Name: ID#: X.500:

CS 2021: Practice Exam 3 SOLUTION

Spring 2021 University of Minnesota

Exam period: 20 minutes

Points available: 40

Problem 1 (10 pts): Below is an initial memory/cache configuration along with several memory load operations. Indicate whether these load operations result in cache hits or misses and show the state of the cache after these loads complete.

SOLUTION				
MAIN MEMORY				DIRECT-MAPPED Cache, 8-byte lines
Addr	Addr	Bits	Value	4 Sets, 8-bit Address = 3-bit tag
	+	10 000	+ 10	 INITIAL CACHE STATE
10 14	000	10 000 10 100	10 11	INTITAL CACHE STATE
1 18	1 000	11 000	11 12	
1 1C	000	11 100	13	Set V Tag 0-3
20	001	00 000	20	00 1 010 200 201
24	001	00 100	20	01 1 001 20 23
28	001	01 000	22	10 1 000 10 11
2C	001	01 100	23	
30	001	10 000	100	
j 34	001	10 100	101	HITS OR MISSES?
38	001	11 000	102	OPEARTION HIT/MISS?
3C	001	11 100	103	
40	010	00 000	200	1. Load 0x48 Miss
44	010	00 100	201	2. Load 0x4C Hit
48	010	01 000	202	3. Load 0x24 Miss
4C	010	01 100	203	
	+		+	FINAL CACHE STATE
	Tag	Set Offset		Blocks/Line
				Set V Tag 0-3 8-7 Changed?
				00 1 001 20 21 ***
				01 1 010 202 203 ***
				10 1 000 10 11
				11 0 - -

Problem 2 (5 pts): Pyra Midmem read in a free online blog post "Memory for Morons" that there is no need to invest much money in buying RAM. Instead, one can configure the operating system's virtual memory system to use disk space as main memory leading to a much less expensive computer with a seemingly large memory. Pyra is quite excited about this as some programs she wants to execute fast need a lot of main memory and it would be nice to save some cash. Advise her on any risks or performance drawbacks she may encounter using such an approach.

SOLUTION: Disks are many orders of magnitude slower than the DRAM that is typically used for main memory. Disks are near the bottom of the memory pyramid offering many gigabytes of storage per dollar at the expense of speed. If Pyra needs speed, she is better off investing in more DRAM as her system will crawl if it attempts to use disk for main memory. In addition, she should consider getting a CPU with a large cache which is more expensive but even faster than DRAM.

Problem 3 (15 pts): Nearby is the definition for base_scalvec() which scales a vector by multiplying each element by a number. Write an optimized version of this function in the space provided. Mention in comments why you performed certain transformations.

```
1 int vget(vector_t vec, int idx){
    return vec.data[idx];
3 }
4 void vset(vector_t vec, int idx, int x){
    vec.data[idx] = x;
6 }
7 void base_scalevec(vector_t *vec, int *scale){
    for(int i=0; i < vec -> len; i++){
8
      int cur = vget(*vec,i);
9
10
      int new = cur * (*scale);
11
      vset(*vec,i,new);
    }
12
13 }
```

SOLUTION

```
void opt_scalevec(vector_t *vec, int *scale){
    // locals to avoid memory access
    int *data = vec->data, len = vec->len;
    int scal = (*scale), i;
    // unroll x2 with duplicate vars to
    // enable pipelining
7
    for(i=0; i < len-2; i+=2){
      // no function calls - inline bodies
8
      // to imrpove register use
9
10
      int cur0 = data[i+0];
11
      int new0 = cur0 * scal;
      data[i+0] = new0;
      int cur1 = data[i+1];
13
      int new1 = cur1 * scal;
14
      data[i+1] = new1;
15
    }
16
    // cleanup loop
17
    for(; i<len; i++){
18
      int cur0 = data[i+0];
19
      int new0 = cur0 * scal;
20
      data[i+0] = new0;
21
    }
22
23 }
```

Problem 4 (10 pts): Examine the two functions below which add elements of a row or column vector to all corresponding rows or columns of a matrix. Consider the benchmark timing of these two provided.

- 1. Explain which of these two functions is faster and why.
- 2. Suggest a way to increase the speed of the slower function with only moderate changes to the code.

SOLUTION: The addrow() version is clearly faster than addcol() at all sizes and this disparity increases as the sizes of the matrices go up. At the largest size, addrow() takes aboute 0.2 seconds while addcol() takes 1.5 seconds, a seven-fold difference.

The reason is due to the layout of the matrix favoring traversal of rows: each row is contiguous in memory which means loading an element will bring nearby elements in the row into cache. This speeds up their access subsequently. The column version jumps non-contiguously through memory getting much less benefit from cache.

Re-writing addcol() to move across rows instead would greatly improve its memory access pattern leading to greater efficiency. This would involve inverting the inner and outer loops to for(i) / for(j) as in the row version. This along with slight modifications to the setting would yield speedups

```
1 // add given row to each row of mat
2 void matrix_addrow_vec(matrix_t mat,
                           vector_t row) {
    for(int i=0; i<mat.rows; i++){</pre>
4
      for(int j=0; j<mat.cols; j++){</pre>
5
6
         int elij = MGET(mat,i,j);
7
         int vecj = VGET(row,j);
8
        MSET(mat,i,j, elij + vecj);
      }
9
    }
10
12 // add given col to each column of mat
13 void matrix_addcol_vec(matrix_t mat,
                           vector_t col) {
    for(int j=0; j<mat.cols; j++){</pre>
15
      for(int i=0; i<mat.rows; i++){</pre>
16
17
         int elij = MGET(mat,i,j);
         int veci = VGET(col,i);
         MSET(mat,i,j, elij + veci);
20
    }
21
22 }
23 // BENCHMARK TIMING:
24 //
        SIZE
                 addrow
                              addcol
25 //
        512 2.9040e-03 5.5230e-03
        1024 5.9290e-03 1.3160e-02
26 //
27 //
        2048 1.3809e-02 9.9269e-02
28 //
        4096 5.0853e-02 3.6760e-01
29 //
        8192 2.0867e-01 1.4719e+00
```