CSCI 4061: Inter-Process Communication

Chris Kauffman

Last Updated: Tue Mar 30 11:43:49 AM CDT 2021

Logistics

Reading

- ► Stevens/Rago Ch 15.6-12
- ► Wikip: Dining Philosophers

Goals

- Project Plans
- File Append Problem
- Semaphore Basics
- Shared Memory
- Message Queues
- Dining Philosphers

-	
Date	Event
Wed 3/31	IPC ShMem
,	IPC MsgQ
Mon 4/5	Spring Break
	No Class
Mon 4/12	Review
Wed 4/14	Exam 2

Lab 11

- Email lookup server/client
- ▶ Use of FIFO to communicate
- Difficult to write tests for it - sorry for any Gradescope problems
- ► How did it go?

Project Plans

 Don't have time for 3 projects anymore which is Kauffman's fault

I apologize for this mistake. I have experienced some personal problems which have interfered with my ability to adequately prepare a solid Version Control project. I regret that I was not able to provide a project that puts the topics we have discussed into practical use.

- ▶ P2: release after Exam 2
- Focus on Interprocess Communication: a local Chat Server/Client
- ► Same size as P1, Worth 20% of grade
- Opportunities for some Makeup Credit

Exercise: Forms of IPC we've seen

- ▶ Identify as many forms of inter-process communication that we have studied as you can
- For each, identify restrictions
 - Must processes be related?
 - What must processes know about each other to communicate?
- You should be able to name at least 3-4 such mechanisms

Answers: Forms of IPC we've seen

- Pipes
- ► FIFOs
- Signals
- ► Files
- ► Maybe mmap()'ed files

Inter-Process Communication Libraries (IPC)

- Signals/FIFOs allow info transfer between unrelated processes
- ► Neither provides much
 - Communication synchronization between entities
 - Structure to data being communicated
 - Flexibility over access
- Inter-Process Communication Libraries (IPC) provide alternatives
 - 1. Semaphores: atomic counter + wait queue for coordination
 - 2. Message queues: direct-ish communication between processes
 - 3. Shared memory: array of bytes accessible to multiple processes

Two broad flavors of IPC that provide semaphores, message queues, shared memory...

Which Flavor of IPC? System V IPC (XSI IPC)

- Most of systems have System V IPC but it's kind of strange, has its own namespace to identify shared things
- Part of Unix standards, referred to as XSI IPC and may be listed as optional
- Most textbooks/online sources discuss some System V IPC. Example:
 - Stevens/Rago 15.8 (semaphores)
 - Robbins/Robbins 15.2 (semaphore sets)
 - ► Beej's Guide to IPC

POSIX IPC

- POSIX IPC little more regular, uses filesystem to identify IPC objects
- Originated as optional POSIX/SUS extension, now required for compliant Unix
- Covered in our textbooks partially. Example:
 - Stevens/Rago 15.10POSIX Semaphores
 - Robbins/Robbins 14.3-5 POSIX Semaphores
- Additional differences on StackOverflow

We will favor POSIX

Exercise: Concurrent Appends to a File

C code to append to a file some number of times.

```
// append_loop.c
   int main(int argc, char *argv[]){
      char *filename = argv[1];
      int count = atoi(argv[2]);
5
      int key = atoi(argv[3]);
6
      int fd = open(filename,
8
9
10
      char line[128];
11
      sprintf(line,"%04d\n",key);
12
      int len = strlen(line);
13
14
      for(int i=0; i<count; i++){</pre>
15
16
        lseek(fd, 0, SEEK END);
17
        write(fd, line, len);
18
19
20
      close(fd):
      return 0:
21
22
```

Shell code demos its use. What's wrong with the last count?

```
> ./a.out
                    usage: ./a.out <filename> <count> <key>
                    > ./a.out thefile.txt 100 5555
                    > wc -1 thefile.txt
                    100 thefile.txt
                    > ./a.out thefile.txt 100 7777
O_CREAT | O_RDWR , > wc -1 thefile.txt
S IRUSR | S IWUSR); 200 thefile.txt
                     > sort thefile.txt | uniq -c
                         100 5555
                         100 7777
                    > rm thefile.txt
                     > for i in $(seq 10); do
                          ./a.out thefile.txt 100 $i &
                      done
                    > wc -l thefile.txt
                     732 thefile.txt
```

Concurrency Principles

Atomic Action

- ► Cannot be divided; will run completely before any other action taken. Some system calls are atomic like ...
- nbytes = write(fd, data, len); is atomic: nbytes of data written in sequence, data from other write() calls before/after but NOT in the middle
- lseek() is atomic: modifies file position in kernel data structure

Race Condition

- Outcome depends on the ordering of unpredictable events such as the OS scheduler interrupting a process
- ▶ Race Conditions are bad: unlucky timing causes unpredictable behavior, bugs that only occasionally occur

Race Condition in append_loop.c 1 / 2

```
FILE
                                     PROC2 key=7777
            PROC1 key=5555
len=15
5555
            lseek(fd, 0, SEEK END);
5555
            // pos = 15
7777
   <-----write(fd, line, len);
len=20
5555
5555
7777
                                     lseek(fd, 0, SEEK END);
5555
                                     // pos = 20
            -----write(fd, line, len);
```

