Detailed Summary of Lecture 14

Data Analysis and Interpretation: Measurements and Scales

1. Introduction to Measurement

Measurement refers to the assignment of numerals to objects or events according to well-defined rules. The primary objective of measurement in research is to make empirical phenomena quantifiable for statistical analysis.

Key Concepts:

- Numerals are symbols used to distinguish objects. They lack inherent quantitative meaning.
- When quantitative meaning is assigned to numerals, they become **numbers**.
- Measurement involves a process of **mapping** objects from one set onto another set using a function or rule.
- The rule of assigning numerals to objects is defined as a scale.

Example: Measuring the gender of family members by assigning 1 for male and 0 for female. If a family $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5\}$ contains three males, the mapping $f(a_i) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ allows symbolic representation of gender.

2. Steps in Measurement Procedure

- 1. Define the objects in the universe of discourse (e.g., all 10th grade pupils in a school).
- 2. Identify the measurable properties of these objects (e.g., sex, income, education).
- 3. Partition the universe into mutually exclusive and exhaustive subsets based on the property.
- 4. Count the number of members in each subset, assuming internal homogeneity within a subset.

3. Types of Measurement Scales

There are four fundamental scales of measurement:

3.1. 1. Nominal Scale (Categorical)

Definition: Classifies data into distinct categories without any order or quantitative value.

Examples:

• Gender: Male, Female

• Religion: Hindu, Buddhist, Muslim, Christian

• Occupation: Teacher, Manager, Doctor

• Department: Sales, Finance, Production

Properties:

- Mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive categories.
- No implied order or ranking.
- Statistical operations: Frequencies, percentages.
- Test: Chi-square test is suitable for nominal data.
- Associated with exploratory research and qualitative variables.

Example Use Case: If 150 students are coded as 1 for male and 2 for female, frequency analysis may reveal 100 males (66.6%) and 50 females (33.4%).

3.2. 2. Ordinal Scale (Ranking)

Definition: Represents ranked order of variables without assuming equal intervals between them.

Examples:

- Ranking jobs by social status: Doctor, Engineer, Professor, etc.
- Ranking cities by suitability for opening a bank branch.

Properties:

• Captures order or preference.

- Interval between ranks is unknown or non-uniform.
- Measures of central tendency: Median, percentiles.
- Measures of dispersion: Quartiles, range.
- Suitable statistical tools: Non-parametric tests, rank-order correlation.

Application: Frequently used in surveys, customer satisfaction ratings, and preference studies.

3.3. 3. Interval Scale (Equal Intervals without True Zero)

Definition: A numeric scale where both order and exact differences between values are meaningful, but the zero point is arbitrary.

Example:

- Age differences among siblings: Radha is 4 years older than Rabina, Rambha is 3 years older, etc.
- Attitude measurement using Likert scales (e.g., 1 to 5 scale).

Properties:

- Equal spacing between scale points.
- No absolute zero; zero does not indicate absence of quantity.
- Appropriate statistics: Mean, Standard Deviation, Pearson correlation, t-test, F-test.

Application: Behavioral and psychological research (e.g., intelligence tests, attitude scales).

3.4. 4. Ratio Scale (True Zero)

Definition: The highest level of measurement that includes all properties of the interval scale and has an absolute zero.

Examples:

- Income (e.g., Rs. 50,000/year)
- Age (e.g., 25 years)
- Number of children, years of schooling, hours worked

Properties:

- Allows comparison of magnitudes (e.g., "twice as much").
- Absolute zero point implies absence of the variable.
- All mathematical operations are possible.
- Measures: Geometric mean, harmonic mean, coefficient of variation.

Example Use Cases:

- How many children do you have?
- What is your annual income?
- How many employees work in your factory?

Note: Ratio scales are more common in physical sciences than in social sciences.

4. Comparative Summary of Scales

| Scale | Order | Equal Interval | True Zero | Examples |
|----------|-------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Nominal | No | No | No | Gender, Nationality |
| Ordinal | Yes | No | No | Rank, Preferences |
| Interval | Yes | Yes | No | Temperature (C), IQ |
| Ratio | Yes | Yes | Yes | Age, Income, Height |

5. Conclusion

Measurement scales are foundational in determining how data can be analyzed statistically. The choice of scale impacts the types of statistical methods that are appropriate. Understanding the properties and limitations of each scale is crucial for effective research design and data interpretation.

Detailed Summary of Lecture 15 Measurement of Scale

1. Needs of Scaling

Scaling is essential in research as it converts qualitative facts into quantitative data, enabling scientific analysis. The main needs of scaling are:

- To achieve scientific measurement of qualitative facts.
- To ensure objective and reliable measurement in technical and social studies.
- To improve and develop more precise measuring instruments through scientific use of existing scales.

2. Characteristics of a Good Scale

A good measurement scale should possess the following characteristics:

- Continuum: Represents a continuous series of points, with interrelated factors, defined by the nature of the phenomenon.
- Reliability: Produces consistent, stable, and accurate results. A reliable scale gives the same result for the same object under the same conditions, indicating absence of measurement error.
- Validity: Measures what it is intended to measure. A valid scale reflects true differences among subjects. Validity implies reliability, but not vice versa.
- **Practicability:** The scale should be economical, convenient, easy to administer, and interpretable. It should come with clear instructions, scoring keys, utilization guides, and evidence of reliability.

