```
1 % Kavya Manchanda
 2 % 11/1/2022
 3 % ECE 202: Project 1 - Power Expansion Series of function of the form
 4 % Acos(wt)
 5 % Phase 6: Understanding the Taylor series
7 clear; clf;
 8 format shortG;
10 A = 12;
                     % amplitude
11 w = 40;
                     % angular frequency in rad/s
12 nz = input("Enter number of non zero terms: "); % Number of non-zero terms
14 tmin = input("Enter starting time in ms: ");
                                                       % in ms
15 tmax = input("Enter ending time in ms: ");
16 N = input("Enter number of intervals: ");
                                                       % in ms
                                                       % intervals
17
18 tms = linspace(tmin, tmax, N+1); % time array in ms
19 t = tms/1000;
                                       % time array in s
20 \text{ n} = 0:2:2*nz - 2;
                              % first "nz" number of non zero term
21 % indices in series (only even values)
22
23
24 % The angular frequency in rad/s
25 an = A*(-1).^(n/2).*w.^n./factorial(n);
27 coefTable = table(n.',an.','VariableNames',{'n', 'an'})
28
29 %----Adding the for loop and plotting-----
30 f = zeros(1,N+1);
31 p = zeros(nz,1);
32 plot([tmin,tmax],[0,0],"k","LineWidth",1)
33 hold on
34 \text{ for } i = 1:nz
       f = f + an(i)*t.^n(i);
35
       if i~= nz
                                           % if not the last sum
36
37
           p(i) = plot(tms,f,"LineWidth",2.5);
38
                               % if it is the last sum, make the graph thicker
           p(nz) = plot(tms,f,"LineWidth",5);
39
40
41 end
42 hold off
43
44 %---- Check from the previous phase ----
45 if nz == 6 % checking only when non-zero terms are 6
46
       f1 = an(1)*t.^n(1);
                               % first sum (ie. first term) in the power series
47
       f2 = f1 + an(2)*t.^n(2); % sum of first two terms
48
       f3 = f2 + an(3)*t.^n(3); % sum of first three terms
       f4 = f3 + an(4)*t.^n(4); % sum of first four terms

f5 = f4 + an(5)*t.^n(5); % sum of first five terms
49
50
51
       f6 = f5 + an(6)*t.^n(6); % sum of first six terms
52
53
       check = sum(abs(f-f6)) % should be zero
54 end
55
56 %---- Attributes of the graph -----
57 diff = abs(A*cos(w*t) - f); % difference between the two functions
58 aveDeviation = sum(diff)/length(diff) % average standard deviation
```

```
59 ax = gca;
60 ax.GridAlpha = 0.4;
61 ax.FontSize = 16;
62 xlabel('time t (ms)', 'FontSize', 18);
63 ylabel('f(t)', 'FontSize', 18)
64 ylim([-1.25*A,1.25*A])
65 str1 = sprintf("Power series expansion of f(t) = %gcos(%gt)",A,w);
66 str2 = sprintf("using truncated sums up to %g non-zero terms",nz);
67 str3 = sprintf("with an Average Deviation of %0.4g", aveDeviation);
68 title(["ECE 202, Project 1 Phase 6:",str1,str2,str3],"FontSize",22)
69 legend(p,"Up to n = " + n,"FontSize",18,"Location","bestoutside")
71 grid on
72
73 % a) Through trial, the smallest number of non-zero terms with an average
74 % deviation less than 0.05 is 11 terms, with an average deviation of 0.032.
75 %
76 % b) When the intervals are doubled, the average deviation is still pretty
77 % close to the original value of 0.032. Now, it is equal to 0.03129.
79~\% c) The deviation from -200\,\mathrm{ms} to 200~\mathrm{ms} will be the same as that of 0\,\mathrm{ms} to
80 % 200ms as the function is a cosine function and as we already know that it
81 % is mirrored along the y-axis, hence the deviation will more or less be
82 % similar to the original value.
83 %
84 % d) The value for aveDeviation was close to the average deviation of the
85 % first graph with a value of 0.0326. This means that the hypothesis in
86 % part c) was correct.
87 %
88 % e) If we expand the Taylor series at t0 = 200ms, it will be very
89 % difficult to showcase the same in a graph, because of the huge
90 % exponents of the numbers. The average deviation from the original
91 % function would be way too high.
92 %
93 % f) During the second 200ms of the function, we cannot see the graphs as
94 % much. It seems as if the sums don't exist, while in reality, they are too
95 % high to be shown on the scales of our graphs. Hence, the siginificance of
96 % choosing t0 = 0ms is that we can get a good idea about the expanded
97 % Taylor series for the times which are feasible enough to be graphed. As
98 % we go farther, the sums get really huge and hard to visualise.
99 %
100 % g) With trial, I found that with 16 non-zero terms, we almost get a
101 % figure which looks like the given function.
102
```