CSCI 2021: x86-64 Assembly Extras and Wrap

Chris Kauffman

Last Updated: Mon Mar 21 12:39:26 PM CDT 2022

Logistics

Reading Bryant/O'Hallaron

Read in Full

► Ch 3.7 Procedure Calls

Skim the following

- ► Ch 3.8-3.9: Arrays, Structs
- ► Ch 3.10: Pointers/Security
- ► Ch 3.11: Floating Point

Goals

- ☐ Assembly vs C
- ☐ Data in Assembly
- ☐ Security Risks
- ☐ Floating Point Instr/Regs

Date	Event	
Wed 3/16	Asm Extras	
Fri 3/18	Asm Extras	
Mon 3/21	Asm Wrap-up	
Wed 3/23	Practice Exam 2	
	Lab/HW 9: Review	
	P3 Due	
Fri 3/25	Exam 2	

Project 3

- ► Problem 1: Thermometer Asm Functions (60%)
- ► Problem 2: Binary Bomb via debugger (40%)

Start NOW if you haven't already

Reminders of Techniques for Binary Bomb

GDB Tricks From Quick Guide to gdb

Command	Effect		
break *0x1248f2	Break at specific instruction address		
break *func+24	Break at instruction with decimal offset from a label		
break *func+0x18	Break at instruction with hex offset from a label		
x \$rax	Print memory pointed to by register rax		
x /gx \$rax	Print as "giant" 64-bit numbers in hexadecimal format		
x /5gd \$rax	Print 5 64-bit numbers starting where rax points in decimal format		

Disassembling Binaries: objdump -d prog > code.txt

```
>> objdump -d a.out
                              # DISASSEMBLE BINARY
0000000000001119 <main>:
   1119: 48 c7 c0 00 00 00 00
                                          $0x0.%rax
                                    mov
   1120: 48 c7 c1 01 00 00 00
                                    mov
                                          $0x1,%rcx
   1127: 48 c7 c2 64 00 00 00
                                          $0x64, %rdx
                                    mov
000000000000112e <LOOP>:
   112e: 48 39 d1
                                          %rdx,%rcx
                                    cmp
           7f 08
                                          113b <END>
   1131:
                                    jg
   1133:
         48 01 c8
                                    add
                                          %rcx.%rax
```

Exercise: All Models are Wrong...

- ▶ Rule #1: The Doctor Lies
- Below is our original model for memory layout of C programs
- Describe what is incorrect based on x86-64 assembly
- What is actually in the stack? How are registers likely used?

```
9: int main(...){
                             STACK: Caller main(), prior to swap()
  10:
      int x = 19:
                               FRAME
                                      I ADDR. I NAME I VALUE
  11: int y = 31;
                               -----
+-<12: swap(&x, &y);
                              | main() | #2048 | x
     printf("%d %d\n",x,y);
  13:
                              | line:12 | #2044 | v |
  14: return 0:
                               -----
V 15: }
                             STACK: Callee swap() takes control
                                      I ADDR | NAME | VALUE |
  18: void swap(int *a,int *b){
                               FRAME.
+->19: int tmp = *a;
  20: *a = *b:
                              | main()
                                      l #2048 l x
  21:
      *b = tmp:
                              | line:12 | #2044 | v | 31 |<-|+
  22:
       return:
  23: }
                               swap() | #2036 | a | #2048 |--+|
                               line:19 | #2028 | b | #2044 |---+
                                       | #2024 | tmp |
```

Answers: All Models are Wrong, Some are Useful

```
9: int main(...){
                                STACK: Callee swap() takes control
        int x = 19:
                                         | ADDR | NAME | VALUE
  10:
  11:
      int v = 31:
                                  -----
+-<12: swap(&x, &y);
                                 main()
                                         l #2048 l x
      printf("%d %d\n",x,y);
  13:
                                         | #2044 | v
                                                           31
  14:
       return 0;
V 15: }
                                  swap() | #2036 | rip |Line 13|
                                 ------
  18: void swap(int *a,int *b){
                                REGS as swap() starts
+->19:
      int tmp = *a;
                                 REG | VALUE | NOTE
  20: *a = *b;
  21: *b = tmp;
                                 rdi |
                                       #2048 | for *a
  22:
                                       #2044 | for *b
       return;
                                l rsi l
  23: }
                                 rax l
                                       ? | for tmp
                                 rip | L19 | line in swap |
```

- main() must have stack space for locals passed by address
- swap() needs no stack space for arguments: in registers
- Return address is next value of rip register in main()
- Mostly don't need to think at this level of detail but can be useful in some situations

Aggregate Data In Assembly (Arrays + Structs)

Arrays

```
Usually: base + index × size
arr[i] = 12;
movl $12,(%rdi,%rsi,4)
int x = arr[j];
movl (%rdi,%rcx,4),%r8d
```

- Array starting address often held in a register
- ► Index often in a register
- Compiler inserts appropriate size (1,2,4,8)

