

Heteroscedasticity autocorrelation consistent estimator

Contents

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

Consider the model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

where

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,p} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{T,1} & x_{T,2} & \cdots & x_{T,p} \end{bmatrix}$$

where X is an $T \times p$ matrix of fixed or stochastic explanatory variables, such that $T \ll p$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is a vector of parameters, and

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_T \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \epsilon | X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon \epsilon' | X]$$

Matrix Σ is symmetric and positive definite, and there exists a non-singular $T \times T$ matrix C , such that

$$\Sigma^{-1} = C' C$$

Proof.

We know that there exists a non-singular matrix L such that $\Sigma = LL'$, and so $\Sigma^{-1} = [L']^{-1} L^{-1}$. Let $C = L^{-1}$, which yields the earlier results. \square

Imagine transforming the population residuals ϵ by C :

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = C\epsilon$$

which would generate a new set of residuals $\tilde{\epsilon}$ with zero mean and conditional covariance matrix, given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}' \mid X] = C\mathbb{E}[\epsilon\epsilon' \mid X]C' = C\Sigma C'.$$

But $\Sigma = [\Sigma^{-1}]^{-1} = [C'C]^{-1}$; hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}' | X] = C[C'C]^{-1}C' = \sigma^2 I$$

We may now transform regression equation (1) by premultiplying both its sides by C , which yields

$$\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}\beta + \tilde{\epsilon}$$

where

$$\tilde{Y} \equiv CY, \quad \tilde{X} \equiv CX, \quad \tilde{\epsilon} \equiv C\epsilon$$

and

$$\tilde{\epsilon} | X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I).$$

The Lasso estimator on the transformed model is as follows

$$\tilde{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$$

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

A simple case is when the variance of ε_t is presumed to be proportional to the square of the explanatory variable for that equation, say $x_{1,t}^2$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon\varepsilon' \mid X] = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1}^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{1,2}^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{1,T}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \Sigma$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/|x_{1,1}| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1/|x_{1,2}| & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1/|x_{1,T}| \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the estimation of Lasso will be conducted by using the transformed variables $\tilde{y}_t = y_t/|x_{1,t}|$ and $x_t/|x_{1,t}|$.

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

Consider the case were

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho\varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t, \quad u_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

and $|\rho| < 1$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon\varepsilon' \mid X] = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{T-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{T-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{T-1} & \rho^{T-2} & \rho^{T-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \Sigma$$

and subsequently,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

In other words, the transformation must take the form $\tilde{y}_1 \equiv y_1 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$ and $\tilde{x}_1 \equiv x_1 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$ and $\tilde{y}_t \equiv y_t - \rho y_{t-1}$ and $\tilde{x}_t \equiv x_t - \rho x_{t-1}$ for $t = 2, \dots, T$.

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

Under the earlier assumptions that

- x_t is stochastic;
- Conditional on the full matrix X , the vector $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma)$;
- Σ is a known positive definite matrix.

it is known that

$$Y | X \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 \Sigma)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} L(Y | X; \beta, \sigma^2 \Sigma) &= (2\pi)^{-T/2} |\det(\sigma^2 \Sigma)|^{-1/2} \\ &\times \exp \left(\left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) (Y - X\beta)' (\sigma^2 \Sigma)^{-1} (Y - X\beta) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and subsequently

$$\begin{aligned} \log\{L(Y | X; \beta, \sigma^2 \Sigma)\} &\equiv l(Y | X; \beta, \sigma^2 \Sigma) \\ &= \left(-\frac{T}{2} \right) \log(2\pi) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \log |\det(\sigma^2 \Sigma)| \end{aligned}$$

$$- \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) (Y - X\beta)'(\sigma^2 \Sigma)^{-1} (Y - X\beta)$$

From earlier recall that $(\Sigma)^{-1} = C' C$. Hence, we may express the right hand terms of the above log-likelihood function as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) (Y - X\beta)'(\sigma^2 \Sigma)^{-1} (Y - X\beta) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) (Y - X\beta)'(\sigma^2)^{-1} (C' C) (Y - X\beta) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) (Y - X\beta)'(C' C) (Y - X\beta) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) (CY - CX\beta)'(CY - CX\beta) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) (\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}\beta)'(\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}\beta) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$- \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \log |\det(\sigma^2 \Sigma)| = - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \log |\sigma^{2T} \det(\Sigma)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left| \sigma^{2T} \det \{ (C' C)^{-1} \} \right| \\ &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left| \sigma^{2T} \det \{ (C' C) \}^{-1} \right| \\ &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \sigma^{2T} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left| \det \{ (C' C) \}^{-1} \right| \\ &= -\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) \log \sigma^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left| \det \{ (C' C) \} \right| \\ &= -\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) \log \sigma^2 + \log \left| \det (C) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the conditional log-likelihood function can be expressed as

$$l(Y | X; \beta, \sigma^2 \Sigma) = -\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) \log(2\pi) - \left(\frac{T}{2}\right) \log(\sigma^2) \quad (5)$$

$$+ \log \left| \det(C) \right| - \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right) (\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}\beta)' (\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}\beta) \quad (6)$$

Thus, the likelihood is maximized with respect to β by an OLS regression of \tilde{Y} on \tilde{X} .

