UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

WINTER 2024

EE 110B SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS FINAL EXAM SOLUTIONS

Question 1)

a) We have

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} X(z) = \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(x[0] + x[1]z^{-1} + x[2]z^{-2} + x[3]z^{-3} + \ldots \right)$$

$$= x[0] + x[1] \cdot 0 + x[2] \cdot 0 + x[3] \cdot 0 + \ldots$$

$$= x[0].$$

b)

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(X(z) - x[0] \right) = \lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(x[0] + x[1]z^{-1} + x[2]z^{-2} + x[3]z^{-3} + \dots - x[0] \right)$$

$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} x[1] + x[2]z^{-1} + x[3]z^{-2} + \dots$$

$$= x[1] + x[2] \cdot 0 + x[3] \cdot 0 + x[4] \cdot 0 + \dots$$

$$= x[1]$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(X(z) - x[0] - x[1] z^{-1} \right) = \lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(x[0] + x[1] z^{-1} + x[2] z^{-2} + x[3] z^{-3} + \dots - x[0] - x[1] z^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} x[2] + x[3] z^{-1} + x[4] z^{-2} + \dots$$

$$= x[2] + x[3] \cdot 0 + x[4] \cdot 0 + x[5] \cdot 0 + \dots$$

$$= x[2].$$

c) In general, we can write

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \left(X(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x[k] z^{-k} \right) = \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x[k] z^{-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x[k] z^{-k} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} x[k] z^{-k}$$

$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} x[k] z^{n-k}$$

$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} x[n] + x[n+1] z^{-1} + x[n+2] z^{-2} + x[n+3] z^{-3} + \dots$$

$$= x[n] + x[n+1] \cdot 0 + x[n+2] \cdot 0 + x[n+3] \cdot 0 + \dots$$

$$= x[n].$$

d) We need to start from

$$x[0] = \lim_{z \to \infty} X(z) = \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} = 1$$
.

Then,

$$\begin{split} x[1] &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(X(z) - x[0] \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(\frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(\frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z \left(\frac{z^{-1}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \\ &= 1 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} x[2] &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(X(z) - x[0] - x[1] z^{-1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - 1 - z^{-1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{z^{-1} (1 - z^{-1})}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^2 \left(\frac{z^{-2}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \\ &= 1 \; . \end{split}$$

We seem to have caught the trend here. In fact, we can show that if $x[0] = x[1] = x[2] = \dots = x[n-1] = 1$, we must have x[n] = 1, as follows:

$$\begin{split} x[n] &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \left(X(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x[k] z^{-k} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \left(\frac{1}{1-z^{-1}} - \left[1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + \ldots + z^{-(n-1)} \right] \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \left(\frac{1}{1-z^{-1}} - \frac{(1-z^{-1}) \left[1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + \ldots + z^{-(n-1)} \right]}{1-z^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \cdot \frac{1 - \left[1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + \ldots + z^{-(n-1)} \right] + z^{-1} \left[1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + \ldots + z^{-(n-1)} \right]}{1-z^{-1}} \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \cdot \frac{1 - \left[1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + \ldots + z^{-(n-1)} \right] + \left[z^{-1} + z^{-2} + z^{-3} + \ldots + z^{-n} \right]}{1-z^{-1}} \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z^n \cdot \frac{z^{-n}}{1-z^{-1}} \\ &= \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{1}{1-z^{-1}} \\ &= 1 \, . \end{split}$$

The conclusion is that x[n] = u[n], which is what was expected in the first place, because we could have recognized it by the form of the z-transform.

Question 2)

a) We know that

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) = \frac{e^{j\frac{\pi}{2}n} - e^{-j\frac{\pi}{2}n}}{2j} = \frac{j^n - (-j)^n}{2j} .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} X(z) &= \frac{1}{2j} \left[\frac{1}{1 - jz^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 + jz^{-1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2j} \frac{1 + jz^{-1} - (1 - jz^{-1})}{(1 - jz^{-1})(1 + jz^{-1})} \\ &= \frac{z^{-1}}{1 + z^{-2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{z^2 + 1} \end{split}$$

There are two poles, one at z = j and another at z = -j, and a zero at z = 0. There is also a hidden zero at $z = \infty$. The ROC is given by $\{z : |z| > 1\}$.

b) We know that x[-n] has a z-transform equal to $X(z^{-1})$. But

$$X(z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-1}}{z^{-2} + 1}$$
$$= \frac{z}{1 + z^{2}}$$
$$= X(z).$$

The ROC of X(z) is the reciprocal of that of X(z), i.e., $\{z : |z| < 1\}$.

