Advanced Topics

Victor Eijkhout, Harika Gurram, Je'aime Powell, Charley Dey

Fall 2018



Pointers



Shared pointers

Shared pointers look like regular pointers:



Reference counting illustrated

We need a class with constructor and destructor tracing:

```
class thing {
public:
    thing() { cout << ".. calling constructor\n"; };
    `thing() { cout << ".. calling destructor\n"; };
};</pre>
```



Pointer overwrite

Let's create a pointer and overwrite it:

Code:

Output from running ptr1 in code directory pointer:

```
set pointer1
.. calling constructor
overwrite pointer
.. calling destructor
```



Pointer copy

Code:

```
cout << "set pointer2" << endl;
auto thing_ptr2 =
    make_shared<thing>();
cout << "set pointer3 by copy"
    << endl;
auto thing_ptr3 = thing_ptr2;
cout << "overwrite pointer2"
    << endl;
thing_ptr2 = nullptr;
cout << "overwrite pointer3"
    << endl;
thing_ptr3 = nullptr;
cout << "overwrite pointer3"
    << endl;
thing_ptr3 = nullptr;</pre>
```

Output from running ptr2 in code directory pointer:

```
set pointer2
.. calling constructor
set pointer3 by copy
overwrite pointer2
overwrite pointer3
.. calling destructor
```



Linked list code

```
node *node::prepend_or_append(node *other) {
   if (other->value>this->value) {
      this->tail = other;
      return this;
   } else {
      other->tail = this;
      return other;
   }
};
```

Can we do this with shared pointers?



A problem with shared pointers

```
shared_pointer<node> node:prepend_or_append
  ( shared_ptr<node> other ) {
  if (other->value>this->value) {
    this->tail = other;
```

So far so good. However, this is a node*, not a shared_ptr<node>, so

return this;

returns the wrong type.



Solution: shared from this

It is possible to have a 'shared pointer to this' if you define your node class with (warning, major magic alert):

```
class node : public enable_shared_from_this<node> {
```

This allows you to write:

```
return this->shared_from_this();
```



Namespaces



You have already seen namespaces

Safest:

```
#include <vector>
int main() {
   std::vector<stuff> foo;
}
```

Drastic:

```
#include <vector>
using namespace std;
int main() {
   vector<stuff> foo;
}
```

Prudent:

```
#include <vector>
using std::vector;
int main() {
   vector<stuff> foo;
}
```



Why not 'using namespace std'?

This compiles, but should not: This gives an error:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int main() {
  int i=1,j=2;
   swap(i,j);
   cout << i << endl;
   return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
#include <iostream>
using std::cout;
using std::endl;

int main() {
   int i=1,j=2;
   swap(i,j);
   cout << i << endl;
   return 0;
}</pre>
```



Defining a namespace

You can make your own namespace by writing

```
namespace a_namespace {
   // definitions
   class an_object {
   };
}
```



Namespace usage

```
a_namespace::an_object myobject();

Or
using namespace a_namespace;
an_object myobject();

Or
using a_namespace::an_object;
an_object myobject();
```



Templates



Templated type name

Basically, you want the type name to be a variable. Syntax:

```
template <typename yourtypevariable> // \dots stuff with yourtypevariable \dots
```



Example: function

Definition:

```
template<typename T>
void function(T var) { cout << var << end; }</pre>
```

Usage:

```
int i; function(i);
double x; function(x);
```

and the code will behave as if you had defined function twice, once for int and once for double.



Exercise 1

Machine precision, or 'machine epsilon', is sometimes defined as the smallest number ϵ so that $1 + \epsilon > 1$ in computer arithmetic.

Write a templated function epsilon so that the following code prints out the values of the machine precision for the float and double type respectively:

```
float float_eps;
epsilon(float_eps);
cout << "For float, epsilon is " << float_eps << endl;
double double_eps;
epsilon(double_eps);
cout << "For double, epsilon is " << double_eps << endl;</pre>
```



Templated vector

the Standard Template Library (STL) contains in effect

```
template<typename T>
class vector {
private:
    // data definitions omitted
public:
    T at(int i) { /* return element i */ };
    int size() { /* return size of data */ };
    // much more
}
```



Exceptions



Exception throwing

Throwing an exception is one way of signalling an error or unexpected behaviour:

```
void do_something() {
  if ( oops )
    throw(5);
}
```



Catching an exception

It now becomes possible to detect this unexpected behaviour by *catching* the exception:

```
try {
  do_something();
} catch (int i) {
  cout << "doing something failed: error=" << i << endl;
}</pre>
```



Exception classes

You can use exception inheritance!



Multiple catches

You can multiple catch statements to catch different types of errors:

```
try {
   // something
} catch ( int i ) {
   // handle int exception
} catch ( std::string c ) {
   // handle string exception
}
```



Catch any exception

Catch exceptions without specifying the type:

```
try {
   // something
} catch ( ... ) { // literally: three dots
   cout << "Something went wrong!" << endl;
}</pre>
```



More about exceptions

Functions can define what exceptions they throw:
 void func() throw(MyError, std::string);

void funk() throw();

- Predefined exceptions: bad_alloc, bad_exception, etc.
- An exception handler can throw an exception; to rethrow the same exception use 'throw;' without arguments.
- Exceptions delete all stack data, but not new data. Also, destructors are called; section ??.
- There is an implicit try/except block around your main.
 You can replace the handler for that. See the exception header file.



Destructors and exceptions

The destructor is called when you throw an exception:

Code:

```
class SomeObject {
public:
    SomeObject() { cout <<
        "calling the constructor"
        << endl; };
    SomeObject() { cout <<
        "calling the destructor"
        << endl; };
};

/* ... */
try {
    SomeObject obj;
    cout << "Inside the nested scope" << endl;
    throw(1);
} catch (...) {
    cout << "Exception caught" << endl;
}</pre>
```

Output from running exceptobj in code directory object:

calling the constructor Inside the nested scope calling the destructor Exception caught



Auto



Type deduction

In:

the compiler can figure it out:



Type deduction in functions

Return type can be deduced in C++17:

```
auto equal(int i,int j) {
  return i==j;
};
```



Auto and references, 1

auto discards references and such:

Code:

A my_a(5.7);
auto get_data = my_a.access();
get_data += 1;
my_a.print();

Output from running plainget in code directory auto:

data: 5.7



Auto and references, 2

Combine auto and references:

Code:

A my_a(5.7);
auto &get_data = my_a.access();
get_data += 1;
my_a.print();

Output from running refget in code directory auto:

data: 6.7



Auto and references, 3

For good measure:

Code:

```
A my_a(5.7);
const auto &get_data = my_a.access();
get_data += 1;
my_a.print();
```

Output from running constrefget in code directory auto:

```
make[4]: *** No rule to make target 'error_constrefget.
```



Auto iterators

```
vector<int> myvector(20);
for ( auto copy_of_int : myvector )
   s += copy_of_int;
for ( auto &ref_to_int : myvector )
   ref_to_int = s;
```

Can be used with anything that is iteratable (vector, map, your own classes!)

