SID: 53563363

Q1 It is expected that increasing compensation will lead to an increase in injury duration. This

is because the subject will be incentivized to stay at home for recovery for a longer period of

time if the compensation is sufficient to cover his living, or high enough for him to not to worry

about staying out of work. It is not advised to perform a simple regression, which can show

correlation between variables, but correlation does not mean causation, therefore, cannot prove

that the conjecture of increased compensation leads to an increase in duration, instead,

difference in difference or DDD should be applied.

Q2. (See Figure 1) As there are 2 different states, different compensation policies may be

enforced, that the results are listed according to states and whether they are from high earnings

group. It is postulated that the increase in benefit will affect the high earning group in a larger

magnitude compared to non-high earnings group. One reason of that can be that high earnings

group will be able to enjoy the lifted maximum compensation while the low earnings group is

unaffected by the maximum ceiling. This leads to a decrease in opportunity cost for the high

earners to stay out of work, meaning a higher incentive to have a longer duration of benefit.

While the low earners are not affected by the compensation ceiling, their opportunity cost of

remains the same either way. DID is performed that there is a 0.188 overall increase in duration

in high earn group after the compensation lift, comparing to the non high earn group. T-test

1

result shows that there is significant evidence for the increase in duration after compensation

lift in both states, while p-value in low earn group is too high that null hypothesis of no

difference in duration is not rejected.

Q3. (See Figure 2) The result shows that there is significant increase in duration for high

earning group in Kentucky and overall. The interaction effect of afchage and highearn shows

significance with p-value close to 0, that high-earners tends to have a longer duration after the

benefit lift. The difference in results in Kentucky and Michigan may be due to a different

benefit policy in terms of amount that one can claim or other unknown rules that must be met

before the compensation. The unbalanced sample size (smaller in Michigan) may also be a

reason that result is insignificant in Michigan comparing to that in Kentucky.

Q4. (See Figure 3) When there are omitted variables, there might be endogeneity caused by

unobserved heterogeneity. The observed association between outcome variable duration and

explanatory variable Variables such as marital status: married person may want a longer

duration to recover and spend time with families, or may have shorter duration as the subject

may be the breadwinner of the family, **gender**: male may recover faster, **type of injury**: injury

in important parts such as head may require a longer recovery time, type of industry:

construction type may be more dangerous and lead to more severe injury compared to

2

manufacturing, **previous earnings:** a higher previous earning may lead to longer recovery time

as the subject may be financially supported by previous earnings to enable a longer duration

being out of work. Comparing the results: A more significant (smaller p-values) result is

observed after more variables are included. It is also coherent to the conjecture that male

correlates to a shorter duration and head injury correlates to a longer duration.

Q5. Endogeneity: There might be endogenous variables in this natural experiment. For

examples, a higher medical costs can mean a longer hospital stay; an older individual might

need a longer stay for recovery, or a more detailed health check that incurs higher medical cost;

lower metabolism rate in women in general might lead to a longer recovery time or duration.

Heterogeneity: There might be heterogeneity that we can observe but we do not have the data

about such as education level: education might affect the values towards health thus affecting

the duration the subject is willing to take to recover from the injury. There might be

heterogeneity that we cannot observe inherently such as previous life experiences affecting the

subject's decision on taking a longer duration leave. **Time trend assumption:** There might not

be a common time trend for high-earners and low-earners: For examples, high-earners are older

in age that the changes before and after the benefit lift follows a different time trend compared

to low-earners, instead of purely due to their differ in earnings.

3

Name: Chan Ka Tsun SID: 53563363

Appendix I

	Treatme	ent Group	Control G	iroup		t-test (T)	t-test (C)
	(High earn)		(not high earn)		DID	p-value	p-value
	Before	After	Before	After			
Kentucky							
Mean In(duration)	1.38	1.58	1.126	1.133	0.191	0.00019***	0.862
Sample size	1233	1161	1705	1527			
Michigan							
Mean In(duration)	1.58	1.87	1.41	1.51	0.192	0.03***	0.24
Sample size	239	219	589	477			
Overall							
Mean In(duration)	1.41	1.62	1.20	1.22	0.188	0.00002***	0.547
Sample size	1472	1380	2294	2004			

Figure 1 Q2 Results - Difference in high-earners vs low-earners

Name: Chan Ka Tsun SID: 53563363

	Dependent variable:				
	Kentucky (1)	Michigan (2)	Overall (3)		
afchnge	0.008	0.097	0.024		
highearn	p = 0.865 0.256***	p = 0.251 0.169	p = 0.552 0.215***		
ntgnearn	p = 0.00000	p = 0.110	p = 0.00000		
afchnge:highearn	0.191***	0.192	0.188***		
a. c.i.ige i i i gi i cai i i	p = 0.006	p = 0.214	p = 0.003		
Constant	1.126***	1.413***	1.199***		
	p = 0.000	p = 0.000	p = 0.000		
Observations	5,626	1,524	7,150		
R2	0.021	0.012	0.016		
Adjusted R2	0.020	0.010	0.015		
Residual Std. Error	1.269 (df = 5622)	1.376 (df = 1520)	1.298 (df = 7146)		
F Statistic	39.540*** (df = 3; 5622)	6.049*** (df = 3; 1520)	38.342*** (df = 3; 7146)		