All appears well BUT cannot guarantee that lseek() / write() happen uninterrupted

- Individually atomic
- Combination is not

Race Condition in append_loop.c 1 / 2

```
FILE
            PROC1 key=5555
                                     PROC2 key=7777
len=25
5555
                                     lseek(fd, 0, SEEK END);
5555
                                     // pos = 25
7777
            lseek(fd, 0, SEEK_END);
7777
          // pos = 25
   <-----write(fd, line, len);
len=30
5555
5555
7777
7777
                                     // pos = 25
5555<-----write(fd, line, len);
len=30
5555
5555
7777
7777
7777 # Overwritten
```

Result: 1 line is lost as the <code>lseek()</code> between process is not coordinated

Exercise: Solve this with Current IPC

Suggest a way to solve this problem with current IPC mechanisms Start an arbitrary number of processes. Each repeatedly appends a given key to a given file. All keys must be present at the end.

- Describe new / old processes
- ▶ Describe new / old code and IPC to be used

Hint: where have we recently seen a bunch of entities that all want access to data? How were these requests coordinated?

Answers: Solve this with Current IPC

Use a FIFO to coordinate multiple writers

Manager Process

- Only the manager writes to thefile.txt
- ➤ Starting the manager creates a FIFO; manager read()'s from the FIFO, appends text to the end of the file

Writer Processes

- Writer processes write into the FIFO (not thefile.txt)
- ► FIFOs automatically serialize data: no chance for loss as OS controls the singular read/write positions

Familiar but Unsatisfactory

- ► Similar to em_server / em_client from Lab/HW
- Works and requires now new IPC mechanisms BUT...
- Dissatisfying: must split code into manager/writer

Would like a more straight-forward solution if possible

Locking the Critical Region

Critical Region

- Code sequence lseek(); write() is a Critical Region: not atomic, unsafe to have multiple entities in it at the same time
- Typically protect these with a coordination mechanism, a lock for the critical region

OS Locking Mechanisms

- Semaphore: general purpose locking mechanism associated with multi-process programming
- Mutex: locking mechanism associated with threaded programming
- File Locks: lock all or portions of a file, alway

Semaphore History



Source: Wikipedia Railway Sempahore Signal

Semaphore: noun

A system of sending messages by holding the arms or two flags or poles in certain positions...

- Oxford Dictionary

Semaphore: (computing)

In computer science, a semaphore is a variable or abstract data type used to control access to a common resource by multiple processes and avoid critical section problems in a concurrent system such as a multitasking operating system.

The semaphore concept was invented by Dutch computer scientist Edsger Dijkstra...

Wikipedia

Semaphore Basics: 3 Parts

Counter Variable variable

Semaphores have an integer value indicating how much of a resource is available

- ► S=0: none left
- ► S>0: some available

Most common case is S=1 (available) or S=0 (in-use)

Atomic Operations

- ► **Acquire**: If S>0, decrement; Else, enter wait-queue and block
- ▶ **Release**: Increment S, notify wait-queue of avialability

Wait Queue

Modern semaphores include a wait-queue. If S==0, **Acquire** will cause an entity (process) to enter the wait-queue and **block**.

Posix Implementation of Semaphores

```
sem t *sem =
 sem open("/the sem", O CREAT, S IRUSR | S IWUSR);
// abstract type sem t representing semaphores
// file-like semantics with open, semaphore name, flags, permissions
// Note: "the sem" may or may not appear in the file system somewhere
// Under Linux, will be at /dev/shm/the sem
sem_init(sem, 1, 1); // Initialize the semaphore value
             | +----> Initial counter value = 1
//
            +----> Share among Processes (1: Processes, 0: Threads)
//
sem wait(sem):
// ACQUIRE the semaphore; block and queue up if not available
// CRITICAL REGION
sem post(sem):
// RELEASE the semaphore; notifies any queued processes of availability
sem close(sem):
// file-like semantics: close when process is finished using it
sem unlink("/the sem"):
// POSIX named semaphores have kernel persistence: if not removed by
// sem_unlink(), a semaphore will exist until the system is shut down.
```

Examine: append_file_sem.c

Examine and experiment with append_file_sem.c which solves coordinates appends using a POSIX semaphore.

Look for use of semaphore functions like

- Opening
- Unlinking, initializing
- Acquiring / Releasing
- How the critical region is protected

```
> ./a.out -init 1 1
initializing
> for i in $(seq 10); do
    ./a.out thefile.txt 100 $i &
  done
> wc -l thefile.txt
1000 thefile.txt
                     # ALL THERE!
> sort thefile.txt |uniq -c
    100 0001
                     # ALL KEYS
                     # FROM ALL
    100 0002
                     # PROCESSES
    100 0003
    100 0004
    100 0005
    100 0006
    100 0007
    100 0008
    100 0009
    100 0010
> ./a.out -unlink 1 1
unlinking
```

> gcc -g append loop sem.c -lpthread