Day NOW & KEH) as k-0

The equation become

AT (Ax 40 -1) =0

=> AT A x () = AT L ( Normal equation)

Thus,  $\chi(k) = \hat{\chi}$ 

the given equation resembles gradient descent in such a way that

19 0010039

Kewshal Banthula

minimize || Ax - b||2 (4x-b) (4x-b)

NOW 2 ( NAX-bII = 2AT (AN-b)

Thus, it can be further written that,

n(kH) = n(w) - or 2AT (Ax-b) (updation 844)

Let q= 1 211A112

: x(kH) = x(h) - 2AT (Ax-b)

 $\frac{2\|A\|^2}{\|A\|^2} = \chi^{(W)} - \frac{A^{\dagger}(Ax - b)}{\|A\|^2} \Rightarrow \text{ this is the given equation}$ 

(b) Time complexity of multiplication Ax = O(mn) time

Time complexity of subtraction An -b = 0 (m) time

Time complexity of multiplication AT (Ax-b) = O(mn) time

Time complexity of division AT (An-b) = 0 (m) time

Time Complexity of subtraction n(0 - AT (An-b) = O(m) time

-: Total time complexity = O(mn) time. Since this is run for k steps, Time complexity = O(mnk) time

(d) The direct method consists of using the OR decomposition. It involves an empensive step that has a time complexity of O(mn²) time If n (number of features) is large, then this is & very slow.

Thus, the iterative method is beneficial, since we can constrol the number of iterations (k). Also, we can cause early stopping if increment in consecutive values of xks is neglicible.

10/24/21, 11:28 PM Question\_7

```
In [1]:
         # Kaushal Banthia
         # 19CS10039
         # Question 7
In [2]:
         import numpy as np
In [3]:
         def algorithm(A, b, iter):
             x = np.zeros((10, 1))
             actual = np.linalg.inv(A.transpose() @ A) @ A.transpose() @ b
             norm = np.linalg.norm(A, 2)
             for i in range(iter):
                 temp = x - ((A.transpose() @ ((A @ x) - b)) / np.square(norm))
                 x = temp
             print("Actual x is: " + str(actual))
             print("Iterative x is: " + str(x))
             print("2 norm of difference after " + str(iter) + " iterations is: " + str(np.li
In [4]:
         A = np.random.rand(30,10)
         b = np.random.rand(30,1)
         rank = np.linalg.matrix_rank(A)
         print("Rank(A): " + str(rank))
         if rank==10:
             print("A is full rank")
             algorithm(A, b, 100)
             algorithm(A, b, 1000)
         else:
             print("A is not full rank")
        Rank(A): 10
        A is full rank
        Actual x is: [[ 0.24988416]
         [ 0.19057976]
         [-0.23528952]
         [-0.17585296]
         [-0.06039597]
         [-0.37317122]
         [ 0.54833551]
         [ 0.19659172]
         [ 0.56898956]
         [-0.01360586]]
        Iterative x is: [[ 0.25728869]
         [ 0.14997773]
         [-0.18175885]
         [-0.1028233]
         [ 0.00763066]
         [-0.33004629]
         [ 0.43742638]
         [ 0.19869833]
         [ 0.53365844]
         [-0.02306954]]
        2 norm of difference after 100 iterations is: 0.17329950658665813
        Actual x is: [[ 0.24988416]
         [ 0.19057976]
         [-0.23528952]
         [-0.17585296]
         [-0.06039597]
         [-0.37317122]
         [ 0.54833551]
         [ 0.19659172]
          [ 0.56898956]
         [-0.01360586]]
```

```
Iterative x is: [[ 0.24987738]
  [ 0.19056965]
  [-0.23528909]
  [-0.17583652]
  [-0.06038942]
  [-0.37317683]
  [ 0.5483312 ]
  [ 0.19659991]
  [ 0.56898932]
  [-0.01360651]]
2 norm of difference after 1000 iterations is: 2.4060953424038222e-05
```

In [5]:

# As it can be seen from the above code's output, the values of from both iterative # If we increase the number of iterations from 100 to 1000, then they come even more # difference reducing even further. This numerically verifies that the algorithm con