

# Software Engineering

## Iterator

- *Behavioural Pattern*
- Problem : An aggregate collection of objects
- Aim : To access items in collection, without exposing their internal structure. Access independent of collection, multiple access allowed independently.
- Solution : Iterator object controls access.
- Types of iterators:
  - Embedded
  - Separate
  - Internal - *Iterator controls transversal*
  - External - *Collection controls transversal*
- Problems with embedded iterators :
  - Mixed structure and maintenance
  - One transversal at a time
  - Adds to collection interface and implementation
  - Clumsy when adding different collection algorithms
- Separate iterator attached to client at time of creation or via a method
- Pros of separate iterator:
  - Supports various transversal algorithms
  - Simplified collection interface
  - Multiple transversals
- Internal Iterator:
  - **Iterator controls transversal**
  - Client hands operation to perform on each element in aggregate
  - Iterators transverses aggregate
- External Iterator:
  - **Collection controls transversal**

# Builder

- *Creational Pattern*
- Problem : Need to construct complex objects incrementally.
- Aim : To abstract the construction process.
- Solution : Parameterized construction of many variants through concrete builders which the client can invoke for each piece.
- Use When?
  - Need algorithm/assembly independence.
  - Do not need specificity.
- Variants:
  - Construction fixed
  - Construction incremental, etc.
- Builder structure diagram
- Flow :
  - Client creates Director, configures it with a Builder.
  - Director notifies Builder when a part is to be added to Product.
  - Builder adds parts to Product.
  - Builder returns Product to Client.
- Pros/features:
  - New types of products, common process
  - Specifics of assembly process are hidden
  - **Process** of construction encapsulated in Director
  - **Product** of construction encapsulated in Builder
  - Can vary both, for an independent Builder
  - Iterative, step by step, product retrieved when Director has finished construction
- Changes to Director:
  - Must recognize new types of parts
  - Must know how to invoke construction of part in builder
- Changes to Builder:
  - Add new part construction method to interface and update subclasses

## Command

- *Behavioural Pattern*
- Problem : Multiple commands issued through various mechanisms
- Aim : Decouple invoking of action from knowledge of how to perform it, knowledge of the receiver of request
- Solution : Create Command objects that know how to execute operation
- Pattern Structure : *Command, Concrete Command, Client, Invoker, Receiver*
- Consequences:
  - Invocation decoupled from execution
  - Command extensible
  - Macro commands accommodated
  - Easy to add new commands to interface
- How to undo/redo
  - Memento Pattern
  - Prototype Pattern

## Template Method

- *Behavioural Pattern*
- Problem : Repetitive code for different classes with similar structure is not desirable
- Aim : To reuse code
- Solution : Create a template class
- Defines skeleton of an algorithm in a method
- Some specifications and steps deferred to subclasses
- Tea Coffee example
- Allows subclass to redefine certain methods without changing algorithm's structure
- Class diagram
- Hollywood principle

## State

- *Behavioral Pattern*
- Problem : Object responds differently depending on its state, all responses may not be valid at all times, states are not extensible
- Aim : To optimise object response with state dependence
- Naive Solution: Variable based conditional response -- extensibility issues, logically complex
- Solution : Basic interface defined, different subclass for each state, context accesses concrete subclass based on state
- Class Diagram -- *Context, State Concrete State*
- Use When?
  - Object's behavior depends on state which changes at runtime
  - Operations with multi-parts, conditional on object state
- Consequences:
  - Localized and partitioned state specific behaviour
  - Easy addition/deletion of states

## Strategy

- *Behavioral Pattern*
- Problem : Bunch of closely related algorithms
- Aim : To abstract implementation from client, encapsulate for interchangeable usage
- Solution : Common interface for each group of algorithms
- Class Diagram -- *similar to State Pattern, Context, Strategy, Concrete Strategies*
- Applicability:
  - Hide algorithm specific data structures
  - Eliminate multiple conditional testing
- Benefits:
  - Encapsulated family of algorithms

- Eliminate conditional switching
- Dynamic choice for context
- Drawbacks:
  - Client must be aware of strategies
  - Same interface for all strategies, simple ones may have overhead of information

## Chain of Responsibility

- *Behavioral Pattern*
- Problem : Distribution of responsibility and handing it
- Aim : To best distribute responsibility among objects and optimise responsibility handing
- Solution : Chain of objects that examine a request, handle it or pass it to other objects
- Structure Diagram -- *Client, Handler, Concrete Handlers*
- When to use
  - To decouple a request's sender and receiver
  - Hide specific handlers
  - Issue request without specifying receiver explicitly
- Benefits:
  - Decoupling of sender and receiver
  - Simplifies objects
  - Addition, removal of responsibilities dynamically
- Drawbacks:
  - Execution of request isn't guaranteed
  - Hard to observe and debug runtime

## Mediator

- *Behavioral Pattern*
- Problem : Interaction and interdependence of different objects can get messy

- Aim : to facilitate inter-object interaction and make it easy, every object need not be aware of the existence of every other object
- Solution : A new object that encapsulates object interactions
- Structure Diagram -- *Mediator, Colleagues*
- **Mediator and Observer are competing patterns**
  - Observer distributes communication by introducing 'Observer' and 'Subject' objects, Mediator encapsulates communication between other objects
  - Mediator can leverage Observer by dynamically registering colleagues
- **Mediator is similar to Facade**
  - Abstracts functionality of existing classes
  - ***Difference*** : Mediator defines a multi-directional protocol, while Facade defines a unidirectional protocol

## Memento

- *Behavioural Pattern*
- Problem : Restoration of object state to previous state by client
- Aim : Preserve encapsulation of state privacy while allowing for state restoration
- Solution : Have object create a Memento of its current state for restoration
- Pattern Structure -- *Memento, Originator, Caretaker*
- Consequences:
  - Encapsulation maintained
  - Mementos can be expensive/large
  - Caretaker may need overhead on storage
- Similar to Command pattern, only here token implies internal state
- Used in conjunction with Iterator, iterator uses memento to capture the state of the iteration

# Decorator Pattern

- *Structural Pattern*
- Adds additional responsibility to an object dynamically by wrapping itself around the object, has the same interface as the object.
- Object's responsibilities are delegated before or after decorator responsibilities.
- Structure Diagram -- *Component, Decorator, Concrete Component, Concrete Decorator*
- Adapter provides different interface, proxy provides same interface, decorator provides enhanced interface
- **Composite and Decorator both rely on recursive composition**, have similar structure diagrams
- Decorator changes skin, Strategy changes guts of an object