Structs

```
Usually base+offset
```

```
typedef struct {
  int i; short s;
  char c[2];
} foo_t;
foo_t *f = ...;
```

```
short sh = f->s;
movw 4(%rdi),%si
```

```
f \rightarrow c[i] = 'X';
movb $88, 6(%rdi,%rax)
```

Accessing Global Variables in Assembly

Global data can be set up in assembly in .data sections with labels and assembler directives like .int and .short

Modern Access to Globals

```
movl an_int(%rip), %eax
leaq some_shorts(%rip), %rdi
```

- ► Uses %rip relative addressing
- Default in gcc as it plays nice with OS security features
- May discuss again later during Linking/ELF coverage

Traditional Access to Globals

```
movl an_int, %eax  # ERROR leaq (some_shorts), %rdi # ERROR
```

- Not accepted by gcc by default
- ➤ Yields compile/link errors

```
/usr/bin/ld: /tmp/ccocSiw5.o:
relocation R_X86_64_32S against `.data'
can not be used when making a PIE object;
recompile with -fPIE
```

Packed Structures as Procedure Arguments

- Passing pointers to structs is 'normal': registers contain addresses to main memory
- ▶ Passing actual structs may result in packed structs where several fields are in a single register
- ► Assembly must *unpack* these through **shifts and masking**

```
// packed struct main.c
                                                 ### packed_struct.s
2 typedef struct {
                                              2 .text
     short first:
                                                .globl sub struct
4 short second:
                                                 sub struct:
   } twoshort t:
                                                   ## first arg is twoshort t ts
6
                                                   ## %rdi has 2 packed shorts in it
   short sub struct(twoshort t ti);
                                                   ## bits 0-15 are ts.first
8
                                                   ## bits 16-31 are ts.second
   int main(){
                                                   ## upper bits could be anything
10
     twoshort t ts = {.first=10.
                                             10
11
                      .second=-21:
                                             11
                                                   movl %edi.%eax
                                                                       \# eax = ts:
12
     int sum = sub struct(ts);
                                             12
                                                   andl $0xFFFF, %eax # eax = ts.first;
13
     printf("%d - %d = %d\n",
                                                   sarl $16,%edi
                                             13
                                                                  # edi = edi >> 16;
14
            ts.first, ts.second, sum);
                                             14
                                                   andl $0xFFFF.%edi # edi = ts.second:
15
     return 0:
                                             15
                                                   subw %di,%ax # ax = ax - di
16
                                             16
                                                   ret
                                                                       # answer in ax
```

Example: coins_t in HW06 / Lab07

```
// Type for collections of coins
typedef struct { // coint_t has the following memory layout
  char quarters: //
  char dimes: // |
                               | Pointer | Packed | Packed |
  char nickels; // |
                               | Memory | Struct | Struct |
                               | Offset | Arg#
  char pennies: // | Field
                                                 | Bits
} coins t:
                 // | quarters |
                                                 I 0-7
                 // | dimes
                                     +1 | #1
                                                 I 8-15
                 // I nickels I
                                    +2 | #1 | 16-23
                 // | pennies |
                                  +3 | #1
                                                I 24-31 I
                                                    total_coins:
## | #2048 | c->quarters | 2 |
                                                    ### args are
## | #2049 | c->dimes
                                                    ### %rdi packed coin t struct with struct fields
## | #2050 | c->nickels
                                                    ### { 0- 7: quarters, 8-15: dimes,
## | #2051 | c->pennies | - |
                                                    ### 16-23: nickels, 24-31: pennies}
set coins:
### int set_coins(int cents, coins_t *coins)
                                                    ### rdi: 0x00 00 00 00 03 00 01 02
### %edi = int cents
                                                    ###
                                                                           pnda
### %rsi = coints t *coins
                                                             %rdi.%rdx
                                                                              # extract dimes
                                                      mova
                                                    ### rdx: 0x00 00 00 00 03 00 01 02
  # rsi: #2048
                                                    ###
                                                                           pndq
  # al: 0 %dl: 3
                                                      sard
                                                             $8.%rdx
                                                                              # shift dimes to low bits
  movb %al.2(%rsi)
                        # coins->nickels = al:
                                                    ### rdx: 0x00 00 00 00 00 03 00 01
         %d1,3(%rsi)
                         # coins->pennies = dl;
  movb
                                                    ###
                                                                              p n d
                                                      anda $0xFF, %rdx
                                                                              # rdx = dimes
## | #2048 | c->quarters | 2 |
                                                    ### rdx : 0x00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01
## | #2049 | c->dimes
                        I 1 I
                                                    ###
                                                                              p n d
## | #2050 | c->nickels | 0 |
## | #2051 | c->pennies
```

General Cautions on Structs

Struct Layout by Compilers

- Compiler honors order of source code fields in struct
- BUT compiler may add padding between/after fields for alignment
- Compiler determines total struct size

Struct Layout Algorimths

- Baked into compiler
- May change from compiler to compiler
- May change through history of compiler

