

Database Systems HW 2

Ishneet Kaur

February 17 2026

Question 1

Given:

$$F = \{ABC \rightarrow DG, EG \rightarrow BC, F \rightarrow CG, BDE \rightarrow AEF, C \rightarrow AD\}$$

Decomposition:

$$R_1(A, B, C, E, G)$$

$$R_2(A, C, D)$$

$$R_3(F, G)$$

Projection onto $R_1(A, B, C, E, G)$

Relevant attributes: A,B,C,E,G

From F:

$$EG \rightarrow BC$$

$$ABC \rightarrow G$$

Thus

$$F_1 = \{EG \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow G\}$$

Projection onto $R_2(A, C, D)$

$$C \rightarrow AD$$

Thus

$$F_2 = \{C \rightarrow AD\}$$

Projection onto $R_3(F, G)$

From

$$F \rightarrow CG$$

Projecting gives:

$$F \rightarrow G$$

Thus

$$F_3 = \{F \rightarrow G\}$$

Union:

$$F' = \{EG \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow G, C \rightarrow AD, F \rightarrow G\}$$

Check each original FD:

Check $ABC \rightarrow DG$

$$ABC^+ = \{A, B, C, D, G\}$$

Preserved

Check $EG \rightarrow BC$

$$EG^+ = \{B, C, E, G\}$$

Preserved

Check $F \rightarrow CG$

$$F^+ = \{F, G\}$$

Cannot derive C so not preserved

Check $BDE \rightarrow AEF$

$$BDE^+ = \{B, D, E\}$$

Cannot derive A,E,F so not preserved

Check $C \rightarrow AD$

$$C^+ = \{A, C, D\}$$

Preserved

Therefore, the decomposition is **not dependency preserving**.

Question 2

Given:

$$F = \{B \rightarrow ABC, DE \rightarrow G, DFG \rightarrow ABCE, D \rightarrow ABG, CD \rightarrow ABE, CF \rightarrow D\}$$

Decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_1(A, B, C, G) \\
&R_2(A, B, E, F) \\
&R_3(C, D) \\
&R_4(B, C, E, F, G) \\
&R_5(D, F)
\end{aligned}$$

Initial Chase Table:

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G</i>
R_1	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_1	e_1	f_1	<i>g</i>
R_2	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	c_2	d_2	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	g_2
R_3	a_3	b_3	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	e_3	f_3	g_3
R_4	a_4	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_4	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
R_5	a_5	b_5	c_5	<i>d</i>	e_5	<i>f</i>	g_5

Apply $B \rightarrow ABC$
Rows 1,2,4 share B:

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G</i>
R_1	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_1	e_1	f_1	<i>g</i>
R_2	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_2	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	g_2
R_3	a_3	b_3	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	e_3	f_3	g_3
R_4	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_4	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
R_5	a_5	b_5	c_5	<i>d</i>	e_5	<i>f</i>	g_5

Apply $D \rightarrow ABG$
Rows 3,5 share D:

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G</i>
R_1	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_1	e_1	f_1	<i>g</i>
R_2	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_2	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	g_2
R_3	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	e_3	f_3	<i>g</i>
R_4	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_4	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
R_5	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	c_5	<i>d</i>	e_5	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>

Apply $CF \rightarrow D$
Rows 2,4 share C and F:

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G</i>
R_1	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	d_1	e_1	f_1	<i>g</i>
R_2	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	g_2
R_3	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	e_3	f_3	<i>g</i>
R_4	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
R_5	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	c_5	<i>d</i>	e_5	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>

Row 4 is now:

$$(a, b, c, d, e, f, g)$$

Row without subscripts exists.

Therefore, decomposition is **lossless**.

Question 3

Given:

$$F = \{ABEF \rightarrow DGH, ABC \rightarrow H, CFG \rightarrow BDH, FG \rightarrow B\}$$

(a) Keys

Attributes not on right side:

$$A, C, E, F$$

Find keys:

$$ACEF^+ = \{A, C, E, F\}$$

$$ABCEF^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H\}$$

so key

$$ACDEF^+ = \{A, C, D, E, F\}$$

$$ACEFG^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H\}$$

so key

$$ACEFH^+ = \{A, C, E, F, H\}$$

Thus ABCEF and ACEFG are both not subsets of each other so they are minimal and keys.

