

Qa] Prove that every group of order 4 is abelian.

Let G be a group and

$$O(G) = 4$$

we know that order of every element divides order of G .

\therefore let a be arbitrary element other than identity.

\therefore possible $O(a) \in \{2, 4\}$.

if $O(a) = 4$ then $G = \langle a \rangle$

$$\Rightarrow G = \langle a \rangle$$

hence G is cyclic

$\Rightarrow G$ is abelian.

now, let $O(a) = 2$ then $O(a) = 2$

$\Rightarrow \forall$ non-identity element a $O(a) = 2$

$\therefore \forall a \in G$

$$a^2 = e$$

$\Rightarrow a = a^{-1}$

consider, $a, b \neq e$

$$(ab)^2 = e$$

$$\Rightarrow ab = (ab)^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow ab = b^{-1}a^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow ab = b \cdot a$$

hence G is abelian

hence proved.

1a] let G be the set of all real numbers except -1 and define $a * b = a + b + ab$
 $\forall a, b \in G$ examine if G is an abelian group under $*$. (10) $N = (10)0$

1] Closure property \rightarrow to all cases we

let $a \neq -1$ & $b \neq -1$ $\therefore a, b \in G$
 not the same as $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ $\therefore a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\therefore a * b = a + b + ab$$

$\therefore a, b$ are Real no. $\therefore a + b + ab \in \mathbb{R}$

$\therefore a + b + ab \in G$ and it can't be equal to -1

because $\langle a \rangle = 0 \in$

if $a + b + ab \neq -1$ $\therefore a + b + ab \in G$

$$\Rightarrow a + b + ab \neq -1 \quad \therefore a + b + ab \in G$$

$$= (a + b) + ab = a + b + ab \quad \therefore a + b + ab \in G$$

\therefore either $a + b + ab \neq -1$ or $a + b + ab = -1$ $\therefore a + b + ab \in G$

$$\Rightarrow a = -1 \quad \text{or } b = -1 \quad \therefore$$

it's contradiction

hence \rightarrow Closure property holds

$$a \neq -1, b \neq -1 \quad \therefore a + b + ab \in G$$

2] Associativity $\rightarrow S = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$

$$(a * b) * c = (a + b + ab) * c$$

$$= a + b + ab + c + (a + b + ab)c$$

$$= a + b + c + ab + ac + bc + abc$$

$$= a + (b + c) + ab + ac + bc + abc$$

$$= a * (b + c)$$

$$= a + b + c + bc + ab + ac + abc$$

$$\therefore (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$$

3] Identity \rightarrow

$$\text{let } e \in G \quad e \neq -1$$

$$a * e = a$$

Let $a \in G$ such that $a \neq -1$. Then $1+a \neq 0$.

$$\therefore a + e + a = a \text{ (since } a + a = 0 \text{)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e + a = 0 \text{ (since } a \neq 0 \text{)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e(1+a) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e = 0 \text{ or } 1+a = 0$$

$$\text{but } 1+a = 0 \Rightarrow a = -1$$

which is not possible

$\therefore e = 0$ is identity

Inverse: Let $a \neq -1 \in G$.

then b is inverse of a .

$$\Leftrightarrow a + b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a + b + ab = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b + ab = -a$$

$$\Rightarrow b(1+a) = -a$$

$$\Rightarrow b = \frac{-a}{1+a}$$

$$\therefore a \neq -1 \Rightarrow 1+a \neq 0$$

$\therefore b$ is inverse element of a .

2(b) let H and K are two finite normal subgroups of co-prime order of a group G .
 prove that $hk = kh \forall h \in H$ and $k \in K$. (10)

Proof $\therefore H$ and K are normal in G

$$(\text{O}(H), \text{O}(K)) = 1$$

we claim, $H \cap K = \{e\}$

~~if~~ let $\text{O}(H \cap K) \neq 1$

$\therefore H$ and K are subgroups of G

$\Rightarrow H \cap K$ is also subgroup of H & K .

$\Rightarrow \text{O}(H \cap K)$ divides $\text{O}(H)$

also $\text{O}(H \cap K)$ divides $\text{O}(K)$

$\Rightarrow \text{O}(H \cap K) \mid \text{g.c.d. of } (\text{O}(H), \text{O}(K))$
 but $(\text{O}(H), \text{O}(K)) = 1$

$\Rightarrow H \cap K = \{e\}$

let $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ be any elements,
 then $h \in H$ and $k \in K \subseteq G$

H is normal in G

gives $k^{-1} h k \in H$ and we know $h^{-1} \in H$

$\Rightarrow k^{-1} h k h^{-1} \in H$ -- {closure property}

again $h^{-1} \in H$ and

$h^{-1} \in H \subseteq G$ and K is normal in G

then $(h^{-1})^{-1} k h^{-1} \in K$

$\Rightarrow h k h^{-1} \in K$

also $k^{-1} \in K$

$\therefore k^{-1} h k h^{-1} \in K$

$\therefore k^{-1} h k h^{-1} \in H \cap K$

but $H \cap K = \{e\}$

$\therefore k^{-1} h k h^{-1} = e$

$\Rightarrow hk = kh$

2(c) Let A be an ideal of a commutative Ring R and $B = \{x \in R : x^n \in A \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
 is B an ideal of R ?
 justify your ans! (10)