Structs in Mem/Regs

- Stack structs spread across several registers
- Don't need a struct on the stack at all in some cases (just like don't need local variables on stack)
- Struct arguments packed into 1+ registers

Stay Insulated

- Programming in C insulates you from all of this
- Feel the warmth of gcc's abstraction blanket

Security Risks in C

Buffer Overflow Attacks

- No default bounds checking in C: Performance favored over safety
- Allows classic security flaws:

```
char buf[1024];
printf("Enter you name:");
fscanf(file,"%s",buf); // BAD
// or
gets(buf); // BAD
// my name is 1500 chars
// long, what happens?
```

- For data larger than buf, begin overwriting other parts of the stack
 - Clobber return addresses
 - Insert executable code and run it

Counter-measures

- ► Stack protection is default in gcc in the modern era
- Inserts "canary" values on the stack near return address
- Prior to function return, checks that canaries are unchanged
- ➤ Stack / Text Section Start randomized by kernel, return address and function addresses difficult to predict ahead of time
- Kernel may also vary virtual memory address as well
- ▶ Disabling protections is risky

Stack Smashing

- Explored in a recent homework
- See stack_smash.c for a similar example
- Demonstrates detection of changes to stack that could be harmful

```
#define END 8 // too big for array
void demo(){
  int arr[4]; // fill array off the end
  for(int i=0; i<END; i++){</pre>
    arr[i] = (i+1)*2;
                                          > cd 08-assembly-extras-code/
                                          > gcc stack_smash1.c
                                          > ./a.out
  for(int i=0; i<4; i++){
                                          About to do the demo
    printf("[%d]: %d\n",i,arr[i]);
                                          [0]: 2
                                          [1]: 4
                                          [2]: 6
                                          [3]: 8
                                          *** stack smashing detected ***: terminat
int main(){
  printf("About to do the demo\n");
                                          Aborted (core dumped)
  demo():
  printf("Demo Complete\n");
  return 0:
```

Sample Buffer Overflow Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
                           // compiled with gcc will likely result
                            // only in 'stack smashing'
void never(){
  printf("This should never happen\n");
 return;
int main(){
 printf("Enter a string: ");
  char buf[4]:
  fscanf(stdin, "%s", buf);
  // By entering the correct length of string followed by the ASCII
  // representation of the address of never(), one might be able to
  // get that function to run (on windows...)
 printf("You entered: %s\n",buf);
 return 0:
```

Demonstration of Buffer Overflow Attack

- See the code buffer_overflow.c
- ▶ Presents an easier case to demo stack manipulations
- Prints addresses of functions main() and never()
- ► Reads long values which are 64-bits, easier to line up data in stack than with strings; still overflowing the buffer by reading too much data as in:

► When compiled via

```
>> gcc -fno-stack-protector buffer_overflow.c
```

can get never() to run by entering its address as input which will overwrite the return address

Details of GCC / Linux Stack Security

- ▶ Programs compiled with GCC + Glibc on Linux for x86-64 will default to having stack protection
- ► This is can be seen in compiled code as short blocks near the beginning and end of functions which
 - At the beginning of the function uses an instruction like movq %fs:40, %rax and places a value in the stack beneath the return address
 - 2. At the end of the function again accesses %fs:40 and the value earlier placed in the stack.
- ► The %fs register is a special segment register originally introduced in the 16-bit era to surmount memory addressing limitations; now used only for limited purposes
- The complete details are beyond the scope of our course BUT
- ► A somewhat detailed explanation has been added to 08-assembly-extras-code/stack_protect.org

Floating Point Operations

- ► Original Intel 8086 Processor didn't do floating point ops
- ► Had to buy a co-processor (Intel 8087) to enable FP ops
- Most modern CPUs have FP ops but they feel separate from the integer ops: FPU versus ALU

x86-64 "Media" Registers

512	256	128-bits	Use
%zmm0	%ymm0	%xmmO	FP Arg1/Ret
%zmm1	%ymm1	%xmm1	FP Arg2
%zmm7	%ymm7	%xmm7	FP Arg 8
%zmm8	%ymm8	%xmm8	Caller Save
%zmm15	%ymm15	%xmm15	Caller Save

- Can be used as "scalars" single values but...
- xmmI is 128 bits big holding
 - ► 2 × 64-bit double's OR
 - ► 4 × 32-bit float's
- ymmI / zmmI extend further

Instructions

```
addss %xmm2,%xmm4,%xmm0
# xmm0[0] = xmm2[0] + xmm4[0]
# Add Scalar Single-Precision
```

```
addps %xmm2,%xmm4,%xmm0
# xmm0[:] = xmm2[:] + xmm4[:]
# Add Packed Single-Precision
# "Vector" Instruction
```

- Operates on single values or "vectors" of packed values
- 3-operands common in more "modern" assembly languages

Floating Point and ALU Conversions

- Recall that bit layout of Integers and Floating Point numbers are quite different (how?)
- ► Leads to a series of assembly instructions to interconvert between types

► These are non-trivial conversions: 5-cycle latency (delay) before completion, can have a performance impact on code which does conversions