Prime attributes: A,B,C,E,F,G

Check 3NF:

$$\{ABEF \rightarrow DGH\}$$

ABEF is not a key and D and H are not prime attributes so no the relation is not.

(b) 3NF Decomposition

Minimal basis:

Split right side:

$$ABEF \rightarrow D$$

$$ABEF \rightarrow G$$

$$ABEF \rightarrow H$$

$$ABC \rightarrow H$$

$$CFG \rightarrow B$$

$$CFG \rightarrow D$$

$$CFG \rightarrow H$$

$$FG \rightarrow B$$

Remove redundant FDs with closure testing.

Final minimal basis:

$$\{ABEF \rightarrow D, ABC \rightarrow H, CFG \rightarrow BDH, FG \rightarrow B\}$$

Create relations:

$$R_1(A, B, E, F, D)$$

$$R_2(A, B, C, H)$$

$$R_3(C, F, G, B, D, H)$$

$$R_4(F, G, B)$$

$$R_5(A, C, E, F)$$

(c) BCNF Check

R_1 : ABEF is key \rightarrow BCNF

R_2 : ABC is key \rightarrow BCNF

R_3 : CFG is key \rightarrow BCNF

R_4 : FG is key \rightarrow BCNF

R_5 : No non-trivial FDs \rightarrow BCNF

Question 4

Given:

$$F = \{AB \rightarrow BC, DFG \rightarrow H, C \rightarrow F, BEF \rightarrow CG, BG \rightarrow E, AFG \rightarrow BE, EF \rightarrow CDFG, ACH \rightarrow EB\}$$

Split RHS into single attributes.

Remove trivial FDs.

For each FD remove and test closure:

Example:

Remove $BG \rightarrow E$

Compute:

Original:

$$BG^+ = \{B, G, E\}$$

Without it:

$$BG^+ = \{B, G, E\}$$

Thus removable.

Repeat for all.

Final minimal basis:

$$\{AB \rightarrow C, DFG \rightarrow H, C \rightarrow F, BEF \rightarrow C, AFG \rightarrow B, EF \rightarrow D, ACH \rightarrow E\}$$

Question 5

Key determination:

Given dependencies:

$$vin \rightarrow car\ type, year, make, model$$

$$drivers\ license \rightarrow first\ name, last\ name$$

$$vin, transaction\ type, transaction\ date, transaction\ amount, drivers\ license \rightarrow street, city, state$$

Compute closure:

$$(vin, transaction\ type, transaction\ date, transaction\ amount, drivers\ license, phone)^+$$

Derives all attributes.

Thus this is a key.

Violation:

$$drivers\ license \rightarrow first\ name, last\ name$$

drivers license not superkey \rightarrow violates BCNF.

Decompose:

$$R_1(\text{drivers license}, \text{first name}, \text{last name})$$

$$R_2(\text{vin}, \text{transaction type}, \text{transaction date}, \text{transaction amount}, \text{drivers license}, \text{street}, \text{city}, \text{state}, \text{phone}, \text{car type}, \text{year}, \text{make}, \text{model})$$

Further decompose by:

$$\text{vin} \rightarrow \text{car type}, \text{year}, \text{make}, \text{model}$$

Continue until all in BCNF.

Lost dependency check:

Compute closure of union of projected FDs.

Show that

$$\text{vin}, \text{transaction type}, \text{transaction date}, \text{transaction amount}, \text{drivers license} \rightarrow \text{street}, \text{city}, \text{state}$$

cannot be derived \rightarrow lost.

Question 6

Relation:

$$\text{Customers}(\text{first}, \text{last}, \text{dl}, \text{street}, \text{city}, \text{state}, \text{phone})$$

FD:

$$\text{dl} \rightarrow \text{first}, \text{last}$$

Key:

$$(\text{dl}, \text{street}, \text{city}, \text{state}, \text{phone})^+$$

Derives all.

Violation:

dl not superkey \rightarrow violates BCNF and 3NF.

Non-trivial MVD:

$$\text{dl} \twoheadrightarrow \text{phone}$$

Example:

$$t_1 = (d_1, p_1, s_1)$$

$$t_2 = (d_1, p_2, s_2)$$

Implies

$$v = (d_1, p_1, s_2)$$

Not in 4NF.

4NF Decomposition:

$$R_1(dl, first, last, street, city, state)$$

$$R_2(dl, phone)$$

Both in 4NF.