# Optimization of an abductive reasoner for description logics

Master thesis

Katarína Fabianová

Adviser: Mgr. Júlia Pukancová, PhD. Consultant: RNDr. Martin Homola, PhD.

FMFI, 2018

#### Aims

- Descripton logics
  - ALC, EL++
  - DL Tableau algorithm
- Abduction
  - ABox abduction
  - Minimal Hitting Set algorithm
- Reasoning
  - ELK, JFact, Hermit, Pellet
- Implementation
  - Reiter's algorithm with optimizations
  - adjusted MergeXPlain algorithm
- Evaluation of results



# Description logics

- Family of knowledge representation languages
- Every description logic has different expressivity
- Each type of expressivity supports different constructors
- We will use  $\mathcal{ALC}$  and  $\mathcal{EL}++$  DL

# Description logics (DL)

#### Syntax

•  $\mathcal{ALC}$  DL is shaped by 3 mutually disjoint sets:

$$N_I = \{a, b, c, ...\}$$
  
 $N_C = \{A, B, C, ...\}$   
 $N_R = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, ...\}$ 

- Individual, concept (atomic or complex)
- $\mathcal{ALC}$  DL consists of the following constructors:

$$\neg, \sqcup, \sqcap, \forall, \exists$$

DL conceptualization: Everybody who is sick, is not happy.

$$Sick \sqsubseteq \neg Happy (axiom)$$



# Description logics

#### Semantics

- Ontology describes relationships between entities in a specific area
- Knowledge base  $\mathcal{KB} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$
- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{T}$  stands for TBox,  $\mathcal{A}$  stands for ABox
- TBox contains axioms that model ontology
- ABox contains assertion axioms

$$\mathcal{KB} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \textit{Profesor} \sqcup \textit{Scientist} \sqsubseteq \textit{Academician} \\ \textit{AssocProfesor} \sqsubseteq \textit{Professor} \\ \textit{jack} : \textit{Academician} \end{array} 
ight\}$$

# Reasoning

- Reasoning problems: consistency, satisfiability, inference
- Algorithm: DL Tableau algorithm
- Aims: finding model, classification
- Reasoners we work with: ELK, JFact, Hermit, Pellet

#### **Abduction**

- Knowledge base and observation is known
- Search for explanations

$$\mathcal{KB} = \left\{ egin{array}{l} \textit{Profesor} \ \sqcup \ \textit{Scientist} \ \sqsubseteq \ \textit{Academician} \ \textit{AssocProfesor} \ \sqsubseteq \ \textit{Professor} \end{array} 
ight. 
ight.$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ \text{jack} : Academician} \}$$

- We use adjusted minimal HS algorithm to find minimal explanations
- Algorithm finds this explanation:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{ extit{jack} : extit{Professor} \}$$
  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{ extit{jack} : extit{Scientist} \}$   $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{ extit{jack} : extit{AssocProfessor} \}$ 

< ロト <値 > ∢き > ∢き > こま の < @

# Adjusted Reiter's algorithm: Minimal Hitting Set

## Algorithm 1 Adjusted Minimal Hitting Set algorithm

```
Require: Ontology, observation \mathcal{O}
  root \leftarrow negationOfModel
  queue \leftarrow queue \cup root
  while queue.isNotEmpty() do
     node \leftarrow queue.pop()
     for (Node child: node.children) do
        consistent \leftarrow isConsistent(node); relevant \leftarrow isRelevant(node)
        explanation \leftarrow is Explanation (node); minimal \leftarrow is Minimal (node)
        if (explanation) then
           explanations \leftarrow explanations \cup node.label
        else
           queue \leftarrow queue \cup node
        end if
     end for
  end while
```

# Adjusted Reiter's algorithm: Minimal Hitting Set

- Implementation with more reasoners (ELK/JFact/Hermit/Pellet)
- Elk should be quicker thanks to lower expressivity
- Optimizations:
  - Observation can not be an explanation
  - Explanation that is not minimal does not even have to be considered

## **Progress**

- Implementation of Reiter's algorithm with optimizations for simple concepts:
  - Works for observation given as simple concept yet
  - Tested on 2 ontologies with correct results
- Started implementation of MergeXPlain algorithm for simple concepts:
  - Designed for observation given as simple concept yet

## What is next?

- Modify implementation of Reiter's algorithm with optimizations:
  - To accept complex concept as observation
  - To accept more complex concepts as observation
  - Add more optimizations
- Modify implementation of MergeXPlain algorithm:
  - To process correctly observation given as simple concept
  - To accept complex concepts and possibly more complex concepts as observation
- Evaluation of results (ELK/JFact/Hermit/Pellet)

# References

#### **Articles**

- Yevgeny Kazakov, Markus Krötzsch, František Simančík. ELK Reasoner: Architecture and Evaluation
- Júlia Pukancová, Martin Homola. Tableau-Based ABox Abduction for Description Logics: Preliminary Report
- Júlia Pukancová, Martin Homola. Tableau-Based ABox Abduction for the ALCHO Description Logic
- Júlia Pukancová, Martin Homola. ABox Abduction for Description Logics: The Case of Multiple Observations
- Raymond Reiter. A Theory of Diagnosis from First Principles
- Russell Greiner, Barbara A. Smith, Ralph W. Wilkerson. A Correction to the Algorithm in Reiter's Theory of Diagnosis
- Franz Wotawa. A variant of Reiter's hitting-set algorithm
- Kostyantyn Shchekotykhin, Dietmar Jannach and Thomas Schmitz.
   MERGEXPLAIN: Fast Computation of Multiple Conflicts for Diagnosis

Thank you for your attention