The reason why poles and zeros of $X(z^{-1})$ are at the exact same spots as those of X(z) is that for each zero z_i of X(z), there is another zero at z_i^{-1} , and similarly, for each pole p_i , there is one at p_i^{-1} .

c) We must first find H(z). But since h[n] = 0 at all but two locations, we can easily conclude

$$H(z) = 0.5 + 0.5z^{-2}$$

with an ROC= $\{z: |z| > 0\}$. Then,

$$Y(z) = \frac{z^{-1}}{1+z^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1+z^{-2}}{2} = \frac{z^{-1}}{2}$$

with also an ROC= $\{z : |z| > 0\}$, because the poles and zeros canceled each other. Clearly, this is the shifted version of half an impulse, i.e.,

$$y[n] = 0.5\delta[n-1] \ .$$

d) Since $X(z^{-1}) = X(z)$, we also have $X(z^{-1})H(z) = X(z)H(z)$ yielding $T(z) = Y(z) = \frac{z^{-1}}{2}$. So, despite the fact that x[-n] is a left-sided sequence, and hence has a very different ROC, in the end it does not matter because of the pole-zero cancellation. The answer is the same as in part c, i.e.,

$$t[n] = y[n] .$$

Question 3)

Following the hint, we can write $x_1[n] = x[-n]$ yielding

$$X_1(e^{j\omega}) = X(e^{-j\omega})$$
.

Then, $x_2[n] = x_1[n-3]$ yielding

$$X_2(e^{j\omega}) = X_1(e^{j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} = X(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega}$$
.

Next, $x_3[n] = nx_2[n]$, implying

$$\begin{split} X_3(e^{j\omega}) &= j \frac{dX_2(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega} \\ &= j \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[X(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} \right] \\ &= j \frac{dX(e^{-j\omega})}{d\omega} e^{-j3\omega} + jX(e^{-j\omega}) \frac{de^{-j3\omega}}{d\omega} \\ &= -jX'(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} + jX(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} (-j3) \\ &= -jX'(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} + 3X(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} \end{split}$$

where $X'(e^{j\omega})$ is the derivative of $X(e^{j\omega})$. Finally, $y[n] = nx_3[n]$, and therefore,

$$\begin{split} Y(e^{j\omega}) &= j \frac{dX_3(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega} \\ &= j \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[-jX'(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} + 3X(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[X'(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} \right] + 3j \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[X(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} \right] \\ &= \frac{dX'(e^{-j\omega})}{d\omega} e^{-j3\omega} + X'(e^{-j\omega}) \frac{de^{-j3\omega}}{d\omega} + 3j \frac{dX(e^{-j\omega})}{d\omega} e^{-j3\omega} + 3jX(e^{-j\omega}) \frac{de^{-j3\omega}}{d\omega} \\ &= -X''(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} + X'(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega}(-j3) - 3jX'(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} + 3jX(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega}(-j3) \\ &= -X''(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} - 6jX'(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} + 9X(e^{-j\omega})e^{-j3\omega} \,. \end{split}$$

If you do not want to express $Y(e^{j\omega})$ in so much detail, you can leave the relation as

$$Y(e^{j\omega}) = j \frac{dX_3(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega}$$

$$= j \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[j \frac{dX_2(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{d^2 X_2(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega^2}$$

$$= -\frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \left[X(e^{-j\omega}) e^{-j3\omega} \right]$$

which will be accepted as the correct solution.

Question 4)

a) Since

$$F_c(j\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-j\Omega t}dt$$

we immediately obtain

$$F_c(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)dt .$$

b) Similarly, since

$$F_d(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f[n]e^{-j\omega n}$$

it follows that

$$F_d(e^{j0}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f[n]$$

c) Now, if $f[n] = f(n\Delta)$, sampling theory tells us that

$$F_d(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_c \left(j \left[\frac{\omega - k2\pi}{\Delta} \right] \right) .$$

Specializing to $\omega = 0$ yields

$$F_d(e^{j0}) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_c \left(j \left[\frac{-k2\pi}{\Delta} \right] \right) .$$

which can also be written as

$$F_d(e^{j0}) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[F_c(j0) + \sum_{k \neq 0} F_c \left(j \left[\frac{k2\pi}{\Delta} \right] \right) \right].$$

d) Gathering results from a-c, we can rewrite the limit as

$$\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \left[F_c(j0) + \sum_{k \neq 0} F_c \left(j \left[\frac{k2\pi}{\Delta} \right] \right) \right] = F_c(j0)$$

or even more simply as

$$\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \sum_{k \neq 0} F_c \left(j \left[\frac{k2\pi}{\Delta} \right] \right) = 0 .$$

e) From part d, it can be seen that if the infinite sum on the left-hand side is zero for any $\Delta > 0$, then the Riemann approximation is *exact*, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\Delta)\Delta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)dt.$$

One such case is when the signal is band-limited. If $F_c(j\Omega) = 0$ for all $|\Omega| > \Omega_M$, then all we need is

$$\frac{2\pi}{\Delta} \ge \Omega_M \ ,$$

or in other words

$$\Delta \le \frac{2\pi}{\Omega_M} = \Delta_{\max} \ .$$