

1. An aluminum master alloy manufacturer produces grain refiners in an ingot form. The company produces the product in **three furnaces**. Each furnace is known to have its own unique operating characteristics, so any experiment run in the foundry that involves more than one furnace will consider furnaces as a nuisance variable. The process engineers suspect that the stirring rate affects the grain size of the product. Each furnace can be run at **three different stirring rates**. A randomized block design is run for a particular refiner, and the resulting grain size data is as follows.

- State the effects model used in randomized complete blocking design.
- Is there any evidence that stirring rate affects grain size (Use  $\alpha=0.05$ )? (*Hint: Complete the ANOVA table*)

[2+11 marks]

Stirring Rate (rpm)	Furnace				
	1	2	3		
10	14	5	6	25	8.33
15	14	5	9	28	9.33
20	17	9	3	29	9.67
	45	19	18		
	15	6.33	6		82

ANOVA table:

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F <sub>0</sub>
Treatments	2.91	2	1.46	0.18
Blocks	156.78	2	78.39	9.85
Error	31.7	4	7.93	
Total	190.89	8		

$\uparrow$  (2 marks)       $\uparrow$  (1 mark)

$$a = 3 \quad ; \quad b = 3 \quad ; \quad N = ab = 9 \quad (1 \text{ mark})$$

Corrected sum of squares:

$$\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 + a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{.j} + \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

$SS_T$                        $SS_{\text{Treatments}}$                        $SS_{\text{blocks}}$                        $SS_{\text{error}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore SS_T &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 \\ &= (14 - 9.11)^2 + (5 - 9.11)^2 + (6 - 9.11)^2 \\ &\quad + (14 - 9.11)^2 + (5 - 9.11)^2 + (9 - 9.11)^2 \\ &\quad + (17 - 9.11)^2 + (9 - 9.11)^2 + (3 - 9.11)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 50.47 + 40.82 + 99.60$$

$$= 190.89 \quad (2 \text{ marks})$$

$$SS_{\text{treatments}} = b \cdot \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

$$= 3 \cdot [ (8.33 - 9.11)^2 + (9.33 - 9.11)^2 + (9.67 - 9.11)^2 ]$$

$$= 2.91 \quad (1 \text{ mark})$$

$$SS_{\text{blocks}} = a \cdot \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

$$= 3 [ (15 - 9.11)^2 + (6.33 - 9.11)^2 + (6 - 9.11)^2 ]$$

$$= 156.28 \quad (1 \text{ mark})$$

$$SS_{\text{error}} = SS_T - SS_{\text{treatments}} - SS_{\text{blocks}}$$

$$= 190.89 - 2.91 - 156.28$$

$$= 31.7 \quad (1 \text{ mark})$$

$$F_0 = 0.18 \quad (1 \text{ mark}) \quad F_{0.05, 2, 4} = 6.94 \quad (0.5 \text{ mark})$$

does not affect (0.5 mark)

2. The effect of **three different ingredients** (A, B, C) on the reaction time of a chemical process is being studied. Each batch of new material is only large enough to permit **three runs** to be made. Furthermore, each run requires approximately 1.5 hours, so only three runs can be made in one day. The experimenter decides to run the experiment as a **Latin square** so that day and batch effects may be systematically controlled. She obtains the data that follow. [2+11 marks]

- a. State the effects model used in the Latin square method.  
 b. Analyse the data from this experiment (Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and conclude whether the effect of assembly time affects reaction time. (*Hint*: complete the below table in the process of analyzing the data)

Batch	Day			
	1	2	3	
1	A=8	B=7	C=7	22
2	C=11	A=7	B=8	26 (1m)
3	B=4	C=10	A=9	23
	23	24 (1m)	24	

Two factor ANOVA table:

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F <sub>0</sub>
Treatments	13.56	2	6.78	
Rows	2.89	2	1.445	
Columns	0.23	2	0.115	0.01
Error	16.21	2	8.11	
Total	32.89	8		

↑ (2 marks)

↑ (1 mark)

Here  $p = 3$

Latin square terms

A 24

B 19

C 28

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K y_{ijk}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$= [ 8^2 + 7^2 + 7^2$$

$$11^2 + 7^2 + 8^2 \quad - \quad \frac{71^2}{9}$$

$$4^2 + 10^2 + 9^2 ]$$

$$= [162 + 234 + 197] - \frac{71^2}{9}$$

$$= 32.89 \quad (1mk)$$

$$S_{\text{treatments}} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{j=1}^P y_{.j}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$= 1/3 [24^2 + 19^2 + 28^2] - \frac{71^2}{9}$$

$$= 13.56 \quad (1mk)$$

$$SS_{\text{row}} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^P y_{i..}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$= \frac{22^2 + 26^2 + 23^2}{3} - \frac{71^2}{9} = 2.89 \quad (1mk)$$

$$SS_{columns} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p y_{..k}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{23^2 + 24^2 + 24^2}{3} - \frac{71^2}{9}$$

$$= 0.23 \quad (1 \text{ mk})$$

$$SS_{Error} = SS_T - SS_{treatment} - SS_{rows} - SS_{columns}$$

$$= 32.89 - 13.56 - 2.89 - 0.23$$

$$= 16.21 \quad (1 \text{ mk})$$

$$F_{0.05, 2, 2} = 19 \quad (0.5) \quad F_0 < F_{0.05, 2, 2}$$

does not affect (0.5)

3. Answer the following questions with respect to the evaluation of missing data [2+2 marks]

- Suppose that in question 1 of this exam, observation of Furnace 1 with respect to 15 rpm stirring rate is missing, estimate the missing value.
- Suppose that in question 2 of this exam, observation from batch 1 on day 3 is missing, estimate the missing value.

$$a) \quad x_{ij} = \frac{a y_{i.} + b y_{.j} - y_{..}}{(a-1)(b-1)}$$

$$a = b = 3$$

$$y_{i.}' = 11$$

$$y_{.j}' = 31$$

$$y_{..}' = 68$$

$$\therefore x_{ii} = \frac{3 \times 14 + 3 \times 31 - 68}{(3-1)(3-1)}$$

$$= \frac{42 + 93 - 68}{2 \times 2}$$

$$= 16.75$$

b)  $P = 3$

$$y_{i..} = 15$$

$$y_{.j.} = 21$$

$$y_{..k} = 17$$

$$y_{...} = 64$$

$$y_{ijk} = \frac{P(y_{i..} + y_{.j.} + y_{..k}) - 2y_{...}}{(P-2)(P-1)}$$

$$= \frac{3[15 + 21 + 17] - 2 \times 64}{(3-2)(3-1)}$$

$$= \frac{3 \times 53 - 128}{2 \times 1} = 15.5$$

Q1 a)

Effects model :

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} i \rightarrow \text{treatments} \\ j \rightarrow \text{blocks} \end{array}$$

$\mu$  = overall mean

$\alpha$  = effect of  $i$ th treatment

$\beta$  = effect of  $j$ th block

$\varepsilon_{ij}$  = usual random error term

Q2 a)

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \varepsilon_{ijk} \quad \begin{array}{l} i \rightarrow \text{row} \\ j \rightarrow \text{treatment} \\ k \rightarrow \text{column} \end{array}$$