

Database Systems HW 1 for Question 4

Ishneet Kaur

February 3 2026

1 Question 4

You are given the following set F of functional dependencies for a relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$.

$$F = \{A \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow BD, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow CDF\}$$

Is $AC \rightarrow CEF$ implied by F ? In other words, is $AC \rightarrow CEF \in F^+$? If yes, use the inference rules to show how you can obtain this functional dependency from the dependencies in F .

Solution

Yes, $AC \rightarrow CEF$ is implied by F . This can be shown by computing the closure of AC and by using Armstrong's inference rules.

Part 1: Compute $(AC)^+$

With $A \rightarrow D$, we can add D :

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D\}$$

With C now being in the closure, we can use the functional dependency $C \rightarrow BD$ to add B :

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

With both attributes B and D in the closure, we can use the functional dependency $BD \rightarrow E$ to add E :

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$$

With this updated closure, we can now use the functional dependency $E \rightarrow CDF$ to add F :

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$$

Since all attributes are included in $(AC)^+$ including CEF , we conclude:

$$AC \rightarrow CEF \in F^+$$

Part 2: Inference Rules

We will now examine if the inference rules confirm $AC \rightarrow CEF$

We will start with a given functional dependency.

Given: $A \rightarrow D$

Next, we can use augmentation to add C to both sides as we want the left side to represent AC.

Augmentation: $AC \rightarrow CD$

We can now decompose this to consolidate to the variables we are looking for on the right side.

Splitting: $AC \rightarrow C$

With C now on the right side alone, we can use another given functional dependency.

Given: $C \rightarrow BD$

We can now apply transivity.

Transivity: $AC \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow BD$ so $AC \rightarrow BD$

This now gives us another given functional dependency on the right.

Given: $BD \rightarrow E$

We can apply transivity again.

Transivity: $AC \rightarrow BD$ and $BD \rightarrow E$ so $AC \rightarrow E$

Now with all of the implications we have seen for AC, we can combine them to the right side.

Combining: $AC \rightarrow C$ and $AC \rightarrow BD$ and $AC \rightarrow E$ so $AC \rightarrow BCDE$

To get the last attribute, F, we can use another given functional dependency. For this, we can split to get the E which is on the left side of the functional dependency that will give us F.

Splitting: $AC \rightarrow E$

Given: $E \rightarrow \text{CDF}$

Transitivity: $AC \rightarrow E$ and $E \rightarrow \text{CDF}$ so $AC \rightarrow \text{CDF}$

We can now combine everything together and see everything that AC implies.

Combining: $AC \rightarrow BCDE$ and $AC \rightarrow \text{CDF}$ so $AC \rightarrow BCDEF$

To confirm the final answer, we can use splitting to get the exact attributes we are trying to validate.

Splitting: $AC \rightarrow CEF$

Conclusion

Therefore, $AC \rightarrow CEF$ is implied by the given set of functional dependencies so

$$AC \rightarrow CEF \in F^+$$