \vdash WFStatement(s2)$ $syms \vdash WFStatement(if(e,s1,s2))$

Rule 4.6 Iteration

 $\begin{array}{c} syms \vdash e : boolean \\ syms \vdash WFStatement(s) \\ \hline syms \vdash WFStatement(while(e,s)) \end{array}$

Rule 4.7 Statement list

 $\frac{\forall \mathsf{s} \in elems(\mathsf{ls}) \bullet (syms \vdash WFStatement(\mathsf{s}))}{syms \vdash WFStatement(\mathsf{list}(\mathsf{ls}))}$

Well-Formed Declarations

Rule 5.1 Constant declaration

 $syms \vdash \mathbf{C} \stackrel{e}{\rightarrow} v$ $syms \vdash \mathbf{C} : T$ $T \in \{int, boolean\}$ $syms \vdash WFDeclaration(Const(C))$

Rule 5.3 Type declaration

 $syms \vdash typeof(t) = T$ $syms \vdash WFDeclaration(type(t))$

Rule 5.5 Variable declaration

 $syms \vdash typeof(t) = T$ $syms \vdash WFDeclaration(var(t))$

Rule 5.7 Procedure declaration

 $syms \vdash WFBlock(block)$ $syms \vdash WFDeclaration(proc(block))$

Rule 5.2 Constant entry

 $syms \vdash \mathbf{c} \stackrel{e}{\rightarrow} v$ $syms \vdash \mathbf{c} : T$ $T \in \{int, boolean\}$ entry(syms, const(c)) = ConstEntry(T, v)

Rule 5.4 Type entry

 $syms \vdash typeof(t) = T$ entry(syms, type(t)) = TypeEntry(T)

Rule 5.6 Variable entry

 $\frac{syms \vdash typeof(t) = T}{entry(syms, var(t)) = VarEntry(ref(T))}$

Rule 5.8 Procedure entry

 $syms \vdash WFBlock(\mathsf{block})$ $entry(syms, \mathsf{proc}(\mathsf{block})) = ProcEntry(\mathsf{block})$

Constant Evaluation Rules

Rule 5.9 Integer constant

$$0 \le \mathsf{n} \le maxint$$
$$syms \vdash \mathsf{n} \stackrel{e}{\to} \mathsf{n}$$

Rule 5.10 Constant identifier

$$id \in dom(syms)$$

$$syms(id) = ConstEntry(T, v)$$

$$syms \vdash id \stackrel{e}{\rightarrow} v$$

Rule 5.11 Negated constant

$$syms \vdash c : int$$

$$syms \vdash c \stackrel{e}{\rightarrow} v$$

$$syms \vdash op(--,c) \stackrel{e}{\rightarrow} -v$$

Well-Formed Types

Rule 5.12 Type identifier

Rule 5.13 Subrange type

$$\begin{array}{cccc} syms \vdash \mathsf{c0} : T & syms \vdash \mathsf{c0} \stackrel{e}{\to} v0 & v0 \leq v1 \\ syms \vdash \mathsf{c1} : T & syms \vdash \mathsf{c1} \stackrel{e}{\to} v1 & T \in \{int, boolean\} \\ \hline syms \vdash typeof([\mathsf{c0} \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} \mathsf{c1}]) = subrange(T, v0, v1) \end{array}$$

Well-Formed Blocks

Rule 6.1 Well formed block

```
 \begin{split} \textit{ds\_uses} &= \{ \mathsf{id}_1 \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathsf{ds}); \mathsf{id}_2 \in \textit{uses}(\mathsf{ds}(\mathsf{id}_1)) \bullet \mathsf{id}_1 \mapsto \mathsf{id}_2 \} \\ &\neg \exists \mathsf{id} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathsf{ds}) \bullet ((\mathsf{id} \mapsto \mathsf{id}) \in \textit{ds\_uses}^+) \\ \textit{syms'} &= \textit{syms} \oplus \{ \mathsf{id} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathsf{ds}) \bullet \mathsf{id} \mapsto \textit{entryDecl}(\textit{syms}, \mathsf{ds}, \mathsf{ds}(\mathsf{id})) \} \\ &\forall \mathsf{id} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mathsf{ds}) \bullet (\textit{syms'} \vdash \textit{WFDeclaration}(\mathsf{ds}(\mathsf{id}))) \\ & \textit{syms'} \vdash \textit{WFStatement}(\mathsf{s}) \\ & \textit{syms} \vdash \textit{WFBlock}(\mathsf{blk}(\mathsf{ds}, \mathsf{s})) \end{split}
```

Rule 6.2 EntryDecl

$$syms' = syms \oplus \{id \in (dom(ds) \cap uses(d)) \bullet (id \mapsto entryDecl(syms, ds, ds(id)))\}$$
$$entryDecl(syms, ds, d) = entry(syms', d)$$

Well-Formed Main Program

Rule 7.1 Well-formed main program

$$\frac{\textit{predefined} \vdash \textit{WFBlock}(\mathsf{block})}{\textit{WFProgram}(\mathsf{block})}$$

The symbol table for the predefined identifiers 10 is

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{predefined} &= \{ \text{int} \mapsto \textit{TypeEntry}(\textit{int}), \\ & \text{boolean} \mapsto \textit{TypeEntry}(\textit{boolean}), \\ & \text{false} \mapsto \textit{ConstEntry}(\textit{boolean}, 0), \\ & \text{true} \mapsto \textit{ConstEntry}(\textit{boolean}, 1) \} \; . \end{aligned}$$