

## CS 20 lecture #1: Introduction, Propositional logic.

### Wason's experiment:

- 4 cards on table: 1 for Alice, Bob, Charlie, Donna.
- card contains person's destination on one side, mode of travel on other.
- Consider the theory: "if a person travels to Chicago, Heble flies"
- you see:

<u>Alice</u> Baltimore	<u>Bob</u> drove	<u>Charlie</u> Chicago	<u>Donna</u> flew
---------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----------------------
- To test theory, what do you need to flip?
- Answer: later.

### Propositions

- statements that are true or false.
  - ↳ Proposition vs opinion.
  - ↳ ~~an~~ opinions can't have true/false values.
- Example:
  - $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.  $\rightarrow$  Proposition, true.
  - $4+5 \rightarrow$  Not a proposition.
  - Alice travelled to Chicago  $\rightarrow$  Proposition False.

### Propositional Forms.

- Put propositions together to make another.
- Conjunction:  $P \wedge Q$  (P and Q)
  - $\rightarrow$  True if and only if  $P \wedge Q$  are true.
- Disjunction:  $P \vee Q$  (P or Q)
  - $\rightarrow$  False if and only if  $P \wedge Q$  are False.
- Negation:  $\neg P$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  False if P is true.
- You can put any propositions in these spots!  
" $2+2=3$ "  $\wedge$  " $2+2=4$ "  $\rightarrow$  False Proposition.

# Truth Tables for Propositional Forms:

$\frac{P}{T}$	$\frac{Q}{T}$	$\frac{P \wedge Q}{T}$	$\frac{P \vee Q}{T}$	( $\wedge$ , $\vee$ are commutative )
$\frac{T}{T}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{T}{T}$	
$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{T}{T}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{T}{T}$	
$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	$\frac{F}{F}$	

$$\sim(P \wedge Q) = \sim P \vee \sim Q$$

- Both propositional forms have the same Truth Table.

\* De Morgan's law for Negation: Distribute and flip.

$$\sim(P \wedge Q) \equiv \sim P \vee \sim Q$$

$$\sim(P \vee Q) \equiv \sim P \wedge \sim Q$$

Example:

$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)?$$

$$\text{Simplify: } (T \wedge Q) \equiv Q, (F \wedge Q) \equiv F$$

Cases:

P is True:

$$T \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (Q \vee R)$$

$$(T \wedge Q) \vee (T \wedge R) \equiv (Q \vee R)$$

P is False:

$$F \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv F$$

$$(F \wedge Q) \vee (F \wedge R) \equiv F \vee F \equiv F$$

$$\text{Similarly, } P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Foil! : } (A \vee B) \wedge (C \vee D) \equiv (A \wedge C) \vee (A \wedge D) \vee (B \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge D)$$

Implications:

$$P \rightarrow Q, \text{ if } P \text{ Then } Q.$$

if P is true and  $P \rightarrow Q$ , Q is true.

if you stand in the rain, <sup>↑</sup>you'll get wet.  
 $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
P Q

$P \rightarrow Q$  is false only if  $P$  is true &  $Q$  is false.

False implies nothing.

$P$  being false means  $Q$  can be true or false.

$P$  can be anything when  $Q$  is false.

if chemical plant pollutes rivers, fish die.

if fish die, did chemical plant pollute river?

Not necessarily.

Implication and English:

- if  $P$ , then  $Q$ .

-  $Q$  if  $P$

-  $P$  only if  $Q$

-  $P$  is sufficient for  $Q$ .

$P$	$Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\sim P \vee Q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

logically equivalent.

Contrapositive, Converse.

- Contrapositive of  $P \rightarrow Q$  is  $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$

- if plant pollutes, fish die.

- If the fish don't die, plant does not pollute.

- Converse of  $P \rightarrow Q$  is  $Q \rightarrow P$ .

- Not logically equivalent.

- Equivalent: if  $P \rightarrow Q$  and  $Q \rightarrow P$  is  $P$  if and only if  $Q$  or  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ .

Variables

- Propositions?

-  $x > 2$

-  $n$  is even & sum of 2 primes

Not there are free variables.

- Predicates:

- if you put a value in, they become a proposition

-  $Q(x)$ :  $x$  is even.  $\leftarrow$  depends on  $x$ .

- Wason's experiment

-  $F(x)$ : Person  $x$  flew

-  $C(x)$ : Person  $x$  went to Chicago.

-  $C(x) \rightarrow F(x)$

## Quantifiers:

### - There exists quantifier:

$(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$ : There exists an  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $P(x)$  is true.  
- Converts predicates into statements.

Example:

$$(\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x = x^2)$$

### - For all quantifier:

$(\forall x \in S)(P(x))$ : For all  $x$  in  $S$ ,  $P(x)$  is true.

Example:

Adding 1 makes a bigger number:  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x+1 > x)$

\* Propositions have universes:  $\mathbb{R}$  = all real #'s.  $\mathbb{N}$  → all natural #'s.

## Back to Watson's:

$P(x)$  = Person  $x$  went to Chicago.  $Q(x)$  = Person  $x$  flew

statement (Theory:  $\forall x \in \{A, B, C, D\} P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ )

$P(A)$  = false. We don't care about  $Q(A)$ . ← can be anything.

$Q(B)$  = false.  $P(B) \rightarrow Q(B) \equiv \sim Q(B) \rightarrow \sim P(B)$ . So,  $P(B)$  should be false.

$P(C)$  = true.  $P(C) \rightarrow Q(C)$ , so  $Q(C)$  must be true.

$Q(D)$  = true. We don't care about  $P(D)$

→ only have to flip over Bob, Charlie.

## More Quantifiers:

$(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(2x > x) \rightarrow$  False.  $x=0$ .

↳  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(2x \geq x) \rightarrow$  True.

$(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(x > 5 \rightarrow x^2 > 25)$  ✓ implication restricts universe.

- There is a natural # that is the square of every natural number.

$(\exists y \in \mathbb{N})(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(y = x^2)$  False.

- The square of every Natural # is a natural #.

$(\forall x \in \mathbb{N})(\exists y \in \mathbb{N})(y = x^2) \rightarrow$  True.

Demorgan's for Quantifiers: There is an  $x$  in  $S$  where  $P(x)$  does not hold.

$$\sim(\forall x \in S)(P(x)) \equiv \exists(x \in S)(\sim P(x)).$$



Consider

$$\sim(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$$

means that for all  $x$  in  $S$ ,  $P(x)$  does not hold.

$$\rightarrow (\forall x \in S)(\sim P(x)).$$