2017
 $B = \{x \in R : x^n \in A \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$\therefore A$ is ideal of R

$\therefore \forall x \in R, a \in A$
 $xa \in A$

let $x, y \in B$

$\Rightarrow \exists m, n \in \mathbb{N} \exists x^m \in A, y^n \in A$

$x^m \in A, y^n \in A$

consider, $(x+y)^{m+n}$
 $(x+y)^{m+n} = (x + (-y))^{m+n}$

$= \sum_{r=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{r} x^r (-y)^{m+n-r}$

(\because Binomial theorem is valid in commutative ring)

case-1] if $r \geq n$

$\Rightarrow y^r = y^n \cdot y^{r-n}$

but $y^n \in A$ and A is ideal
 and $y^{r-n} \in R$

$\Rightarrow y^r \in A$

$\therefore (x+y)^{m+n} \in A$

case-2] if $r < n$

$\Rightarrow n-r > 0$

$\Rightarrow m+n-r > m$

$x \in A$ and $y \in B$ then $x+y \in A$ and $x-y \in A$ and $xy \in A$ and $yx \in A$

\therefore in both cases $(x-y) \in A$

$$\Rightarrow (x-y) \in B \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(X) let $x \in B$ be arbitrary element

$$\Rightarrow x^n \in A \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

consider $x \in R$ arbitrary

$$(rx)^n = (rx) \cdot (rx) \cdot \dots \cdot (rx) \quad n \text{ times}$$

$$= x^n \cdot x^n \quad \text{--- commutative}$$

but $x^n \in A$ and A is ideal

$$\therefore x^n \cdot x^n \in A$$

$$\Rightarrow (rx)^n \in A$$

$$\Rightarrow rx \in B$$

$\therefore B$ is ideal.

Prove that the ring
 $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+ib : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, i = \sqrt{-1}\}$
 of Gaussian integers is Euclidean domain.

Defⁿ:- Euclidean domain:-

An Integral domain D is called a Euclidean domain if there is a function d from non-zero elements of D to the non-negative integers \mathbb{N}

1) $d(a) \leq d(ab)$ for all non-zero a, b in D
 and

2) if $a, b \in D, b \neq 0$, then \exists elements q and r in D \exists $a = bq + r$ where $r = 0$ or $d(r) < d(b)$

in let $(G, +, \cdot)$ be the ring of Gaussian integers where $G = \{x+iy : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

let $d: G - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$

$$d(x+iy) = x^2 + y^2 \quad \forall 0 \neq x+iy \in G$$

now if $x+iy$ is a non-zero element of G
 then (x^2+y^2) is a non-negative integer.
 Thus we have assigned a non-negative integer to every non-zero element of G .

If $x+iy$ and $m+in$ are two non-zero elements of G . then

$$d[(x+iy)(m+in)] = d[(xm-ny) + i(xn+ym)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (xm - ny)^2 + (my + xn)^2 \\
 &= x^2m^2 + n^2y^2 + m^2y^2 + x^2n^2 \\
 &= (x^2 + y^2)(m^2 + n^2) \\
 &\geq x^2y^2 \quad \text{---} \quad [\because m^2 + n^2 \geq 1]
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d[(x+iy)(m+in)] \geq d(x+iy)$.

Now to show the existence of division algorithm in G .

Let $\alpha \in G$ and let β be a non-zero element of G . Let $\alpha = x+iy$, $\beta = m+in$. Define a complex number λ by eqn

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{x+iy}{m+in}$$

$$= \frac{(x+iy)(m-in)}{m^2+n^2}$$

$$= \frac{p+iq}{2}$$

where p, q are rational numbers; here 2 is not necessarily a gaussian integer.

Also division by β is possible $\because \beta \neq 0$. Let p' and q' be the nearest integers to p and q respectively. Then obviously

$$|p-p'| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad |q-q'| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Let $\lambda' = p' + iq'$. Then λ' is Gaussian integer.

$$\text{now } d = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = d\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = d'\beta + (d - d')\beta$$

$$\text{Thus } \alpha = d'\beta + (d - d')\beta \quad \dots (1)$$

Thus $\because \alpha, \beta, d'$ are Gaussian integers
 \therefore from (1)

$(d - d')\beta$ is also gaussian integer.
 now if p and q are integers

$$\text{then } p = p', q = q'$$

$$\text{so } d - d' = (p - p') + i(q - q')$$

$$= 0 + i \cdot 0$$

$$\text{thus } (d - d')\beta = 0 + i \cdot 0$$

if p and q are not both integers
 then $(d - d')\beta$ is a non-zero Gaussian
 integer and we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[(d - d')\beta] &= d[(p - p') + i(q - q')](m + in) \\ &= [(p - p')^2 + (q - q')^2](m^2 + n^2) \\ &= [(p - p')^2 + (q - q')^2]d(\beta) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right]d(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}d(\beta) < d(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

thus $\alpha = d'\beta + (d - d')\beta$ where d' and
 $(d - d')\beta$ are gaussian integers and

$$\text{either } (d - d')\beta = 0$$

$$\text{or } d[(d - d')\beta] < d(\beta)$$

$\therefore \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is euclidean ring.