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

Once again consider the conditional covariance matrix of the error terms in (2). Up to this point, it was assumed that the elements of Σ are known a priori. In reality, Σ is of a particular form $\Sigma(\theta)$, where θ is a vector of parameters that must be estimated from the data, - i.e.

$$\epsilon \mid X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma(\theta))$$

For instance, for the autocorrelated residual case (3), θ is the scalar ρ . Our task is then to estimate ρ and β jointly from the data. One approach is to estimate ρ and β jointly from the data and find the values that maximize (5). The latter can be formed and maximized numerically and has the appeal of offering a single rule to follow whenever $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon\epsilon' \mid X]$ is not of the simple form $\sigma^2 I$. However, quite often simple estimator can have desirable properties. In a classical asymptotics setting, it turns out that

$$\sqrt{T}(X'[\Sigma(\hat{\rho})]^{-1}X)^{-1}(X'[\Sigma(\hat{\rho})]^{-1}Y) \xrightarrow{P} \sqrt{T}(X'[\Sigma(\rho_0)]^{-1}X)^{-1}(X'[\Sigma(\rho_0)]^{-1}Y)$$

- 1 GLS with known covariance matrix
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Autocorrelation
 - GLS and maximum likelihood estimation

- 2 GLS with unknown covariance matrix
 - AR(1) errors with exogenous regressors

Let us maintain the earlier assumption that

$$\epsilon \mid X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma(\rho))$$

which rules out endogenous variables; in other words it is assumed that x_t is uncorrelated with ϵ_{t-s} .

Recall that the determinant of a lower triangular matrix is simply the product of the terms on the principal diagonal. From (4), it is evident that

$$\det(C) = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}.$$

Thus, the log-likelihood function (5) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} l(Y \mid X; \beta, \rho, \sigma) = & - \left(\frac{T}{2} \right) \log(2\pi) - \left(\frac{T}{2} \right) \log(\sigma^2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \log(1 - \rho^2) \\ & - \left[\frac{(1 - \rho^2)}{2\sigma^2} \right] (y_1 - x_1' \beta)^2 \\ & - \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) \sum_{t=2}^T [(y_t - x_t' \beta) - \rho(y_{t-1} - x_{t-1}' \beta)]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

One approach as mentioned in the earlier section, is to maximize (7) with respect to β , ρ and σ^2 .

- If we knew the value of ρ , then the value of β that maximizes (7), could be found by an OLS regression of $y_t - \rho y_{t-1}$ on $x_t - \rho x_{t-1}$ for $t = 2, \dots, T$ [let us call this regression A];
- Conversely, if we knew the value of ρ that maximizes (7) would be found by an OLS regression of $(y_t - x_t' \beta)$ on $(y_{t-1} - x_{t-1}' \beta)$ [let us call this regression B].
- We can thus, start by an initial guess of ρ , say $\rho = 0$. Perform regression A to get an initial estimate of β .
- This estimate β can then be used in regression B to get an updated estimate of ρ , for example, by regressing the OLS residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x_t' \beta$ on its own lagged value.
- The new estimate of ρ can be used to repeat the two regressions. Zigzagging back and forth between A and B is known as the **iterated Cochrane-Orcutt** method, and will converge to a local maximum of (7).

Consider the estimator of ρ that results from the first iteration alone

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2} \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - \hat{\beta}'x_t$ and $\hat{\beta}$ is the OLS estimate of β . Notice that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t = (y_t - \beta'x_t + \beta'x_t - \hat{\beta}'x_t) = \varepsilon_t + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'x_t$$

allowing the numerator of (8) to be written

$$\begin{aligned} (1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} &= (1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T [\varepsilon_t + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'x_t][\varepsilon_{t-1} + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'x_{t-1}] \\ &= (1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1} + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-1} x_t) \\ &\quad + (\beta - \hat{\beta})' \left[(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_{t-1}' \right] (\beta - \hat{\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

As long as, $\hat{\beta}$ is a consistent estimate of β and boundedness conditions ensure that plims of

- $(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t x_{t-1};$
- $(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{t-1} x_t;$
- $(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_{t-1}'$

exist, then

$$\begin{aligned}(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} &\xrightarrow{P} (1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1} \\&= (1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T (u_t + \rho \varepsilon_{t-1}) \varepsilon_{t-1} \\&\xrightarrow{P} \rho \text{var}(\varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

Similar analysis determines that the denominator of (8) converges in probability to $\text{var}(\varepsilon)$, where they cancel each other out, and as such it can be established that

$$\hat{\rho} \xrightarrow{P} \rho.$$

Now if ε_t is uncorrelated with x_s for $s = t-1, t, t+1$ stronger claims can be made about the estimate of ρ based on an autoregression of the OLS residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}$. Specifically, if

$$\text{plim} \left[(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t x_{t-1} \right] = \text{plim} \left[(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{t-1} x_t \right] = 0$$

then multiplying (9) by \sqrt{T} , we find

$$\begin{aligned}(1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} &= (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{T}(\beta - \hat{\beta})'(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-1} x_t) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{T}(\beta - \hat{\beta})' \left[(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_{t-1}' \right] (\beta - \hat{\beta})' \\ &\xrightarrow{p} (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1} + \sqrt{T}(\beta - \hat{\beta})' 0 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{T}(\beta - \hat{\beta})' \text{plim} \left[(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_{t-1}' \right] 0 \\ &= (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Hence,

$$\sqrt{T} \left[\frac{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2} \right] \xrightarrow{P} \sqrt{T} \left[\frac{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}}{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{t-1}^2} \right]$$

The OLS estimate of ρ based on the population residuals would have an asymptotic distribution given by

$$\sqrt{T} \left[\frac{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{(1/T) \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2} \right] \xrightarrow{L} N(0, 1 - \rho^2)$$