3. Methods of Estimating Reliability

Reliability is the consistency of a scale. The main methods of estimating reliability are:

1. Test-Retest Method:

- The same test is administered multiple times to the same subjects.
- The correlation between the two sets of scores is calculated.
- High correlation indicates high reliability.

2. Parallel (Alternate) Forms Method:

- Two equivalent forms of a test are administered to the same group.
- The correlation between the two sets of scores measures reliability.

3. Split-Half Method:

- The test is split into two halves (e.g., odd vs. even items).
- The correlation between the halves is calculated.
- The Spearman-Brown formula is used to adjust the reliability estimate for the full test:

$$R_{xy} = \frac{2r_{xy}}{1 + r_{xy}}$$

where r_{xy} is the correlation between the two halves.

4. Rational Equivalence (Kuder-Richardson) Method:

- Measures internal consistency by analyzing the correlation among items within the same test.
- The Kuder-Richardson formula (KR-1) is:

$$KR_1 = R_w = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum pq}{\sigma^2} \right]$$

where n is the number of items, p is the proportion of correct answers, q = 1-p, and σ^2 is the variance of test scores.

4. Examples

• Split-Half Example: If a test is split into odd and even items and the correlation between halves is 0.72, the reliability coefficient for the whole test is:

$$R_{xy} = \frac{2 \times 0.72}{1 + 0.72} = 0.8272$$

indicating 82.72% reliability.

• Kuder-Richardson Example: For a test with 60 questions, p = 0.7, q = 0.3, and standard deviation 10:

$$KR_1 = \frac{60}{59} \left[1 - \frac{60 \times 0.7 \times 0.3}{100} \right] = 0.8888$$

or 88.88% reliability.

5. Summary Table: Reliability Estimation Methods

| Method | Description | Formula/Approach | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Test-Retest | Same test, same subjects, different times | Correlation coefficient between sco | | |
| Parallel Forms | Two equivalent tests, same subjects | Correlation between forms | | |
| Split-Half | Divide test into two halves, correlate scores | Spearman-Brown formula | | |
| Kuder-Richardson | Internal consistency among test items | $KR_1 = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \frac{\Sigma pq}{\sigma^2} \right]$ | | |

6. Conclusion

Scaling and reliability are essential for scientific measurement in research. Good scales must be continuous, reliable, valid, and practical. Reliability can be estimated using several statistical methods, ensuring that measurement instruments yield consistent and meaningful results.

Summary of Lecture 16: Validity and Scaling in Social Sciences

1 Validity

Definition: A test possesses *validity* when it measures what it is intended to measure. Validity indicates the accuracy of predictions made from test scores.

1.1 Types of Validity

1.1.1 1. Content Validity

- Also known as *logical validity*.
- Ensures test items represent the entire domain of content.
- Often used in academic, vocational, and clinical contexts.
- Determined by expert judgment and statistical analysis.

1.1.2 2. Criterion-Related Validity

- Measures how well a test predicts outcomes on an external, validated criterion.
- Based on:
 - External criterion
 - Future or concurrent behavior
 - Logical and empirical methods
- Types:

Predictive Validity: Forecasts future performance.

Concurrent Validity: Correlates with current valid measures.

• Validity is measured using correlation coefficient r:

| Range of r | Interpretation |
|---|--|
| $0.9 \le r \le 1.0 \\ 0.8 \le r < 0.9 \\ 0.6 \le r < 0.8$ | Very high validity High validity Satisfactory validity |
| $0.4 \le r < 0.6$ | Moderate validity |
| $0.0 \le r < 0.4$ $r < 0$ | Poor validity Negative validity |
| | |

1.1.3 3. Construct Validity

- Most abstract form of validity.
- Involves theoretical constructs or psychological traits.
- Evaluated using statistical correlations and factor analysis.

2 Scaling

2.1 Scores

- Raw Score: Basic count of correct answers.
- Limited in comparative value.

2.2 Scales and Derived Scores

- Scales map raw scores into structured levels.
- Derived scores include:
 - Percentile Score
 - Z-Score (z-score)
 - T-Score
- These scores are arranged to form:
 - Percentile Scale
 - Sigma Scale
 - T-Scale

3 Difficulties in Scaling Social Phenomena

- Abstract nature of social values
- Heterogeneous customs and norms

- Variability of human behavior
- Absence of universal standards
- Inapplicability of laboratory methods

4 Scales in Social and Physical Sciences

1. Point Scale

- Assigns one point per criterion.
- Methods:
 - Tick favorable options.
 - Cross unfavorable options.
 - Indicate agreement/disagreement.

2. Social Distance Scale (Bogardus Scale)

• Measures willingness to associate with members of different social or ethnic groups.

3. Rating Scale

- Measures non-binary traits or attitudes.
- Examples:
 - 3-point scale: Very Good Satisfactory Poor
 - 5-point Likert scale: Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

4. Ranking Scale

- Respondents rank items based on preference.
- Lower score indicates higher preference.

5. Thurstone Scale

- Developed by Louis L. Thurstone.
- Measures attitudes on a continuum from favorable to neutral to unfavorable.
- Used in educational and psychological assessments.