Figure 2 Q3 Interaction Effect

	Dependent variable:						
	Kentucky	Michigan	Overall				
	(1)	(2)	(3)				
afchnge	-0.006	0.003	-0.011				
RANGE WEEK POLICE	p = 0.866	p = 0.969	p = 0.741				
nighearn	-1.657**	2.830	-0.904				
	p = 0.042	p = 0.301	p = 0.111				
highlpre	0.269**	-0.450	0.139				
	p = 0.043	p = 0.276	p = 0.128				
male	-0.054	-0.328***	-0.088**				
	p = 0.164	p = 0.0002	p = 0.013				
narried	0.062*	-0.064	0.031				
mai i tea	p = 0.073	p = 0.361	p = 0.320				
lage	0.249***	0.482***	0.309***				
tage	p = 0.00000	p = 0.00001	p = 0.000				
ltotmed	0.361***	0.316***	0.351***				
LLotmed		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					
	p = 0.000	p = 0.000	p = 0.000				
hosp	0.252***	0.244***	0.249***				
	p = 0.000	p = 0.004	p = 0.000				
manuf	-0.138***	-0.125*	-0.131***				
	p = 0.0001	p = 0.065	p = 0.00002				
construc	0.055	0.348***	0.128***				
	p = 0.214	p = 0.0001	p = 0.002				
head	-0.426***	-0.831***	-0.555***				
	p = 0.0001	p = 0.0005	p = 0.00000				
neck	0.370***	-0.283	0.219*				
	p = 0.007	p = 0.338	p = 0.079				
upextr	0.132	-0.221	0.024				
	p = 0.125	p = 0.175	p = 0.756				
trunk	0.147	-0.135	0.063				
	p = 0.110	p = 0.443	p = 0.438				
lowback	0.192**	-0.339**	0.050				
	p = 0.026	p = 0.041	p = 0.510				
lowextr	0.189**	-0.307*	0.053				
LONEXT	p = 0.030	p = 0.065	p = 0.489				
occdis	0.534***	0.358	0.533***				
occars	p = 0.003	p = 0.206	p = 0.0004				
afchnge:highearn							
	0.164***	0.203	0.181***				
	p = 0.006	p = 0.126	p = 0.001				
Constant	-1.922*** p = 0.000	-1.689*** p = 0.0001	-1.868*** p = 0.000				
Observations	5,347	1,475	6,822				
R2	0.318	0.304	0.311				
Adjusted R2	0.316	0.295	0.309				
Residual Std. Error	1.055 (df = 5328)	1.155 (df = 1456)	1.082 (df = 6803)				
F Statistic	138.124*** (df = 18; 5328)	35.332*** (df = 18; 1456)	170.736*** (df = 18; 6803				

Figure 2 Q4 Including other variables

Name: Chan Ka Tsun SID: 53563363

Appendix II

```
library(foreign)
    library(stargazer)
8
    df <- read.dta("~/Downloads/INJURY.dta")</pre>
    ky <- subset(df, ky == 1)
9
    mi <- subset(df, mi == 1)
10
11
    #Q2: mean, sample size and t test
    #ky, mi, and whole(df)
13
    #sample size
14
    t_b = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 0 \& highearn == 1, select = ldurat), length)
15
   t_a = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 1 & highearn == 1, select = ldurat),length)
c_b = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 0 & highearn == 0, select = ldurat),length)
c_a = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 1 & highearn == 0, select = ldurat),length)
17
18
19
    t_b = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 0 & highearn == 1, select = ldurat), mean)
20
    t_a = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 1 & highearn == 1, select = ldurat), mean)
    c_b = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 0 \& highearn == 0, select = ldurat),mean)
    c_a = sapply(subset(df, afchnge == 1 \& highearn == 0, select = ldurat), mean)
    #t test (difference of before and after in control and treatment group)
    t.test(subset(df, afchnge == 0 & highearn == 1, select = ldurat),subset(df, afchnge ==
    1 & highearn == 1, select = ldurat))
    t.test(subset(df, afchnge == 0 & highearn == 0, select = ldurat), subset(df, afchnge ==
    1 & highearn == 0, select = ldurat))
27
28
    whole.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn,data=df)
29
    ky.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn,data=ky)</pre>
30
    mi.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn,data=mi)</pre>
31
32
    summary(ky.reg)
    summary(mi.reg)
33
    summary(whole.reg)
34
    #Formatting the table
35
    stargazer(ky.reg, mi.reg, whole.reg, dep.var.labels="",
36
37
               column.labels=c("Kentucky","Michigan","Overall"),
               type="text", no.space=TRUE, report=('vc*p'))
38
39
    #DID with more control variables
40
    kyadv.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn+highlpre+male+married+lage
41
    +ltotmed+hosp
                      +manuf+construc+head+neck+upextr+trunk+lowback+lowextr+occdis,data=ky)
42
    miadv.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn+highlpre+male+married+lage
43
    +ltotmed+hosp
                      +manuf+construc+head+neck+upextr+trunk+lowback+lowextr+occdis,data=mi)
44
    wholeadv.reg <- lm(ldurat~afchnge+highearn+afchnge*highearn+highlpre+male+married+lage
45
    +ltotmed
                         +hosp+manuf+construc+head+neck+upextr+trunk+lowback+lowextr
46
    +occdis, data=df)
    summary(kyadv.reg)
47
    summary(miadv.reg)
    summary(wholeadv.reg)
```