

	Denvation
	Radial line Expression
	through prich trace of
	plane 2 (4N)
Pres	w = Nm sin (qo)
	$W = Nm \sin\left(\frac{q_0}{N}\right).$
	Pitch Point: $OD = R\cos\theta = \frac{Nm}{2}\cos\left(\frac{qo}{N}\right)$
	OC = OE + addendum
	Line of = Rtm = Nm/2 +m.
	$ac-ob=d=\frac{Nm}{2}+m-\frac{Nm}{2}\cos\left(\frac{90}{N}\right).$
	Fig 3
	Proceduse
	1) First Da (Addendum diameter) is calculated with
	vernier callipers (averaged over 3 readings)
	2) Following calculations are performed.
	Da
	2+N·
	W, Theoretical chordal width = Nm sin (90)
	$d = \frac{Nm}{2} \left[1 + \frac{2}{N} - \cos\left(\frac{q_0}{N}\right) \right].$
	3 setting this depth on vertical scale of vernier callipers.
	measure tooth thickness by horizontal scale of
	Vernier allipers.
	1 Take readings over entire circumterence so that
	chordal threkness error can be calculated.

Observations

1 112 17 112.34	SLNO.	MSR	VSR	Da	11879 *50 *601
2 112 17 112.34	1 2 7	112	6 17	112012	= (= A) 1 = 1

$$M = \frac{Da}{2+N} = \frac{112.2667}{2+36} = 2.954m$$

$$d = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{2}{N} - \cos \left(\frac{a_0}{N} \right) \right]$$

$$= 36 \times 2.954 \left[1 + \frac{2}{36} - \cos \left(\frac{a_0}{36} \right) \right]$$

$$= 36 \times 2.954 \left[1 + \frac{2}{36} - \cos\left(\frac{90}{36}\right)\right]$$

A C=109.625 x210 109.625+86.514=117.337 L=Sin' (AC)= 69.07 0=90-d=20-93° Actual pressure angle= . 30.93 -MYZP S = TBOS SM = DO = MY Theoretical chordal width = Musin /95) マットラード= 1(20)00- f+1/04 =6 1 (00) 201 - 5 +17 HEP. Cade = Del 26 place of four to got brust William grant =

-			
Na	Wexpthin	Noo	Wexpt (mm)
1	4.38	19	4.70
2	4-62	20	4.68
3	4.64	21	4.52
4	5-32	22	4.48
5	4.42	23	4052
6	4-62	24	4.38
?	4.68	25	4.36
3	4.72	26	4.54
a	4.28	27	4.62
10	4058	28	
11	5.06	29	4.60
2.	9.80	30	4.40
13	4.64	31	4.52
14	4.50	32	
15		33	
16			
17		35	
15		31	1 1

Average wexpt = 4.57mm

(Wexpt-wtheo)

= 105%

Sources of error

- i) Vernier callipernot kept tangential to addendum circle while measuring chordal width.
 - ii) Parallax error while reading vernier callipers.

Conclusions

We see that the error between measured choosed width and experimental is only 1.5% which is very small and can be attributed to the above couses.